



Politecnico
di Bari

Repository Istituzionale dei Prodotti della Ricerca del Politecnico di Bari

Amann–Zehnder type results for p-Laplace problems

This is a post print of the following article

Original Citation:

Amann–Zehnder type results for p-Laplace problems / Cingolani, Silvia; Degiovanni, Marco; Vannella, Giuseppina. - In: ANNALI DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA. - ISSN 0373-3114. - STAMPA. - 197:2(2018), pp. 605-640. [10.1007/s10231-017-0694-8]

Availability:

This version is available at <http://hdl.handle.net/11589/120070.27> since: 2022-06-03

Published version

DOI:10.1007/s10231-017-0694-8

Publisher:

Terms of use:

(Article begins on next page)

AMANN-ZEHNDER TYPE RESULTS FOR p -LAPLACE PROBLEMS

SILVIA CINGOLANI, MARCO DEGIOVANNI, AND GIUSEPPINA VANNELLA

ABSTRACT. The existence of a nontrivial solution is proved for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations involving, as principal part, either the p -Laplace operator or the operator related to the p -area functional, and a nonlinearity with p -linear growth at infinity. To this aim, Morse theory techniques are combined with critical groups estimates.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1980, Amann and Zehnder [4] studied the asymptotically linear elliptic problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 -function such that $g(0) = 0$ and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} g'(s) = \lambda.$$

They proved that problem (1.1) admits a nontrivial solution u , supposing that λ is not an eigenvalue of $-\Delta$, the so-called nonresonance condition at infinity, and that there exists some eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ between λ and $g'(0)$. The same result was obtained by Chang [9] in 1981, using Morse theory for manifolds with boundary, and by Lazer and Solimini [37] in 1988, combining mini-max characterization of the critical point and Morse index estimates. More precisely, the basic idea in [37] is to recognize that the energy functional associated to the asymptotically linear problem (1.1) has a saddle geometry, which implies that a suitable Poincaré polynomial is not trivial, and also to show that a certain critical group at zero is trivial, to ensure the existence of a solution $u \neq 0$ of (1.1).

In the present work, we are interested in finding nontrivial solutions u for the quasilinear elliptic problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left[(\kappa^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u \right] = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, with $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, while $\kappa \geq 0$, $p > 1$ are real numbers, and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 -function such that:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J62, 35J92, 58E05.

Key words and phrases. p -Laplace operator, p -area functional, nontrivial solutions, Morse theory, critical groups, functionals with lack of smoothness.

The authors are member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). In particular S. Cingolani is supported by G.N.A.M.P.A. Project 2016 "Studio variazionale di fenomeni fisici non lineari".

(a) $g(0) = 0$ and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(s)}{|s|^{p-2}s} = \lambda.$$

About the principal part of the equation, the reference cases are $\kappa = 0$, which yields the p -Laplace operator, and $\kappa = 1$, which yields the operator related to the p -area functional. In the case $p = 2$ the value of κ is irrelevant.

It is standard that weak solutions u of (1.2) correspond to critical points of the C^1 -functional $f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$(1.3) \quad f(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{p,\kappa}(\nabla u) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} G(u) \, dx,$$

where

$$\Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) = \frac{1}{p} \left[(\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \kappa^p \right], \quad G(s) = \int_0^s g(t) \, dt.$$

With reference to the approach of [37], when $p \neq 2$ the new difficulties that one has to face are related to both the main ingredients of the argument, namely to recognize a saddle structure, with a related information on a suitable Poincaré polynomial, and to provide an estimate of the critical groups at zero by some Hessian type notion.

Concerning the first aspect, the spectral properties of $-\Delta_p$ are not yet well understood. We say that the real number λ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$ if the equation $-\Delta_p u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u$ admits a nontrivial solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and we denote by $\sigma(-\Delta_p)$ the set of such eigenvalues. It is known that there exists a first eigenvalue $\lambda_1 > 0$, which is simple, and a second eigenvalue $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$, both possessing several equivalent characterizations (see [5, 6, 22, 26, 39]). Moreover, one can define in at least three different ways a diverging sequence (λ_m) of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_p$ (see [13, 26, 41]), but it is not known if they agree for $m \geq 3$ and if the whole set $\sigma(-\Delta_p)$ is covered. Therefore it is not standard to recognize a saddle type geometry for the energy functional associated to the quasilinear problem.

On the other hand, for functionals defined on Banach spaces, serious difficulties arise in extending Morse theory (see [48, 47, 10, 11, 12]). More precisely, by standard deformation results, which hold also in general Banach spaces, one can prove the so-called Morse relations, which can be written as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_m t^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m t^m + (1+t)Q(t),$$

where (β_m) is the sequence of the Betti numbers of a pair of sublevels $(\{f \leq b\}, \{f < a\})$ and (C_m) is a sequence related to the critical groups of the critical points u of f with $a \leq f(u) \leq b$ (see e.g. the next Definition 2.1 and [11, Theorem I.4.3]). The problem, in the extension from Hilbert to Banach spaces, concerns the estimate of (C_m) , hence of critical groups, by the Hessian of f or some related concept. In a Hilbert setting, the classical Morse lemma and the generalized Morse lemma [30] provide a satisfactory answer. For Banach spaces, a similar general result is so far not known, also due to the lack of Fredholm properties of the second derivative of the functional.

The first difficulty has been overcome by the first two authors in [13] for a problem quite similar to (1.2). By generalizing from [11] the notion of homological linking, in [13, Theorem 3.6] an abstract result has been proved which allows to produce a pair of sublevels

($\{f \leq b\}, \{f < a\}$) with a nontrivial homology group. In order to describe its dimension in terms of λ in the setting of problem (1.2), it is then convenient to set, whenever $m \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_m = \inf \left\{ \sup_A \mathcal{E} : A \subseteq M, A \text{ is symmetric and } \text{Index}(A) \geq m \right\},$$

where

$$M = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = 1 \right\}, \quad \mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx$$

and Index denotes the \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomological index of Fadell and Rabinowitz [27, 28]. For a matter of convenience, we also set $\lambda_0 = -\infty$. It is well known that (λ_m) is a nondecreasing divergent sequence. The arguments of [13] apply for any $p > 1$.

For the second difficulty, the value of p becomes relevant. In [16] the first and the last author have proved, for $p > 2$ and $\kappa > 0$, an extension of the Morse Lemma and established a connection between the critical groups and the Morse index, taking advantage of the fact that, under suitable assumptions on g , the functional f is actually of class C^2 on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and that

$$\Psi_{p,\kappa}''(\eta)[\xi]^2 \geq \nu_{p,\kappa} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{with } \nu_{p,\kappa} > 0.$$

Related results in the line of Morse theory have been proved by the first and the last author, in the case $p > 2$, in [17, 18, 19]. By means of the results of [19], an Amann-Zehnder type result has been proved in [13] for a problem quite similar to (1.2), provided that $p > 2$.

In this work we are first of all interested in a corresponding result in the case $1 < p < 2$, which amounts to establish a relation between critical groups and Hessian type notions also in this case. Since our argument recovers also the case $p \geq 2$ with less assumptions on g , we provide an Amann-Zehnder type result for any $p > 1$.

Let us point out that, if $1 < p < 2$, the functional f is not of class C^2 on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For $\kappa = 0$, even the function $\Psi_{p,\kappa}$ is not of class C^2 on \mathbb{R}^N .

If $\kappa > 0$ or $p \geq 2$, let us denote by $m(f, 0)$ the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces where the quadratic form $Q_0 : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$Q_0(u) = \begin{cases} \kappa^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - g'(0) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \text{ or } p > 2, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - g'(0) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \text{ and } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

is negative definite. Let us also denote by $m^*(f, 0)$ the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces where the quadratic form Q_0 is negative semidefinite. If $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$, we set $m(f, 0) = m^*(f, 0) = 0$.

Our first result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $1 < p < \infty$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and hypothesis (a) on g . Suppose also that $\lambda \notin \sigma(-\Delta_p)$ and denote by m_{∞} the integer such that $\lambda_{m_{\infty}} < \lambda < \lambda_{m_{\infty}+1}$.*

If

$$m_{\infty} \notin [m(f, 0), m^*(f, 0)],$$

then there exists a nontrivial solution u of (1.2).

