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# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE THE DEGASPERIS-PROCESI EQUATION: WELPOSEDNESS AND ASYMPTOTICS 

G. M. COCLITE AND K. H. KARLSEN


#### Abstract

We prove the well-posedness of periodic entropy (discontinuous) solutions for the Degasperis-Procesi equation. Partly motivated by the bounded periodic solutions found by Vakhnenko and Parkes [20], we study the long-time asymptotic behavior of periodic entropy solutions.


## 1. Introduction

We investigate the well-posedness and long-time asymptotic behavior of periodic discontinuous solutions of the Degasperis-Procesi equation. It has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{t x x}^{3} u+4 u \partial_{x} u=3 \partial_{x} u \partial_{x x}^{2} u+u \partial_{x x x}^{3} u, \quad(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is augmented with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad u_{0} \text { is } 1 \text {-periodic. } \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Degasperis and Procesi 7 deduced (1.1) from the following family of third order dispersive nonlinear equations, indexed over six constants $\alpha, \gamma, c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\partial_{t} u+c_{0} \partial_{x} u+\gamma \partial_{x x x}^{3} u-\alpha^{2} \partial_{t x x}^{3} u=\partial_{x}\left(c_{1} u^{2}+c_{2}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+c_{3} u \partial_{x x}^{2} u\right)
$$

Using the method of asymptotic integrability, they found that only three equations within this family were asymptotically integrable up to the third order: the $K d V$ equation $\left(\alpha=c_{2}=c_{3}=0\right)$, the Camassa-Holm equation $\left(c_{1}=-\frac{3 c_{3}}{2 \alpha^{2}}, c_{2}=\frac{c_{3}}{2}\right)$, and one new equation $\left(c_{1}=-\frac{2 c_{3}}{\alpha^{2}}, c_{2}=c_{3}\right)$, which properly scaled reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x} u+6 u \partial_{x} u+\partial_{x x x}^{3} u-\alpha^{2}\left(\partial_{t x x}^{3} u+\frac{9}{2} \partial_{x} u \partial_{x x}^{2} u+\frac{3}{2} u \partial_{x x x}^{3} u\right)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can transform (1.4) into the form (1.1), see [8, 9 for details.
Degasperis, Holm, and Hone 9 proved the integrability of (1.1) by constructing a Lax pair. Moreover, they provided a relation to a negative flow in the KaupKupershmidt hierarchy by a reciprocal transformation and derived two infinite

[^0]sequences of conserved quantities along with a bi-Hamiltonian structure. Furthermore, they showed that the Degasperis-Procesi equation is endowed with weak (continuous) solutions that are superpositions of multipeakons and described the (finitedimensional) integrable peakon dynamics. An explicit solution was also found in the perfectly anti-symmetric peakon-antipeakon collision case. Lundmark and Szmigielski [14, using an inverse scattering approach, computed $n$-peakon solutions to (1.1). Mustafa [16] proved that smooth solutions have infinite speed of propagation. Blowup phenomena have been investigated for example in [23]. Regarding the Cauchy problem and the initial-boundary value problem for the Degasperis-Procesi equation (1.1), Escher, Liu, and Yin have studied its well-posedness within certain functional classes in a series of papers [11, 21, 22.

The approach taken in the papers just listed emphasizes the similarities between the Degasperis-Procesi equation and the Camassa-Holm equation, and consequently the main focus has been on (weak) continuous solutions. In a different direction, Coclite and Karlsen [3, 4, 5], Coclite, Karlsen, and Kwon [6, and Lundmark [13] initiated a study of discontinuous (shock wave) solutions to the Degasperis-Procesi equation 1.1). In particular, the existence, uniqueness, and stability of entropy solutions of the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is proved in [3, 4, 5] and for the initialboundary value problem in 6.