It is easily seen that, if $p = 2$, the assumption that there exists some eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ between λ and $g'(0)$ is equivalent to $m_{\infty} \notin [m(f, 0), m^*(f, 0)]$.

Differently from [13], we aim also to deal with the resonant case, namely $\lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta_p)$. This is not motivated by the pure wish of facing a more complicated situation. To our knowledge, nobody has so far excluded the possibility that $\sigma(-\Delta_p) = \{\lambda_1\} \cup [\lambda_2, +\infty[$. In such a case, the restriction $\lambda \notin \sigma(-\Delta_p)$ would be quite severe. Taking into account Theorem 1.1, the next result has interest if $\lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta_p)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume hypothesis (a) on g and one of the following:*

(b₋) *we have*

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [pG(s) - g(s)s] = -\infty;$$

then we denote by m_∞ the integer such that

$$\lambda_{m_\infty} < \lambda \leq \lambda_{m_\infty+1};$$

(b₊) *we have*

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [pG(s) - g(s)s] = +\infty$$

and, moreover, either $1 < p \leq 2$ with $\kappa \geq 0$ or $p > 2$ with $\kappa = 0$; then we denote by m_∞ the integer such that

$$\lambda_{m_\infty} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{m_\infty+1}.$$

If

$$m_\infty \notin [m(f, 0), m^*(f, 0)],$$

then there exists a nontrivial solution u of (1.2).

Remark 1.3. Concerning the lower order term, examples of g satisfying (a) and (b₊) or (b₋) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(s) &= \lambda(1 + s^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}s + \mu(1 + s^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}}s && \text{with } \mu \neq 0 \text{ and } 0 < q < p \leq 2, \\ g(s) &= \lambda|s|^{p-2}s + \mu|s|^{q-2}s && \text{with } \mu \neq 0 \text{ and } 2 \leq q < p, \end{aligned}$$

so that, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} G(s) &= \frac{\lambda}{p} \left[(1 + s^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} - 1 \right] + \frac{\mu}{q} \left[(1 + s^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} - 1 \right], \\ G(s) &= \frac{\lambda}{p} |s|^p + \frac{\mu}{q} |s|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.4. Let $p = 4$, so that

$$\Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} |\xi|^4 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 |\xi|^2,$$

and let

$$g(s) = \lambda_m s^3 + \mu s$$

with $m \geq 1$ and $\mu > 0$, so that

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [4G(s) - g(s)s] = +\infty,$$

while

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u|^4 - \lambda_m |u|^4] dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [\kappa^2 |\nabla u|^2 - \mu |u|^2] dx.$$

It is clear that we cannot describe the geometry of the functional f , if we have no information concerning κ^2 and μ . For this reason in (b_+) only the case $\kappa = 0$ is considered, when $p > 2$.

In Section 2 we state some results about the critical groups estimates for a large class of functionals including (1.3). We refer to Theorems 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 for $k > 0$ and to Theorems 2.6 and 2.7 for $k = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$ (such results have been announced, without proof, in [15]). Moreover, in a more particular situation which is however enough for the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we extend Theorem 2.2 to any $\kappa \geq 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$ (see Theorem 2.8).

Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 are devoted to the proof, by a finite dimensional reduction introduced in a different setting in [36], of the results stated in Section 2, while Section 7 contains the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

2. CRITICAL GROUPS ESTIMATES

In this section we consider a class of functionals including (1.3). More precisely, let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, with $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, and let $f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional defined as

$$(2.1) \quad f(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx$$

where $G(x, s) = \int_0^s g(x, t) dt$. We assume that:

- (Ψ_1) the function $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 with $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $\nabla\Psi(0) = 0$; moreover, there exist $1 < p < \infty$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and $0 < \nu \leq C$ such that the functions $(\Psi - \nu \Psi_{p,\kappa})$ and $(C \Psi_{p,\kappa} - \Psi)$ are both convex; such a p is clearly unique;
- (Ψ_2) if $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$, then Ψ is of class C^2 on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$; otherwise, Ψ is of class C^2 on \mathbb{R}^N ;
- (g_1) the function $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $g(\cdot, s)$ is measurable for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g(x, \cdot)$ is of class C^1 for a.e. $x \in \Omega$; moreover, we suppose that:
 - if $p < N$, there exist $C, q > 0$ such that $q \leq p^* - 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p} - 1$ and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^q) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } s \in \mathbb{R};$$

- if $p = N$, there exist $C, q > 0$ such that

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^q) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } s \in \mathbb{R};$$

- if $p > N$, for every $S > 0$ there exists $C_S > 0$ such that

$$|g(x, s)| \leq C_S \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |s| \leq S;$$

- (g_2) for every $S > 0$ there exists $\widehat{C}_S > 0$ such that

$$|D_s g(x, s)| \leq \widehat{C}_S \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and every } s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |s| \leq S.$$

From (Ψ_1) it follows that Ψ is strictly convex. Moreover, under these assumptions, it is easily seen that $f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^1 , while it is of class C^2 if $p > \max\{N, 2\}$. Finally, even in the case $g = 0$, f is never of class C^2 for $1 < p < 2$ and is of class C^2 in the case $p = 2$ iff Ψ is a quadratic form on \mathbb{R}^N (see [1, Proposition 3.2]).

Now, let $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a critical point of the functional f , namely a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} [\nabla \Psi(\nabla u)] = g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

According to [31, 25, 38, 45, 46], $u_0 \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in]0, 1]$ (see also the next Theorems 3.1 and 3.2).

Let us recall the first ingredient we need from [11, 23, 40].

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbb{G} be an abelian group, $c = f(u_0)$ and $f^c = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : f(u) \leq c\}$. The m -th critical group of f at u_0 with coefficients in \mathbb{G} is defined by

$$C_m(f, u_0; \mathbb{G}) = H^m(f^c, f^c \setminus \{u_0\}; \mathbb{G}),$$

where H^* stands for Alexander-Spanier cohomology [44]. We will simply write $C_m(f, u_0)$, if no confusion can arise.

In general, it may happen that $C_m(f, u_0)$ is not finitely generated for some m and that $C_m(f, u_0) \neq \{0\}$ for infinitely many m 's. If however u_0 is an isolated critical point, under assumptions (Ψ_1) and (g_1) it follows from [14, Theorem 1.1] and [3, Theorem 3.4] that $C_*(f, u_0)$ is of finite type.

The other ingredient is a notion of Morse index, which is not standard, as the functional f is not in general of class C^2 .

In the case $\kappa > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \min\{(p-1), 1\} (\kappa^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi|^2 &\leq \Psi''(\eta)[\xi]^2 \\ &\leq C \max\{(p-1), 1\} (\kappa^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for any } \eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned}$$

as $(\Psi - \nu \Psi_{p,\kappa})$ and $(C \Psi_{p,\kappa} - \Psi)$ are both convex. Therefore, there exists $\tilde{\nu} > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\nu} |\xi|^2 \leq \Psi''(\nabla u_0(x)) [\xi]^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\nu}} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for any } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

as ∇u_0 is bounded. Moreover, $D_s g(x, u_0) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, as u_0 is bounded. Thus, we can define a smooth quadratic form $Q_{u_0} : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Q_{u_0}(v) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla v]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) v^2 dx$$

and define the *Morse index of f at u_0* (denoted by $m(f, u_0)$) as the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ where Q_{u_0} is negative definite and the *large Morse index of f at u_0* (denoted by $m^*(f, u_0)$) as the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ where Q_{u_0} is negative semidefinite. We clearly have $m(f, u_0) \leq m^*(f, u_0) < +\infty$. Let us point out that Q_{u_0} is well behaved on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, while f is naturally defined on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

In the case $\kappa = 0$ and $p > 2$, we still have

$$\Psi''(\nabla u_0(x)) [\xi]^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\nu}} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for any } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

so that $Q_{u_0} : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $m(f, u_0)$ and $m^*(f, u_0)$ can be defined as before and $m(f, u_0) \leq m^*(f, u_0)$. However, $m(f, u_0)$ and $m^*(f, u_0)$ might take the value $+\infty$.

Finally, in the case $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$ observe that

$$\frac{(p-1)\nu}{|\eta|^{2-p}} |\xi|^2 \leq \Psi''(\eta)[\xi]^2 \leq \frac{C}{|\eta|^{2-p}} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for any } \eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } \eta \neq 0,$$

whence

$$\Psi''(\nabla u_0(x)) [\xi]^2 \geq \tilde{\nu} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for any } x \in \Omega \text{ with } \nabla u_0(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Set

$$Z_{u_0} = \{x \in \Omega : \nabla u_0(x) = 0\},$$

$$X_{u_0} = \left\{ v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \nabla v(x) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } Z_{u_0} \text{ and } \frac{|\nabla v|^2}{|\nabla u_0|^{2-p}} \in L^1(\Omega \setminus Z_{u_0}) \right\}.$$

Then

$$(v|w)_{u_0} = \int_{\Omega \setminus Z_{u_0}} \Psi''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla v, \nabla w] dx$$

is a scalar product on X_{u_0} which makes X_{u_0} a Hilbert space continuously embedded in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we can define a smooth quadratic form $Q_{u_0} : X_{u_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Q_{u_0}(v) = \int_{\Omega \setminus Z_{u_0}} \Psi''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla v]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) v^2 dx$$

and denote again by $m(f, u_0)$ the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces of X_{u_0} where Q_{u_0} is negative definite and by $m^*(f, u_0)$ the supremum of the dimensions of the linear subspaces of X_{u_0} where Q_{u_0} is negative semidefinite. Since the derivative of Q_{u_0} is a compact perturbation of the Riesz isomorphism, we have $m(f, u_0) \leq m^*(f, u_0) < +\infty$. For a sake of uniformity, let us set $X_{u_0} = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ when $\kappa > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

Now we can state the results concerning the critical groups estimates for the functional (2.1).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\kappa > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Let $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a critical point of the functional f defined in (2.1).*

Then we have

$$C_m(f, u_0) = \{0\} \quad \text{whenever } m < m(f, u_0) \text{ or } m > m^*(f, u_0).$$

When the quadratic form Q_{u_0} has no kernel, we can provide a complete description of the critical groups.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\kappa > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Let $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a critical point of the functional f defined in (2.1) with $m(f, u_0) = m^*(f, u_0)$.*

Then u_0 is an isolated critical point of f and we have

$$\begin{cases} C_m(f, u_0) \approx \mathbb{G} & \text{if } m = m(f, u_0), \\ C_m(f, u_0) = \{0\} & \text{if } m \neq m(f, u_0). \end{cases}$$

If u_0 is an isolated critical point of f , then a sharper form of Theorem 2.2 can be proved. Taking into account Theorem 2.3, only the case $m(f, u_0) < m^*(f, u_0)$ is interesting.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\kappa > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Let $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be an isolated critical point of the functional f defined in (2.1) with $m(f, u_0) < m^*(f, u_0)$.*

Then one and only one of the following facts holds:

(a) we have

$$\begin{cases} C_m(f, u_0) \approx \mathbb{G} & \text{if } m = m(f, u_0), \\ C_m(f, u_0) = \{0\} & \text{if } m \neq m(f, u_0); \end{cases}$$

(b) we have

$$\begin{cases} C_m(f, u_0) \approx \mathbb{G} & \text{if } m = m^*(f, u_0), \\ C_m(f, u_0) = \{0\} & \text{if } m \neq m^*(f, u_0); \end{cases}$$

(c) we have

$$C_m(f, u_0) = \{0\} \quad \text{whenever } m \leq m(f, u_0) \text{ or } m \geq m^*(f, u_0).$$

Remark 2.5. Since the value of κ is irrelevant in the case $p = 2$, Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 cover also the case $\kappa = 0$ with $p = 2$.

In the case $\kappa = 0$ and $p \neq 2$, we cannot provide such a complete description. Let us mention, however, that critical groups estimates have been obtained in [2] when Ω is a ball centered at the origin, and the critical point u_0 is a positive and radial function such that $|\nabla u_0(x)| \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0$.

Apart from the radial case, if $p > 2$ and g is subjected to assumptions that imply f to be of class C^2 on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it has been proved in [36, Theorem 3.1] that $C_m(f, u_0) = \{0\}$ whenever $m < m(f, u_0)$. On the contrary, there is no information, in general, when $p > 2$ and $m > m^*(f, u_0)$.

In the case $1 < p < 2$, the situation turns out to be in some sense reversed. We will prove a result when $m > m^*(f, u_0)$, while we have no information, in general, when $m < m(f, u_0)$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$. Let $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a critical point of the functional f defined in (2.1).*

Then we have

$$C_m(f, u_0) = \{0\} \quad \text{whenever } m > m^*(f, u_0).$$

However, in the case $u_0 = 0$, we can provide an optimal result in the line of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$. Let 0 be a critical point of the functional f defined in (2.1).*

Then we have $m(f, 0) = m^(f, 0) = 0$ and 0 is a strict local minimum and an isolated critical point of f with*

$$\begin{cases} C_m(f, 0) \approx \mathbb{G} & \text{if } m = 0, \\ C_m(f, 0) = \{0\} & \text{if } m \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Finally, under more specific assumptions we can extend Theorem 2.2 to any κ and p . This will be enough for the results stated in the Introduction.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\kappa \geq 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Let 0 be an isolated critical point of the functional f defined in (2.1) and suppose that g is independent of x and satisfies assumption (g_1) with $q < p^* - 1$ in the case $p < N$.*

Then we have

$$C_m(f, 0) = \{0\} \quad \text{whenever } m < m(f, 0) \text{ or } m > m^*(f, 0).$$

3. SOME AUXILIARY RESULTS

In the following, for any $q \in [1, \infty]$ we will denote by $\| \cdot \|_q$ the usual norm in $L^q(\Omega)$. We also set, for any $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$,

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} = \sup_{\Omega} |u| + \sup_{\Omega} |\nabla u| + \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$

Throughout this section, we assume that Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and we suppose that Ψ and g satisfy assumptions (Ψ_1) , (Ψ_2) and (g_1) , without any further restriction on p and κ .

In the first part, we adapt to our setting some regularity results from [31, 25, 38, 45, 46].

Theorem 3.1. *For every $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exists $r > 0$ such that, for any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $w \in W^{-1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{\Omega} [\nabla \Psi(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v - g(x, u)v] \, dx \leq \langle w, v \rangle \\ \text{for any } v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ with } vu \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \|\nabla u - \nabla u_0\|_p \leq r, \end{array} \right.$$

we have $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C(\|w\|_{W^{-1,\infty}}).$$

Proof. We only sketch the proof the case $1 < p < N$. The case $p \geq N$ is similar and even simpler. Since $(\Psi - \nu\Psi_{p,\kappa})$ is convex, we have

$$\nabla \Psi(\xi) \cdot \xi = (\nabla \Psi(\xi) - \nabla \Psi(0)) \cdot \xi \geq \nu(\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then the argument is the same of [31, Corollary 1.1]. We only have to remark that, for every $V_0 \in L^{N/p}(\Omega)$ and $q < \infty$, there exists $r > 0$ such that, for any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $V \in L^{N/p}(\Omega)$ and $w \in W^{-1,\infty}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{\Omega} [\nabla \Psi(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v - V|u|^{p-2}uv] \, dx \leq \langle w, v \rangle \\ \text{for any } v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ with } vu \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \|V - V_0\|_{N/p} \leq r, \end{array} \right.$$

we have $u \in L^q(\Omega)$ and

$$\|u\|_q \leq C(q, \|u\|_{p^*}, \|w\|_{W^{-1,\infty}})$$

(see, in particular, [31, Proposition 1.2 and Remark 1.1]). The key point is that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist r, \bar{k} such that

$$k \geq \bar{k} \implies \int_{\{|V|>k\}} |V|^{N/p} \, dx \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever $\|V - V_0\|_{N/p} \leq r$. After removing the dependence on V in [31, Proposition 1.2], hence on u in [31, Corollary 1.1], the argument is the same of [31]. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $\partial\Omega$ is of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in]0, 1]$. Then there exists $\beta \in]0, 1]$ such that any solution u of*

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ -\operatorname{div}[\nabla\Psi(\nabla u)] = w_0 - \operatorname{div} w_1 & \text{in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

with $w_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $w_1 \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^N)$, belongs also to $C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ and we have

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\beta}} \leq C(\|w_0\|_\infty, \|w_1\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}).$$

Proof. Since Ψ is strictly convex and

$$\nabla\Psi(\xi) \cdot \xi \geq \nu(\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

it is standard that, for every $w_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $w_1 \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists one and only one $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $-\operatorname{div}[\nabla\Psi(\nabla u)] = w_0 - \operatorname{div} w_1$. Moreover, we have

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C(\|w_0\|_\infty, \|w_1\|_{C^{0,\alpha}})$$

(see e.g. [35]).