When it comes to periodic solutions for the Degasperis-Procesi equation much less is known. The first results in that direction are those of Escher, Liu, and Yin [11, 21, 22], which apply to continuous solutions. To encompass discontinuous solutions we herein extend the approach of [3, 4, 5, 6,

Following [3] we rewrite (1.1] and 1.2 as a hyperbolic-elliptic system:

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u+u \partial_{x} u+\partial_{x} P=0, & (t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.5}\\ -\partial_{x x}^{2} P+P=\frac{3}{2} u^{2}, & (t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

Since $e^{-|\xi|} / 2$ is the Green's function of the differential operator $1-\partial_{x x}^{2}$ the function $P$ has a convolution structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t, x)=P^{u}(t, x):=\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} u^{2}(t, y) d y \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and 1.5 can be written as a conservation law with nonlocal flux

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{2}+\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} u^{2}(t, y) d y\right)=0, \quad(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or as a conservation law with nonlocal source:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} \operatorname{sign}(y-x) u^{2}(t, y) d y=0, \quad(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the spatial periodicity of $u$ implies the spatial periodicity of $P^{u}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|(x+1)-y|} u^{2}(t, y) d y & =\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-(y-1)|} u^{2}(t, y) d y \\
& =\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} u^{2}(t, y+1) d y=\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} u^{2}(t, y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $P^{u}(t, x+1)=P^{u}(t, x)$.
Following [3] we use the following definition of a periodic entropy solution:

Definition 1.1. We say that $u \in L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, for any $T>0$, is a periodic entropy solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1), 1.2) if
i) for almost every $t \geq 0, u(t, \cdot)$ is 1-periodic;
ii) $u$ is a distributional solution of (1.5);
iii) for every convex function $\eta \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ the entropy inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \eta(u)+\partial_{x} q(u)+\eta^{\prime}(u) \partial_{x} P^{u} \leq 0, \quad q(u)=\int^{u} \xi \eta^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in the sense of distributions on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$.
The aim of this paper is twofold. First of all, we study the well-posedness of periodic entropy solutions of the Degasperis-Procesi equation. Second, partly motivated by the explicit description of bounded periodic solutions found in [20] (see also [13, 14]), we study the long-time asymptotic behavior of periodic entropy solutions and prove that they decay to the mean value of the initial condition.

Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Let $u_{0}$ satisfy (1.3). The initial-boundary value problem (1.1), 1.2) possesses an unique periodic entropy solution $u \in L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}), T>0$. Moreover, if $v \in L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}), T>0$, is the unique periodic entropy solution of 1.1) with initial condition $v_{0}$ satisfying (1.3), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, \cdot)-v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq e^{M_{T} t}\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and almost every $0<t<T$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{T}:= & 3\left[\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\right.  \tag{1.11}\\
& \left.+18 T\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, if $u \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$, we have that as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, \cdot) \longrightarrow \int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(x) d x, \quad \text { a.e. and in } L_{l o c}^{p}(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p<\infty \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce a class of regular approximate solutions and provide a series of uniform a priori estimates. Section 3 is devoted to the well-posedness of (1.1). Finally, in Section 4 we analyze the long-time asymptotic behavior of bounded periodic entropy solutions.

## 2. Approximate solutions and a priori estimates

We prove prove existence of a periodic solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1), 1.2 by analyzing the limiting behavior of the sequence of smooth functions $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, where each function $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the periodic solution of the viscous problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{t x x}^{3} u_{\varepsilon}+4 u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}=3 \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x x x}^{3} u_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \partial_{x x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon \partial_{x x x x}^{4} u_{\varepsilon} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with the initial condition

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(0, x)=u_{0, \varepsilon}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

or equivalently of the following parabolic-elliptic system:

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2}\right)+\partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon \partial_{x x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}, & t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{2.2}\\ -\partial_{x x}^{2} P_{\varepsilon}+P_{\varepsilon}=\frac{3}{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}, & t>0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u_{\varepsilon}(0, x)=u_{0, \varepsilon}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

where we assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0, \varepsilon} \in H_{l o c}^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}), \text { for some } \ell \geq 2, \quad u_{0, \varepsilon} \text { is 1-periodic, for every } \varepsilon>0 \\
& \left\|u_{0, \varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)},\left\|u_{0, \varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}, \text { for every } \varepsilon>0  \tag{2.3}\\
& u_{0, \varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

Using again the fact that $e^{-|\xi|} / 2$ is the Green's function of the operator $1-\partial_{x x}^{2}$ we have an explicit expression for $P_{\varepsilon}$ in terms of $u_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\varepsilon}(t, x)=P^{u_{\varepsilon}}(t, x)=\left(1-\partial_{x x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2} u^{2}\right)(t, x)=\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t, y) d y \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The well posedness of the periodic solutions of the viscous problem (1.5) in $C\left([0, \infty) ; H_{l o c}^{\ell}(R)\right)$ for each fixed $\varepsilon>0$ can be proved using an argument similar the one of [2, Theorem 2.3].