Now, for every $N \geq 1$, fix a nonnegative smooth function ϱ with compact support in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^N and unit integral. Then define, for every $\Phi \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(R_\varepsilon\Phi)(\xi) = \int \varrho(y)\Phi(\xi - \varepsilon y) dy.$$

It is easily seen that there exist $0 < \check{\nu}(N, p) \leq \check{C}(N, p)$ such that

$$\check{\nu}(1 + |\xi|)^{p-2} \leq \int \varrho(y)(1 + |\xi - ty|)^{p-2} dy \leq \check{C}(1 + |\xi|)^{p-2},$$

$$\check{\nu}(1 + |\xi|)^{p-2} \leq \int \varrho(y)(t + |\xi - y|)^{p-2} dy \leq \check{C}(1 + |\xi|)^{p-2},$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then there exist $0 < \hat{\nu}(N, p) \leq \hat{C}(N, p)$ such that

$$\hat{\nu}(\varepsilon + \kappa + |\xi|)^{p-2} \leq \int \varrho(y)(\kappa + |\xi - \varepsilon y|)^{p-2} dy \leq \hat{C}(\varepsilon + \kappa + |\xi|)^{p-2},$$

for every $\kappa \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Observe that $\Psi_{p,\kappa} \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\frac{p-1}{2}(\kappa + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi|^2 \leq \Psi_{p,\kappa}''(\eta)[\xi]^2 \leq (\kappa + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi|^2$$

for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\eta \neq 0$.

It follows that there exist $0 < \tilde{\nu}(N, p) \leq \tilde{C}(N, p)$ such that

$$\tilde{\nu}(\varepsilon + \kappa + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi|^2 \leq (R_\varepsilon\Psi_{p,\kappa})''(\eta)[\xi]^2 \leq \tilde{C}(\varepsilon + \kappa + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi|^2,$$

for every $\kappa \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $(\Psi - \nu\Psi_{p,\kappa})$ and $(C\Psi_{p,\kappa} - \Psi)$ are both convex, we infer that $R_\varepsilon\Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \nu\tilde{\nu}(\varepsilon + \kappa + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi|^2 \leq (R_\varepsilon\Psi)''(\eta)[\xi]^2 \leq C\tilde{C}(\varepsilon + \kappa + |\eta|)^{p-2} |\xi|^2,$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Again, from the results of [35], it follows that there exists one and only one $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $-\operatorname{div} [\nabla(R_\varepsilon \Psi)(\nabla u_\varepsilon)] = w_0 - \operatorname{div} w_1$. Moreover, we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq C (\|w_0\|_\infty, \|w_1\|_{C^{0,\alpha}})$$

and the estimate is independent of ε for, say, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Then from (3.1) and [38, Theorem 1] we infer that $u_\varepsilon \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ and

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\beta}} \leq C (\|w_0\|_\infty, \|w_1\|_{C^{0,\alpha}})$$

for some $\beta \in]0, 1]$, again with an estimate independent of $\varepsilon \in]0, 1]$.

Therefore (u_ε) is convergent, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to u in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and the assertion follows. \square

From (3.1) we also infer the next result.

Proposition 3.3. *We have $\Psi \in W_{loc}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $q > N$, so that the map $\nabla \Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is locally Hölder continuous.*

Now let X be a reflexive Banach space. The next concept is taken from [8, 43].

Definition 3.4. Let $D \subseteq X$. A map $F : D \rightarrow X'$ is said to be of class $(S)_+$ if, for every sequence (u_k) in D weakly convergent to u in X with

$$\limsup_k \langle F(u_k), u_k - u \rangle \leq 0,$$

we have $\|u_k - u\| \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^1 and let C be a closed and convex subset of X . Assume that f' is of class $(S)_+$ on C .*

Then the following facts hold:

- (a) *f is sequentially lower semicontinuous on C with respect to the weak topology;*
- (b) *if (u_k) is a sequence in C weakly convergent to u with*

$$\limsup_k f(u_k) \leq f(u),$$

we have $\|u_k - u\| \rightarrow 0$;

- (c) *any bounded sequence (u_k) in C , with $\|f'(u_k)\| \rightarrow 0$, admits a convergent subsequence.*

Proof. Let (u_k) be a sequence in C weakly convergent to u . To prove (a) we may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\limsup_k f(u_k) \leq f(u).$$

Let $t_k \in]0, 1[$ be such that

$$f(u_k) = f(u) + \langle f'(v_k), u_k - u \rangle, \quad v_k = u + t_k(u_k - u).$$

Then (v_k) also is a sequence in C weakly convergent to u and

$$\limsup_k \langle f'(v_k), v_k - u \rangle = \limsup_k t_k \langle f'(v_k), u_k - u \rangle = \limsup_k t_k (f(u_k) - f(u)) \leq 0.$$

Since f' is of class $(S)_+$ on C , we infer that $\|v_k - u\| \rightarrow 0$, hence that

$$\lim_k f(u_k) = \lim_k [f(u) + \langle f'(v_k), u_k - u \rangle] = f(u)$$

and assertion (a) follows.

To prove (b), let $\tau_k \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1[$ be such that

$$f(u_k) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) = \frac{1}{2}\langle f'(w_k), u_k - u \rangle, \quad w_k = u + \tau_k(u_k - u).$$

Observe that $(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u)$ also is a sequence in C weakly convergent to u , whence

$$\liminf_k f\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \geq f(u).$$

It follows

$$\limsup_k \langle f'(w_k), u_k - u \rangle = \limsup_k 2 \left[f(u_k) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}u_k + \frac{1}{2}u\right) \right] \leq 0$$

whence, as before, $\|w_k - u\| \rightarrow 0$. Since (τ_k) is bounded away from 0, we conclude that $\|u_k - u\| \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, to prove (c) we may assume that (u_k) is weakly convergent to some u , whence

$$\lim_k \langle f'(u_k), u_k - u \rangle = 0.$$

Since f' is of class $(S)_+$ on C , assertion (c) also follows. \square

We end the section with a result relating the minimality in the C^1 -topology and that in the $W_0^{1,p}$ -topology. When $W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\Psi(\xi) = \frac{1}{p}|\xi|^p$, the next theorem has been proved in [29], which extends to the p -Laplacian the well-known result by Brezis and Nirenberg [7] for the case $p = 2$ (see also [32] for $p > 2$ and [34] in a non-smooth setting).

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ and that $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in]0, 1[$. Suppose also that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = V \oplus W$, where V is a finite dimensional subspace of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, W is closed in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and the projection $P_V : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow V$, associated with the direct sum decomposition, is continuous from the topology of $L^1(\Omega)$ to that of V .*

If u_0 is a strict local minimum for the functional f defined in (2.1) along $u_0 + (W \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}))$ for the $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -topology, then u_0 is a strict local minimum of f along $u_0 + W$ for the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -topology.

Proof. Define a convex and coercive functional $h : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(u) = \int_{\Omega} [\Psi(\nabla u) - \Psi(\nabla u_0) - \nabla\Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla u_0)] dx$$

and observe that $v_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $h(v_k) \rightarrow 0$. Actually, if $v_k \rightarrow u_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it is clear that $h(v_k) \rightarrow 0$. Conversely, assume that $h(v_k) \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$\Psi(\nabla v_k) - \Psi(\nabla u_0) - \nabla\Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot (\nabla v_k - \nabla u_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega),$$

up to a subsequence we have

$$\Psi(\nabla v_k) - \Psi(\nabla u_0) - \nabla\Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot (\nabla v_k - \nabla u_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

hence $\nabla v_k \rightarrow \nabla u_0$ a.e. in Ω by the strict convexity of Ψ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\nabla v_k) - \Psi(\nabla u_0) - \nabla\Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot (\nabla v_k - \nabla u_0) \\ \geq \frac{\nu}{p} |\nabla v_k|^p - \nabla\Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla v_k - z \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

for some $z \in L^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, (∇v_k) is convergent to ∇u_0 also weakly in $L^p(\Omega)$. If we apply Fatou's Lemma to the sequence

$$[\Psi(\nabla v_k) - \Psi(\nabla u_0) - \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot (\nabla v_k - \nabla u_0)] - \frac{\nu}{p} |\nabla v_k|^p + \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla v_k + z \geq 0,$$

we find that

$$\limsup_k \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^p dx,$$

whence the convergence of (v_k) to u_0 in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Since h is of class C^1 with

$$\langle h'(u), u - u_0 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \Psi(\nabla u) - \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0)) \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla u_0) dx > 0 \quad \text{for any } u \neq u_0,$$

for every $r > 0$ the set

$$\{w \in W : h(u_0 + w) = r\}$$

is a C^1 -hypersurface in W . Moreover, if r is small enough, the map f' is of class $(S)_+$ on

$$\{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : h(u_0 + u) \leq r\}.$$

If $\Psi = \Psi_{p,0}$ with $1 < p < N$, this is proved in [14, Theorem 1.2], while the general case follows from [3, Theorem 3.4]. From Proposition 3.5 we infer that $\{u \mapsto f(u_0 + u)\}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on

$$\{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : h(u_0 + u) \leq r\},$$

hence on

$$\{w \in W : h(u_0 + w) \leq r\},$$

which is weakly compact.

If we argue by contradiction, we find a sequence (w_k) in W such that w_k is a minimum of $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + w)\}$ on

$$\{w \in W : h(u_0 + w) \leq r_k\}$$

with $r_k \rightarrow 0$ and $w_k \neq 0$, in particular $f(u_0 + w_k) \leq f(u_0)$. Therefore, there exists $\lambda_k \geq 0$ such that

$$\langle f'(u_0 + w_k) + \lambda_k h'(u_0 + w_k), u \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in W,$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k)) \cdot \nabla u dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_0 + w_k) u dx \\ & + \lambda_k \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k)) - \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0)) \cdot \nabla u dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in W, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k)) \cdot \nabla u dx - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_0 + w_k) u dx \\ & = \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla u dx \quad \text{for any } u \in W. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k)) \cdot \nabla u \, dx - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_0 + w_k) u \, dx &= \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla u \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k)) \cdot \nabla P_V u \, dx - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_0 + w_k) P_V u \, dx \\ &- \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla P_V u \, dx \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since P_V is continuous from the topology of $L^1(\Omega)$ to that of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k)) \cdot \nabla P_V u \, dx - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} g(x, u_0 + w_k) P_V u \, dx \\ - \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla P_V u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} z_k u \, dx \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

with (z_k) bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. It follows

$$(3.2) \quad -\operatorname{div} [\nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k))] - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} g(x, u_0 + w_k) = z_k - \operatorname{div} \left[\frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \right]$$

and $\nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \in C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\beta \in]0, 1]$, by Proposition 3.3.

If $p < N$, from (g_1) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} [g(x, u_0 + w_k)u - g(x, 0)] u &\leq |g(x, u_0 + w_k) - g(x, 0)| |u| \\ &= \frac{|g(x, u_0 + w_k) - g(x, 0)|}{|u_0 + w_k|} (u_0 + w_k)u \\ &\leq \frac{C(1 + |u_0 + w_k|^{p^*-1})}{|u_0 + w_k|} (u_0 + w_k)u \\ &= C \frac{u_0 + w_k}{|u_0 + w_k|} u + C|u_0 + w_k|^{p^*-2} (u_0 + w_k)u, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $u(u_0 + w_k) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla(u_0 + w_k)) \cdot \nabla u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} C|u_0 + w_k|^{p^*-2} (u_0 + w_k)u \, dx \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} g(x, 0) + \hat{z}_k + z_k \right] u \, dx + \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla u \, dx \\ \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ with } u(u_0 + w_k) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{z}_k = \begin{cases} C \frac{u_0 + w_k}{|u_0 + w_k|} & \text{where } u_0 + w_k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{where } u_0 + w_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 3.1 it follows that $(u_0 + w_k)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Coming back to (3.2), from Theorem 3.2 we conclude that $(u_0 + w_k)$ is bounded in $C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in]0, 1]$. Then $(u_0 + w_k)$ is convergent to u_0 in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and a contradiction follows.

If $p \geq N$, the argument is similar and even simpler. \square

4. PARAMETRIC MINIMIZATION

Throughout this section, we assume that Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in]0, 1]$ and that Ψ and g satisfy assumptions (Ψ_1) , (Ψ_2) , (g_1) and (g_2) with either $\kappa > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$ or $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$.

Let u_0 denote a critical point of the functional f defined in (2.1). According to Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have $u_0 \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in]0, 1]$.

Given a continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for any $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we set

$$\underline{\Phi}''(x)[v]^2 = \liminf_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ t \rightarrow 0 \\ w \rightarrow v}} \frac{\Phi(y + tw) + \Phi(y - tw) - 2\Phi(y)}{t^2}.$$

Then the function $\{(x, v) \mapsto \underline{\Phi}''(x)[v]^2\}$ is lower semicontinuous. If Φ is convex, it is also clear that $\underline{\Phi}''(x)[v]^2 \in [0, +\infty]$ and that $\underline{\Phi}''(x)[0]^2 = 0$. In particular, it is easily seen that

$$\kappa = 0 \text{ and } 1 < p < 2 \implies \underline{\Psi}''_{p,\kappa}(0)[\xi]^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \xi = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \xi \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $(\Psi - \nu \Psi_{p,\kappa})$ is convex, we also have

$$\kappa = 0 \text{ and } 1 < p < 2 \implies \underline{\Psi}''(0)[\xi]^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \xi = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \xi \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

while $\underline{\Psi}''(\eta)[\xi]^2 = \Psi''(\eta)[\xi]^2$ in the other cases. In particular, the function $\{\xi \mapsto \underline{\Psi}''(\eta)[\xi]^2\}$ is convex for any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$:

Proposition 4.1. *For every $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the function*

$$\left\{ (x, t) \mapsto (1-t)\underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u(x) + t(\nabla v(x) - \nabla u(x))) [\nabla v(x) - \nabla u(x)]^2 \right\}$$

belongs to $L^1(\Omega \times]0, 1[)$ and one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\nabla v) dx - \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u) \cdot (\nabla v - \nabla u) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (1-t) \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u(x) + t(\nabla v(x) - \nabla u(x))) [\nabla v(x) - \nabla u(x)]^2 dx \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us treat the case $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$. The case $\kappa > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$ is similar and even simpler. First of all, $\{(\eta, \xi) \mapsto \underline{\Psi}''(\eta)[\xi]^2\}$ is a Borel function, being lower semicontinuous. Moreover, we have

$$\underline{\Psi}''(\eta)[\xi]^2 = \Psi''(\eta)[\xi]^2 \leq \frac{C}{|\eta|^{2-p}} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for any } \eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } \eta \neq 0.$$

Therefore, for every $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the function $\{t \mapsto \Psi(\eta + t(\xi - \eta))\}$ belongs to $W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$ and we have

$$\Psi(\xi) - \Psi(\eta) - \nabla \Psi(\eta) \cdot (\xi - \eta) = \int_0^1 (1-t)\underline{\Psi}''(\eta + t(\xi - \eta)) [\xi - \eta]^2 dt.$$