The first step in the analysis of 2.2 is an uniform $L_{l o c}^{2}$ bound on the approximate solution $u_{\varepsilon}$. The argument is based on a fundamental $H_{l o c}^{2}$ estimate on the quantity $v_{\varepsilon}=v_{\varepsilon}(t, x)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\varepsilon}(t, x)=\left(4-\partial_{x x}^{2}\right)^{-1} u_{\varepsilon}(t, x)=\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}(t, y) d y, \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use of the quantity $v_{\varepsilon}$ is motivated by the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v\left(u-\partial_{x x}^{2} u\right) d x$ is a conserved quantity of the Degasperis-Procesi equation, where $4 v-\partial_{x x}^{2} v=u$ and $u$ solves (1.1) (see [8, 3]).

Lemma 2.1 ( $L^{2}$ Estimate). The bounds

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} & \leq 2\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}  \tag{2.6}\\
\sqrt{\varepsilon}\left\|\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times(0,1)\right)} & \leq \sqrt{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for any $\varepsilon>0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \geq 0$.
Proof. We multiply 2.2 by $v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}$ and then integrate the result over $(0,1)$, obtaining

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x}_{A_{1}} \\
& \quad+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x+\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x}_{A_{2}}  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x}_{A_{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

The periodicity of $u_{\varepsilon}$ gives the periodicity of $v_{\varepsilon}$. Therefore, from (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1} & =\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{t}\left(4 v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(4 \partial_{t} v_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}-4 \partial_{t} v_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{t x x}^{3} v_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}+\partial_{t x x}^{3} v_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(4 \partial_{t} v_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}+5 \partial_{t x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}+\partial_{t x x}^{3} v_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1}\left(4 v_{\varepsilon}^{2}+5\left(\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) d x=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}=\sqrt{4\|f\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+5\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}}, \quad \forall f \in H^{2}(0,1) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using again the periodicity of $P_{\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2} & =\int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x+\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x+\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x}\left(P_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} P_{\varepsilon}\right) v_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x+3 \int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\left(4 v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x=\int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon} d x=0, \\
A_{3} & =\varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x x}^{2}\left(4 v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(v_{\varepsilon}-\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& =\varepsilon \int_{0}^{1}\left(4 \partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}-4\left(\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}-\partial_{x x x x}^{4} v_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}+\partial_{x x x x}^{4} v_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& =\varepsilon \int_{0}^{1}\left(-4\left(\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}-4\left(\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+\partial_{x x x}^{3} v_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}-\left(\partial_{x x x}^{3} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& =-\varepsilon \int_{0}^{1}\left(4\left(\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+5\left(\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x x x}^{3} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& =-\varepsilon\left\|\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}(t \cdot \cdot)\right\|_{\tilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of 2.8), 2.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+2 \varepsilon\left\|\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, thanks to the Gronwall lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}(s, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} d s=\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Squaring 2.5 and using 2.9 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(16 v_{\varepsilon}^{2}+8\left(\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) d x \leq 4\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \\
\left\|\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(16\left(\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+8\left(\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x x x}^{3} v_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right) d x \leq 4\left\|\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} & \geq\left\|u_{0, \varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(16\left(v_{\varepsilon}(0, x)\right)^{2}+8\left(\partial_{x} v_{\varepsilon}(0, x)\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{x x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon}(0, x)\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& \geq\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{\widetilde{H}^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore 2.11 says

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}(s, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} d s \leq 4\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
$$

that gives the claim.
We continue with some a priori bounds on $P_{\varepsilon}$ that come directly from the energy estimate stated in Lemma 2.1