Then, given $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have a.e. in Ω

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(\nabla v(x)) - \Psi(\nabla u(x)) - \nabla \Psi(\nabla u(x)) \cdot (\nabla v(x) - \nabla u(x)) \\ &= \int_0^1 (1-t) \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u(x) + t(\nabla v(x) - \nabla u(x))) [\nabla v(x) - \nabla u(x)]^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating over Ω and applying Fubini's theorem, the assertion follows. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let (u_k) be a sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and (v_k) a sequence in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that (u_k) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and convergent to u in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, while (v_k) is weakly convergent to v in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.*

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u) [\nabla v]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u) v^2 dx \\ & \leq \liminf_k \left(\int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_k) [\nabla v_k]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_k) v_k^2 dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since (v_k) is convergent to v in $L^2(\Omega)$, we clearly have

$$\int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u) v^2 dx = \lim_k \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_k) v_k^2 dx.$$

Then the assertion follows from the Theorem in [33]. \square

Proposition 4.3. *There exists a direct sum decomposition*

$$L^1(\Omega) = V \oplus \widetilde{W}$$

such that:

- (a) $V \subseteq X_{u_0} \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\dim V = m^*(f, u_0) < +\infty$, while \widetilde{W} is closed in $L^1(\Omega)$;
- (b) *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla(v+w)]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) (v+w)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla v]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) v^2 dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla w]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) w^2 dx \\ & \quad \text{for any } v \in V \text{ and } w \in \widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \\ & \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla v]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) v^2 dx \leq 0 \\ & \quad \text{for any } v \in V, \\ & \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0) [\nabla w]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) w^2 dx > 0 \\ & \quad \text{for any } w \in (\widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)) \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us treat in detail the case $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$. Since the derivative of the smooth quadratic form $Q_{u_0} : X_{u_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a compact perturbation of the Riesz isomorphism, it is standard that there exists a direct sum decomposition

$$X_{u_0} = V \oplus \widehat{W}$$

such that $\dim V = m^*(f, u_0) < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W} &= \left\{ w \in X_{u_0} : \int_{\Omega} vw \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in V \right\}, \\ Q_{u_0}(v+w) &= Q_{u_0}(v) + Q_{u_0}(w) && \text{for any } v \in V \text{ and } w \in \widehat{W}, \\ Q_{u_0}(v) &\leq 0 && \text{for any } v \in V, \\ Q_{u_0}(w) &> 0 && \text{for any } w \in \widehat{W} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, either $V = \{0\}$ or $V = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{m^*}\}$ and each $e_j \in X_{u_0} \setminus \{0\}$ is a solution of

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus Z_{u_0}} \Psi''(\nabla u_0)[\nabla e_j, \nabla u] \, dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) e_j u \, dx = \lambda_j \int_{\Omega} e_j u \, dx \quad \text{for any } u \in X_{u_0}$$

for some $\lambda_j \leq 0$ (which is possible only if $\|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty} > 0$).

If $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing Lipschitz function with $\varphi(0) = 0$, then $\varphi(e_j) \in X_{u_0}$, whence

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus Z_{u_0}} \varphi'(e_j) \Psi''(\nabla u_0)[\nabla e_j]^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) e_j \varphi(e_j) \, dx = \lambda_j \int_{\Omega} e_j \varphi(e_j) \, dx \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Psi''(\nabla u_0(x))[\xi]^2 \geq \frac{(p-1)\nu}{|\nabla u_0(x)|^{2-p}} |\xi|^2 \geq \frac{(p-1)\nu}{\|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty}^{2-p}} |\xi|^2$$

for any $x \in \Omega \setminus Z_{u_0}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

whence

$$\frac{(p-1)\nu}{\|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty}^{2-p}} \int_{\Omega} \varphi'(e_j) |\nabla e_j|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) e_j \varphi(e_j) \, dx \leq 0.$$

Since $D_s g(x, u_0) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, it is standard (see e.g. [35]) that $e_j \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, whence $V \subseteq X_{u_0} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, as $p < 2$.

If we set

$$\widetilde{W} = \left\{ w \in L^1(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} vw \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in V \right\},$$

then \widetilde{W} is a closed linear subspace of $L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$L^1(\Omega) = V \oplus \widetilde{W}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0)[\nabla u]^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) u^2 \, dx &= Q_{u_0}(u) && \text{if } u \in X_{u_0}, \\ \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0)[\nabla u]^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) u^2 \, dx &= +\infty && \text{if } u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \setminus X_{u_0}, \end{aligned}$$

the other assertions easily follow.

In the case $\kappa > 0$, one has $X_{u_0} = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and the adaptation of the previous argument is very simple if $1 < p \leq 2$. If $p > 2$, one has to remark that $\Psi''(\nabla u_0)$ is continuous. By standard regularity results (see e.g. [42, Theorem 7.6]) it follows that $e_j \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, whence $V \subseteq W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

In the following, we consider a direct sum decomposition as in the previous proposition. In particular, the projection $\tilde{P}_V : L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow V$, associated with the direct sum decomposition, is continuous with respect to the L^1 -topology. Since $V \subseteq W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is finite dimensional, it is equivalent to consider the norm of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ on V .

Then we set $W = \tilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which is a closed linear subspace of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so that

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = V \oplus W$$

and $P_V = \tilde{P}_V|_{W_0^{1,p}}$ is L^1 -continuous as well.

We also set, for any $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_r &= \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|\nabla u\|_p < r\}, \\ D_r &= \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|\nabla u\|_p \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4. *For any $M > 0$, there exist $r, \delta > 0$ such that, for every $u \in (u_0 + D_r) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|_\infty + \|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq M$ and every $w \in \tilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, one has*

$$\int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u)[\nabla w]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u) w^2 dx \geq \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx.$$

Proof. Assume, for a contradiction, that there exist a sequence (v_k) in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, strongly convergent to u_0 in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and bounded in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, and a sequence (w_k) in $\tilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla v_k)[\nabla w_k]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, v_k) w_k^2 dx < \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_k|^2 dx.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\nabla w_k\|_2 = 1$. Then, up to a subsequence, (w_k) is weakly convergent to some w in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. In particular, $w \in \tilde{W}$. From Theorem 4.2 we infer that

$$\int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla u_0)[\nabla w]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0) w^2 dx \leq 0,$$

whence $w = 0$.

Coming back to (4.1), now we deduce that

$$\lim_k \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla v_k)[\nabla w_k]^2 dx = 0.$$

Since (∇v_k) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, in both cases $\kappa = 0$ with $1 < p < 2$ and $\kappa > 0$ with $1 < p < \infty$ we infer that $\nabla w_k \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since $\|\nabla w_k\|_2 = 1$, a contradiction follows. \square

Theorem 4.5. *There exist $M, r > 0$ and $\beta \in]0, 1]$ such that:*

- (a) *the map f' is of class $(S)_+$ on $u_0 + D_{2r}$;*

(b) for every $v \in V \cap D_r$, the derivative of the functional

$$\begin{aligned} W &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ w &\mapsto f(u_0 + v + w) \end{aligned}$$

is of class $(S)_+$ on $W \cap D_r$; moreover, if w is a critical point of such a functional with $w \in D_r$, then $v + w \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ and

$$\|v + w\|_{C^{1,\beta}} < M;$$

finally, the functional $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + v + w)\}$ is strictly convex on

$$\{w \in W \cap D_r : (v + w) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)\}$$

$$\text{and } \|v + w\|_\infty + \|\nabla(v + w)\|_\infty \leq M\};$$

(c) u_0 is a strict local minimum of f along $u_0 + W$ for the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -topology.