Lemma 2.2. Assume (1.3) and 2.3, and fix any $\varepsilon>0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\varepsilon} & \geq 0  \tag{2.12}\\
\left\|P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)},\left\|\partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} & \leq 6\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2},  \tag{2.13}\\
\left\|P_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}\right)} & \leq 12\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}  \tag{2.14}\\
\left\|\partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}\right)} & \leq 18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}  \tag{2.15}\\
\left\|\partial_{x x}^{2} P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} & \leq 12\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. Clearly, 2.12 follows from (2.4).
From the $P_{\varepsilon}$ equation in 2.2), the periodicity of $P_{\varepsilon}$, and 2.12 we have

$$
-\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} \partial_{x x}^{2} P_{\varepsilon} d x}_{=0}+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} P_{\varepsilon} d x}_{=\left\|P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}}=\frac{3}{2} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x}_{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}}
$$

therefore Lemma 2.1 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq 6\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by 2.4 and 2.12,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{3}{4} \partial_{x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t, y) d y\right| d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} \operatorname{sign}(y-x) u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t, y) d y\right| d x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \underbrace{\frac{3}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x-y|} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(t, y) d y}_{=P_{\varepsilon}} d x=\left\|P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, thanks to 2.17, we arrive at 2.13.
From the $P_{\varepsilon}$ equation in 2.2 we obtain

$$
\left\|\partial_{x x}^{2} P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq\left\|P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\frac{3}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
$$

Therefore 2.16 follows from 2.6 and 2.13 .

Finally, 2.14) and 2.15 follow from 2.13, 2.16, the embedding $L^{\infty}(0,1) \subset$ $W^{1,1}(0,1)$, and the spatial periodicity of the map $P_{\varepsilon}$.

Using the $W^{1, \infty}$ bound on $\left\{P_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ stated in Lemma 2.2, we show that the family $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}$.

Lemma 2.3. For every $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} t \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Due to 2.2 and Lemma 2.2 ,

$$
\partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon \partial_{x x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon} \leq\left\|\partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq 18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
$$

Since the map

$$
f(t):=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} t, \quad t \geq 0
$$

solves the equation

$$
\frac{d f}{d t}=18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
$$

and

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(0, x) \leq f(0), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

the comparison principle for parabolic equations implies that

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(t, x) \leq f(t), \quad(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

In a similar way we can prove that

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(t, x) \geq-f(t), \quad(t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma.
As a consequence of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 , the second equation in 2.2 yields
Lemma 2.4. For every $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x x}^{2} P_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+12\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} t \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Well-posedness of the Degasperis-Procesi equation

Relying on the a priori estimates derived in Section 2, we prove in this section the existence, uniqueness, and $L_{l o c}^{1}$ stability of periodic entropy solutions. These claims are immediate consequence of Lemmas 3.1, 3.5, and Corollary 3.1 below.

We begin by proving that there exists at least one periodic entropy solution.

Lemma 3.1 (Existence). Suppose (1.3) holds. There exists a periodic entropy solution to (1.1) and (1.2).

We will construct a weak solution by passing to the limit in a sequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ of viscosity approximations, see (2.1) or (2.2). We make the standing assumption that the approximate initial data $\left\{u_{0, \varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ are chosen such that they respect 1.3 and 2.3 . We use the the compensated compactness method [19, 18, to obtain strong convergence of a subsequence of viscosity approximations.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{v_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu>0}$ be a family of functions defined on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\left\|v_{\nu}\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq M_{T}, \quad T, \nu>0
$$

and the family

$$
\left\{\partial_{t} \eta\left(v_{\nu}\right)+\partial_{x} q\left(v_{\nu}\right)\right\}_{\nu>0}
$$

is compact in $H_{l o c}^{-1}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$, for every convex $\eta \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, where $q^{\prime}(u)=u \eta^{\prime}(u)$. Then there exist a sequence $\left\{\nu_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset(0, \infty), \nu_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and $v \in L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})$, for any $T>0$, such that

$$
v_{\nu_{n}} \rightarrow v \quad \text { a.e. and in } L_{l o c}^{p}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p<\infty .
$$

The following compact enbedding of Murat [15] will be used.
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Lemma 3.2. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$. Suppose the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{L}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distributions is bounded in $W^{-1, \infty}(\Omega)$. Suppose also that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{n}=\mathcal{L}_{n}^{1}+\mathcal{L}_{n}^{2},
$$

where $\left\{\mathcal{L}_{n}^{1}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a compact subset of $H_{\text {loc }}^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $\left\{\mathcal{L}_{n}^{2}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a bounded subset of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then $\left\{\mathcal{L}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a compact subset of $H_{\text {loc }}^{-1}(\Omega)$.