Proof. As already observed in the proof of Theorem 3.6, there exists $r > 0$ such that the map f' is of class $(S)_+$ on $u_0 + D_{2r}$. It follows that $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + v + w)\}$ is of class $(S)_+$ on $W \cap D_r$ for any $v \in V \cap D_r$. Moreover, if w is a critical point, we have

$$\langle f'(u_0 + v + w), u - P_V u \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

whence

$$\langle f'(u_0 + v + w), u \rangle = \langle f'(u_0 + v + w), P_V u \rangle \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Since P_V is continuous from the topology of $L^1(\Omega)$ to that of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and f' is bounded on bounded sets, it follows that

$$\langle f'(u_0 + v + w), P_V u \rangle = \int_\Omega z u \, dx \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

with z uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to v and w , whence

$$\langle f'(u_0 + v + w), u \rangle = \int_\Omega z u \, dx \quad \text{for any } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, possibly by decreasing r , we conclude that $u_0 + v + w$, hence $v + w$, is uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Finally, again by decreasing r , we infer by Lemma 4.4 that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_\Omega \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla(u_0 + u))[\nabla w]^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega D_s g(x, u_0 + u) w^2 \, dx \geq \delta \int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2 \, dx$$

for every $u \in D_{2r} \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|_\infty + \|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq M$ and every $w \in \widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

If $v \in V \cap D_r$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $w_0, w_1 \in W \cap D_r$ with $(v + w_j) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|v + w_j\|_\infty + \|\nabla(v + w_j)\|_\infty \leq M,$$

we have $w_j \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, hence $w_j \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, as $\partial\Omega$ is smooth enough. By Proposition 4.1 and (4.2) we easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)f(u_0 + v + w_0) + tf(u_0 + v + w_1) \\ \geq f(u_0 + v + (1-t)w_0 + tw_1) + \frac{\delta}{2} t(1-t) \int_\Omega |\nabla w_1 - \nabla w_0|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + v + w)\}$ is strictly convex.

In particular, the critical point u_0 is a strict local minimum of f along $u_0 + (W \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}))$ for the $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -topology. From Theorem 3.6 we infer that u_0 is a strict local minimum of f along $u_0 + W$ for the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -topology. \square

Theorem 4.6. *There exist $M, r > 0$, $\beta \in]0, 1]$ and $\varrho \in]0, r]$ such that, for every $v \in V \cap D_\varrho$, there exists one and only one $\bar{w} \in W \cap D_r$ such that*

$$f(u_0 + v + \bar{w}) \leq f(u_0 + v + w) \quad \text{for any } w \in W \cap D_r.$$

Moreover, $v + \bar{w} \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|v + \bar{w}\|_{C^{1,\beta}} \leq M$, $\bar{w} \in B_r$ and \bar{w} is the unique critical point of $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + v + w)\}$ in $W \cap D_r$.

Finally, if we set $\psi(v) = \bar{w}$, the map

$$\{v \mapsto v + \psi(v)\}$$

is continuous from $V \cap D_\varrho$ into $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, while the map ψ is continuous from $V \cap D_\varrho$ into $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\psi(0) = 0$.

In the case $\kappa > 0$, the map ψ is also of class C^1 from $V \cap B_\varrho$ into $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and, for every $z \in V \cap B_\varrho$ and $v \in V$, we have that $\psi'(z)v$ is the minimum point of the functional

$$\left\{ w \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla w]^2 - D_s g(x, u)w^2 \} dx + \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla v, \nabla w] - D_s g(x, u)vw \} dx \right\}$$

on $\widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, where $u = u_0 + z + \psi(z)$. Moreover, $\psi'(0) = 0$.

Proof. Let $M, r > 0$ and $\beta \in]0, 1]$ be as in Theorem 4.5. In particular, we may suppose that $f(u_0) < f(u_0 + w)$ for every $w \in W \cap D_r$ with $w \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.4 we may also assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \underline{\Psi}''(\nabla(u_0 + u))[\nabla w]^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0 + u)w^2 dx \geq \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx$$

for every $u \in D_{2r} \cap C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\|u\|_{C^{1,\beta}} \leq M$ and every $w \in \widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

We claim that there exists $\varrho \in]0, r]$ such that

$$f(u_0 + v) < f(u_0 + v + w) \quad \text{for any } v \in V \cap D_\varrho \text{ and any } w \in W \text{ with } \|\nabla w\|_p = r.$$

By contradiction, let (v_k) be a sequence in V with $v_k \rightarrow 0$ and let (w_k) be a sequence in W with $\|\nabla w_k\|_p = r$ and $f(u_0 + v_k) \geq f(u_0 + v_k + w_k)$. Up to a subsequence, (w_k) is weakly convergent to some $w \in W \cap D_r$. Then $(u_0 + v_k + w_k)$ is weakly convergent to $u_0 + w$ with

$$\limsup_k f(u_0 + v_k + w_k) \leq \lim_k f(u_0 + v_k) = f(u_0) \leq f(u_0 + w).$$

Combining Proposition 3.5 with Theorem 4.5, we deduce that $(u_0 + v_k + w_k)$ is strongly convergent to $u_0 + w$, whence $f(u_0 + w) = f(u_0)$ with $\|\nabla w\|_p = r$, and a contradiction follows.

Again from Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.5 we know that $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + v + w)\}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on $W \cap D_r$ for any $v \in V \cap D_\varrho$. Therefore there exists a minimum point $\bar{w} \in W \cap D_r$ and in fact $\bar{w} \in B_r$. In particular, we have

$$\langle f'(u_0 + v + \bar{w}), w \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } w \in W.$$

From Theorem 4.5 we infer that $v + \bar{w} \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\|v + \bar{w}\|_{C^{1,\beta}} \leq M$. Since $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + v + w)\}$ is strictly convex on

$$\{w \in W \cap D_r : (v + w) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \text{ and } \|v + w\|_\infty + \|\nabla(v + w)\|_\infty \leq M\},$$

the minimum is unique. If $v = 0$, then $\bar{w} = 0$.

Finally, if we set $\psi(v) = \bar{w}$, the map $\{v \mapsto v + \psi(v)\}$ is defined from $V \cap D_\varrho$ into

$$\{u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega}) : \|u\|_{C^{1,\beta}} \leq M\},$$

which is a compact subset of $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, and has closed graph, as f is continuous. Therefore it is a continuous map. The continuity of ψ follows.

In the case $\kappa > 0$, the function Ψ is of class C^2 on \mathbb{R}^N . Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \Psi''(\nabla(u_0 + u))[\nabla u_1, \nabla u_2] dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s g(x, u_0 + u) u_1 u_2 dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla u_1\|_2 \|\nabla u_2\|_2$$

for every $u \in D_{2r} \cap C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\|u\|_{C^{1,\beta}} \leq M$ and every $u_1, u_2 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle f'(u_0 + v_1 + \psi(v_1)), u \rangle - \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_0)), u \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t)[\nabla(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0)), \nabla u] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - D_s g(x, \gamma_t)(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0))u \right\} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for any $v_0, v_1 \in V \cap D_\varrho$ and $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, where

$$\gamma_t = u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_0) + t(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0)).$$

Now let $z \in V \cap B_\varrho$ and let $u = u_0 + z + \psi(z)$. From (4.3) and (4.4) it follows that, for every $v \in V$, the functional

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ w \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla w]^2 - D_s g(x, u)w^2 \} dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla v, \nabla w] - D_s g(x, u)vw \} dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

admits one and only one minimum point $L_z v$ in $\widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, which satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla(L_z v), \nabla w] - D_s g(x, u)(L_z v)w \} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla v, \nabla w] - D_s g(x, u)vw \} dx \quad \text{for any } w \in \widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the map $L_z : V \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is linear and continuous, as V is finite dimensional. Since $Q_{u_0}(v + w) = Q_{u_0}(v) + Q_{u_0}(w)$ for any $v \in V$ and $w \in \widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, we also have $L_0 = 0$.