We now turn to the proof of Lemma 3.1, which will be accomplished through two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. There exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ and a limit function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}), T>0,1 \text {-periodic in the space variable, } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightarrow u \text { a.e. and in } L_{l o c}^{p}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any convex $C^{2}$ entropy function, and let $q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding entropy flux defined by $q^{\prime}(u)=\eta^{\prime}(u) u$. By multiplying the first equation in 2.2 with $\eta^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and using the chain rule, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\partial_{x} q\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=\underbrace{\varepsilon \partial_{x x}^{2} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)}_{=: \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, \alpha}^{1}} \underbrace{-\varepsilon \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}+\eta^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}}_{=: \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, \alpha}^{2}}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, \alpha}^{1}, \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, \alpha}^{2}$ are distributions. We claim that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, \alpha}^{1} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } H^{-1}((0, T) \times(0,1)), T>0, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, \alpha}^{2} \text { is uniformly bounded in } L^{1}((0, T) \times(0,1)), T>0, n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, 2.6, 2.18, and 2.13 imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varepsilon \partial_{x} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times(0,1))} & \leq 2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left\|\eta^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{I}_{T, n}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \rightarrow 0  \tag{3.5}\\
\left\|\varepsilon \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right\|_{L^{1}((0, T) \times(0,1))} & \leq 4\left\|\eta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{I}_{T, n}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
\left\|\eta^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}((0, T) \times(0,1))} & \leq 6 T\left\|\eta^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{I}_{T, n}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{I}_{T, n}=\left(-\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} T\right),\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+18\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} T\right)
$$

Hence, (3.4 follows. Therefore, Theorems 3.2 and 3.1 give the existence of a subsequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a limit function $u$ satisfying (3.1) such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (3.2) holds.

Finally, the periodicity of $u$ follows from the periodicity of the viscous approximants and the pointwise convergence stated in $(3.2$.

A direct consequence of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 is the convergence of $P_{\varepsilon}$.
Lemma 3.4. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightharpoonup P^{u} \text { in } L^{p}\left((0, T) ; W_{l o c}^{2, p}(\mathbb{R})\right), T>0,1 \leq p<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\varepsilon_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $u$ are constructed in Lemma 3.3.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ be a compactly supported test function. Due to 2.2,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{t} \phi+\frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{2} \partial_{x} \phi-\partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon} \phi+\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} \partial_{x x}^{2} \phi\right) d x d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{0, \varepsilon}(x) \phi(0, x) d x=0 .
$$

Therefore, (2.3) and Lemma 3.3 imply that the function $u$ constructed in Lemma 3.3 is a weak solution of (1.1), (1.2) in the sense of Definition 1.1 .

Finally, we have to verify that $u$ satisfies the entropy inequalities in Definition 1.1. Let $\eta \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a convex entropy with flux $q$ defined by $q^{\prime}(u)=u \eta^{\prime}(u)$. The convexity of $\eta$ and 2.2 yield

$$
\partial_{t} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\partial_{x} q\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\eta^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon \partial_{x x}^{2} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \underbrace{-\varepsilon \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}_{\leq 0} \leq \varepsilon \partial_{x x}^{2} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Therefore, the entropy inequalities follow from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4
Using Kruzkov's method [12] we can prove the $L^{1}$ stability (and thus uniqueness) of periodic entropy solutions.

Lemma 3.5 ( $L^{1}$ stability). Let $u$ and $v$ be two periodic entropy solutions of 1.1 with initial data $u(0, \cdot)=u_{0}$ and $v(0, \cdot)=v_{0}$ satisfying 1.3). Fix any $T>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t, \cdot)-v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq e^{M_{T} t}\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}, \quad \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive constant $M_{T}$ is defined in 1.11.
As an immediate consequence of this result is
Corollary 3.1 (Uniqueness). Suppose condition (1.3) holds. Then the Cauchy problem 1.1, 1.2 admits at most one periodic entropy solution.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. The doubling of variables argument of [12] gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}|u-v|+\partial_{x}\left(\operatorname{sign}(u-v) \frac{u^{2}-v^{2}}{2}\right)+\operatorname{sign}(u-v) \partial_{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{x x}^{2} P^{u}+P^{u}=\frac{3}{2} u^{2}, \quad-\partial_{x x}^{2} P^{v}+P^{v}=\frac{3}{2} v^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Employing the periodicity of the map $u-v$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u(t, \cdot)-v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq & \left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{sign}(u-v) \partial_{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right) d s d x  \tag{3.12}\\
\leq & \left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\left\|\partial_{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}((0, t) \times(0,1))}
\end{align*}
$$

for almost every $t$.
We have now to estimate the last term. We cannot argue as in Lemma 2.2 because $P^{u}-P^{v}$ may change sign. From (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{x x}^{2}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)+\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)=\frac{3}{2}\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)(t, \cdot) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and is periodic, there exists $x(t) \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\partial_{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)(t, x(t))=0 .
$$

Therefore, integrating $\sqrt{3.13}$ in $(x, x(t))$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)(t, x) & =\int_{x(t)}^{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)(t, y) d y-\frac{3}{2} \int_{x(t)}^{x}\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)(t, y) d y \\
& =\int_{x(t)}^{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)(t, y) d y-\frac{3}{2} \int_{x(t)}^{x}(u+v)(t, y)(u-v)(t, y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\partial_{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)(t, x)\right| \leq & \left\|P^{u}(t, \cdot)-P^{v}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
& +\frac{3}{2}\|u+v\|_{L^{\infty}((0, T) \times(0,1))}\|u(t, \cdot)-v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thanks to Lemma 2.3

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\partial_{x} P^{u}(t, \cdot)-\partial_{x} P^{v}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq & \left\|P^{u}(t, \cdot)-P^{v}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
& +M_{T}\|u(t, \cdot)-v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude estimating $\left\|P^{u}(t, \cdot)-P^{v}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}$. From 3.13 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid P^{u} & -P^{v} \left\lvert\,=\frac{3}{2}\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)+\partial_{x x}^{2}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)\right. \\
& =\frac{3}{2}\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)+\partial_{x x}^{2}\left|P^{u}-P^{v}\right| \underbrace{-\left(\partial_{x}\left(P^{u}-P^{v}\right)\right)^{2} \delta_{\left\{P^{u}=P^{v}\right\}}}_{\leq 0} \\
& \leq \frac{3}{2}|u+v||u-v|+\partial_{x x}^{2}\left|P^{u}-P^{v}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{\left\{P^{u}=P^{v}\right\}}$ is the Dirac delta concentrated on the set $\left\{P^{u}=P^{v}\right\}$. An integration on $(0,1)$ and Lemma 2.3 give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x} P^{u}(t, \cdot)-\partial_{x} P^{v}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq M_{T}\|u(t, \cdot)-v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the periodicity of the map $\partial_{x}\left|P^{u}-P^{v}\right|$, namely

$$
\partial_{x}\left|P^{u}-P^{v}\right|(t, 0)=\partial_{x}\left|P^{u}-P^{v}\right|(t, 1), \quad \text { a.e. } t>0
$$

Using (3.14) and 3.15 in 3.12) we get

$$
\|u(t, \cdot)-v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq\left\|u_{0}-v_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+M_{T} \int_{0}^{t}\|u(s, \cdot)-v(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} d s
$$

for almost every $t$. The claim follows from the Gronwall Lemma.

## 4. Asymptotic Behavior

Let $u$ be the periodic entropic solution of $\sqrt{1.1}$ ) and 1.2 . Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [1] we introduce the functions

$$
u_{T}(t, x):=u(T t, T x), \quad P_{T}(t, x):=P(T t, T x), \quad T, t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Clearly, $u_{T}$ and $P_{T}$ are $1 / T$ periodic in the space variable.
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Since $(u, P)$ solves 1.5$),\left(u_{T}, P_{T}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u_{T}+u_{T} \partial_{x} u_{T}+\partial_{x} P_{T}=0, & (t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{4.2}\\ -\frac{1}{T^{2}} \partial_{x x}^{2} P_{T}+P_{T}=\frac{3}{2} u_{T}^{2}, & (t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u_{T}(0, x)=u_{0}(T x), & x \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, $u_{T}$ formally solves

$$
\partial_{t} u_{T}+4 u_{T} \partial_{x} u_{T}=\frac{\partial_{t x x}^{3} u_{T}+3 \partial_{x} u_{T} \partial_{x x}^{2} u_{T}+u_{T} \partial_{x x x}^{3} u_{T}}{T^{2}}
$$

Due to 2.6 and 4.1 the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{T}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \leq c \sqrt{\frac{[T]+1}{T}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left\|u_{T}\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq\|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for any $T>0, t \geq 0$, and some constant $c>0$ independent on $t$, and $T$, where $[T]$ is the integer part of $T$. Indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} u_{T}^{2}(t, x) d x & =\int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(T t, T x) d x=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u^{2}(T t, x) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{[T]+1} u^{2}(T t, x) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{[T]+1} u_{0}^{2}(x) d x=2 \frac{[T]+1}{T} \int_{0}^{1} u_{0}^{2}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing in the same way and using (2.13), 2.15, 4.4 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P_{T}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} & \leq c \frac{[T]+1}{T}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \\
\left\|\partial_{x} P_{T}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} & \leq c \frac{[T]+1}{T}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{4}  \tag{4.5}\\
\left\|P_{T}\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})} & \leq\|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})}
\end{align*}
$$

for very $T>0, n \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 0$, and some constant $c>0$ independent on $n, t$, and $T$.
Let $\eta \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a convex entropy with flux $q$ defined by $q^{\prime}(u)=u \eta^{\prime}(u)$. It is not restrictive to assume $\eta^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \eta\left(u_{T}\right)+\partial_{x} q\left(u_{T}\right)+\eta^{\prime}\left(u_{T}\right) \partial_{x} P_{T}=-\mu_{T} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some nonnegative Radon measure $\mu_{T}$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{T}((0, \infty) \times(0,1)) \leq c\left\|\eta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{[T]+1}{T}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $T>0$ and some constant $c>0$ independent on $T$.
The compactness argument of the previous section guarantees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { a.e. and in } L_{l o c}^{p}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p<\infty \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $u$ solve (2.2) and (1.1), respectively. Defining

$$
u_{\varepsilon, T}(t, x):=u_{\varepsilon}(T t, T x)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon, T} \rightarrow u_{T} \text { a.e. and in } L_{l o c}^{p}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p<\infty \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u_{\varepsilon, T}$ solves

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon, T}+\partial_{x}\left(\frac{u_{\varepsilon, T}^{2}}{2}\right)+\partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon, T}=\frac{\varepsilon}{T} \partial_{x x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon, T}, & t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{4.10}\\ -\frac{1}{T^{2}} \partial_{x x}^{2} P_{\varepsilon, T}+P_{\varepsilon, T}=\frac{3}{2} u_{\varepsilon, T}^{2}, & t>0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u_{\varepsilon, T}(0, x)=u_{0, \varepsilon}(T x), & x \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon, T}\right)+ & \partial_{x} q\left(u_{\varepsilon, T}\right)+\eta^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon, T}\right) \partial_{x} P_{\varepsilon, T} \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon}{T} \partial_{x x}^{2} \eta\left(u_{\varepsilon, T}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{T} \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon, T}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon, T}\right)^{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (see 2.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varepsilon}{T} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon, T}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon, T}\right)^{2} d t d x & =\frac{\varepsilon}{T^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} d t d x \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{T^{3}} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{[T]+1} \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} d t d x \\
& \leq c\left\|\eta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{[T]+1}{T^{3}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get (4.6) and 4.7).
We now use again the argument of the proof of Lemma 3.3 for the family $\left\{u_{T}\right\}_{T>0}$. Thanks to (4.4), 4.5), and 4.7, we have that $\left\{\partial_{t} \eta\left(u_{T}\right)+\partial_{x} q\left(u_{T}\right)\right\}_{T>0}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{M}_{l o c}^{1}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, Theorems 3.2 and 3.1 give the existence of a subsequence $\left\{u_{T_{k}}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, T_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, and a limit function $u^{*} \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{T_{k}} \rightarrow u^{*} \text { a.e. and in } L_{l o c}^{p}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p<\infty \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T_{k}} \rightharpoonup P^{*} \text { in } L^{p}\left((0, T) ; W_{l o c}^{2, p}(\mathbb{R})\right), T>0,1 \leq p<\infty \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the $P^{T}$ equation in 4.2 and 2.16 we gain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T_{k}} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \text { in } L_{l o c}^{1}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}) \text { and a.e. in }(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{*}=\frac{3}{2}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $u^{*}$ is a weak solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} u^{*}+\partial_{x}\left(2\left(u^{*}\right)^{2}\right)=0, & (t, x) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}  \tag{4.16}\\ u^{*}(0, x)=\int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(x) d x, & x \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

where we used the convergence of the periodic functions to the mean value as the oscillations diverge. We claim that $u^{*}$ is the unique entropy solution of 4.16, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*}=\int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(x) d x \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From KHK: Replace "where we used the convergence of the periodic functions to the mean value as the oscillations diverge" by a reference to the precise

Let $\varphi \in C^{\infty}((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ be a nonnegative test function with compact support. Thanks to [10, Corollary 2.5] and [17, it suffices to consider the convex entropy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(u)=\frac{1}{3}|u|^{3} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its corresponding flux (see 4.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(u)=u^{4} \operatorname{sign}(u) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\left|u^{*}\right|^{3}}{3} \partial_{t} \varphi+\left(u^{*}\right)^{4} \operatorname{sign}\left(u^{*}\right) \partial_{x} \varphi\right) d t d x \geq 0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta>0$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\delta}(u)=\int^{u} \frac{\xi^{3}}{\sqrt{\xi^{2}+\delta}} d \xi, \quad q_{\delta}(u)=\int^{u} \frac{4 \xi^{4}}{\sqrt{\xi^{2}+\delta}} d \xi \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the entropy $\eta_{\delta}$ and $q_{\delta}$ in 4.2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\eta_{\delta}\left(u_{T_{k}}\right) \partial_{t} \varphi+\frac{1}{4} q_{\delta}\left(u_{T_{k}}\right) \partial_{x} \varphi\right) d t d x \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} P_{T_{k}} \eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\left(u_{T_{k}}\right) \varphi d t d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} P_{T_{k}} \frac{\left(u_{T_{k}}\right)^{3}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \varphi d t d x \\
&=\frac{2}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} P_{T_{k}}\left(P_{T_{k}}-\frac{1}{T^{2}} \partial_{x x}^{2} P_{T_{k}}\right) \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \varphi d t d x \\
&=\frac{2}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} P_{T_{k}} P_{T_{k}} \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \varphi d t d x \\
&-\frac{2}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{x} P_{T_{k}} \partial_{x x}^{2} P_{T_{k}} \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \varphi d t d x \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta} \partial_{x} \varphi d t d x-\frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{\delta}{\left(u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta\right)^{3 / 2}} \varphi d t d x} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \partial_{x} \varphi d t d x \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{\delta}{\left(u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta\right)^{3 / 2}} \varphi d t d x \\
& \quad \geq-\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \partial_{x} \varphi d t d x-\frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{\delta}{\left(u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta\right)^{3 / 2}} \varphi d t d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \partial_{x} \varphi d t d x
$$

The bounds in 4.5 and the compactness of the support of $\varphi$ give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{\delta}{\left(u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta\right)^{3 / 2}} \varphi d t d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\partial_{x} P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \frac{u_{T_{k}}}{\sqrt{u_{T_{k}}^{2}+\delta}} \partial_{x} \varphi d t d x \right\rvert\, \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2} \varphi+\left(\partial_{x} P_{T_{k}}\right)^{2}\left|\partial_{x} \varphi\right| d t d x \left\lvert\, \leq c \frac{1}{3 T_{k}^{2}} \frac{\left[T_{k}\right]+1}{T_{k}}\right.,\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant independent of $k$. Therefore as $k \rightarrow \infty$, thanks to 4.12, (4.13), and 4.15 we get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\eta_{\delta}\left(u^{*}\right) \partial_{t} \varphi+\frac{1}{4} q_{\delta}\left(u^{*}\right) \partial_{x} \varphi\right) d t d x \geq-\frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(u^{*}\right)^{5}}{\sqrt{\left(u^{*}\right)^{2}+\delta}} \partial_{x} \varphi d t d x
$$

As $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we get 4.20 .
Thanks to [10, Corollary 2.5] and [17, $u^{*}$ is the unique entropy solution of (4.16). Therefore, 4.17 and 1.12 hold.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The existence, stability, and uniqueness of entropy solutions are stated in Lemmas 3.3, 3.5 and Corollary 3.1. The asymptotic behavior is proved in this section.
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