By (4.5), for every $v_0, v_1 \in V \cap B_\rho$ and $w \in \widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle f'(u_0 + v_1 + \psi(v_1)), w \rangle - \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_0)), w \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) [\nabla(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0)), \nabla w] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - D_s g(x, \gamma_t)(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0))w \right\} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (4.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) [\nabla(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0)), \nabla w] - D_s g(x, \gamma_t)(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0))w \right\} dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u) [\nabla(L_z(v_1 - v_0)), \nabla w] - D_s g(x, u)(L_z(v_1 - v_0))w \right\} dx \\ &= - \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left\{ [\Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) - \Psi''(\nabla u)] [\nabla(v_1 - v_0), \nabla w] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [D_s g(x, \gamma_t) - D_s g(x, u)](v_1 - v_0)w \right\} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) [\nabla(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0) - L_z(v_1 - v_0)), \nabla w] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - D_s g(x, \gamma_t)(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0) - L_z(v_1 - v_0))w \right\} dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left\{ [\Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) - \Psi''(\nabla u)] [\nabla(L_z(v_1 - v_0)), \nabla w] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [D_s g(x, \gamma_t) - D_s g(x, u)](L_z(v_1 - v_0))w \right\} dx dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left\{ [\Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) - \Psi''(\nabla u)] [\nabla(v_1 - v_0), \nabla w] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [D_s g(x, \gamma_t) - D_s g(x, u)](v_1 - v_0)w \right\} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map $\{v \mapsto v + \psi(v)\}$ is continuous from $V \cap B_\rho$ into $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, from (4.3) we infer that

$$\lim_{\substack{(v_0, v_1) \rightarrow (z, z) \\ v_0 \neq v_1}} \frac{\|\nabla(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0) - L_z(v_1 - v_0))\|_2}{\|\nabla(v_1 - v_0)\|_2} = 0.$$

Therefore ψ is of class C^1 from $V \cap B_\rho$ into $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $\psi'(z) = L_z$. \square

5. THE FINITE DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION

Throughout this section we keep the assumptions and the notations of Section 4. We also define the reduced functional $\varphi : V \cap B_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(v) = f(u_0 + v + \psi(v)) = \min \{f(u_0 + v + w) : w \in W \cap D_r\}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\kappa > 0$ with $1 < p < \infty$ or $\kappa = 0$ with $1 < p < 2$. Then the functional φ is of class C^1 and*

$$(5.1) \quad \langle \varphi'(z), v \rangle = \langle f'(u_0 + z + \psi(z)), v \rangle \quad \text{for any } z \in V \cap B_\rho \text{ and } v \in V.$$

In particular, 0 is a critical point of φ . Moreover, we have

$$C_m(\varphi, 0) \approx C_m(f, u_0) \quad \text{for any } m \geq 0.$$

Finally, 0 is an isolated critical point of φ if and only if u_0 is an isolated critical point of f .

Proof. For any $v_0, v_1 \in V \cap B_\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v_1) &= f(u_0 + v_1 + \psi(v_1)) \\ &= f(u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_1)) + \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + t(v_1 - v_0) + \psi(v_1)), v_1 - v_0 \rangle \\ &\geq f(u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_0)) + \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + t(v_1 - v_0) + \psi(v_1)), v_1 - v_0 \rangle \\ &= \varphi(v_0) + \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + t(v_1 - v_0) + \psi(v_1)), v_1 - v_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for some $t \in]0, 1[$. Since ψ is continuous from $V \cap B_\rho$ into $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$\liminf_{\substack{(v_0, v_1) \rightarrow (z, z) \\ v_0 \neq v_1}} \frac{\varphi(v_1) - \varphi(v_0) - \langle f'(u_0 + z + \psi(z)), v_1 - v_0 \rangle}{\|v_1 - v_0\|} \geq 0.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v_1) &= f(u_0 + v_1 + \psi(v_1)) \leq f(u_0 + v_1 + \psi(v_0)) \\ &= f(u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_0)) + \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + t(v_1 - v_0) + \psi(v_0)), v_1 - v_0 \rangle \\ &= \varphi(v_0) + \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + t(v_1 - v_0) + \psi(v_0)), v_1 - v_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for some $t \in]0, 1[$, whence

$$\limsup_{\substack{(v_0, v_1) \rightarrow (z, z) \\ v_0 \neq v_1}} \frac{\varphi(v_1) - \varphi(v_0) - \langle f'(u_0 + z + \psi(z)), v_1 - v_0 \rangle}{\|v_1 - v_0\|} \leq 0.$$

Therefore φ is of class C^1 with

$$\langle \varphi'(z), v \rangle = \langle f'(u_0 + z + \psi(z)), v \rangle.$$

Since $\psi(0) = 0$, we also have $\varphi'(0) = 0$.

Now consider

$$Y = \{u_0 + z + \psi(z) : z \in V \cap B_\rho\}$$

endowed with the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -topology. Since $\{z \mapsto u_0 + z + \psi(z)\}$ is a homeomorphism from $V \cap B_\rho$ onto Y which sends 0 into u_0 , it is clear that

$$C_m(\varphi, 0) \approx C_m(f|_Y, u_0) \quad \text{for any } m \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.5 we see that the functional $\{w \mapsto f(u_0 + v + w)\}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition over $W \cap D_r$ for any $v \in V \cap B_\rho$. Moreover, $\psi(v)$ is the unique critical point, in fact the minimum, of such a functional in $W \cap D_r$. Arguing as in the Second Deformation Lemma, it is possible to define a deformation

$$\mathcal{H} : (u_0 + (V \cap B_\rho) + (W \cap D_r)) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (u_0 + (V \cap B_\rho) + (W \cap D_r))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(u, t) - u &\in W, & f(\mathcal{H}(u, t)) &\leq f(u), \\ \mathcal{H}(u, 1) &\in Y, & \mathcal{H}(u, t) &= u \quad \text{if } u \in Y, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$H^m(f^c, f^c \setminus \{u_0\}) \approx H^m(f^c \cap Y, (f^c \cap Y) \setminus \{u_0\}).$$

This is proved in [16, Theorem 5.4] in the case $p > 2$, but the argument works also for $1 < p \leq 2$. See also [36, Theorem 4.7] in a nonsmooth setting.

Therefore we have

$$C_m(\varphi, 0) \approx C_m(f|_Y, u_0) \approx C_m(f, u_0) \quad \text{for any } m \geq 0.$$

Since any critical point u of f in $u_0 + (V \cap B_\rho) + (W \cap D_r)$ must be of the form $u = u_0 + z + \psi(z)$ with $z \in V \cap B_\rho$, from (5.1) we infer that 0 is isolated for φ if and only if u_0 is isolated for f . \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\kappa > 0$ with $1 < p < \infty$. Then φ is of class C^2 and*

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad \varphi''(z)[v]^2 &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla(v + \psi'(z)v)]^2 - D_s g(x, u)(v + \psi'(z)v)^2 \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla v]^2 - D_s g(x, u)v^2 \right\} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla(\psi'(z)v)]^2 - D_s g(x, u)(\psi'(z)v)^2 \right\} dx \\ &\quad \text{for any } z \in V \cap B_\rho \text{ and } v \in V, \text{ where } u = u_0 + z + \psi(z). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\varphi''(0)[v]^2 = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u_0)[\nabla v]^2 - D_s g(x, u_0)v^2 \right\} dx \quad \text{for any } v \in V.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, the map ψ is of class C^1 from $V \cap B_\rho$ into $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi'(0) = 0$. For any $z \in V \cap B_\rho$, let $L_z : V \rightarrow V'$ be the linear map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad \langle L_z v_1, v_2 \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla v_1, \nabla v_2] - D_s g(x, u)v_1 v_2 \right\} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla(\psi'(z)v_1), \nabla v_2] - D_s g(x, u)(\psi'(z)v_1)v_2 \right\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $u = u_0 + z + \psi(z)$. By (4.5), for every $v_0, v_1 \in V \cap B_\rho$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi'(v_1), v \rangle - \langle \varphi'(v_0), v \rangle &= \langle f'(u_0 + v_1 + \psi(v_1)), v \rangle - \langle f'(u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_0)), v \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t)[\nabla(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0)), \nabla v] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - D_s g(x, \gamma_t)(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0))v \right\} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_t = u_0 + v_0 + \psi(v_0) + t(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0))$. Taking into account (5.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi'(v_1), v \rangle - \langle \varphi'(v_0), v \rangle - \langle L_z(v_1 - v_0), v \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) [\nabla(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0)), \nabla v] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - D_s g(x, \gamma_t)(v_1 - v_0 + \psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0))v \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u) [\nabla(v_1 - v_0), \nabla v] - D_s g(x, u)(v_1 - v_0)v \right\} dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u) [\nabla(\psi'(z)(v_1 - v_0)), \nabla v] - D_s g(x, u)(\psi'(z)(v_1 - v_0))v \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi'(v_1), v \rangle - \langle \varphi'(v_0), v \rangle - \langle L_z(v_1 - v_0), v \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left\{ [\Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) - \Psi''(u)] [\nabla(v_1 - v_0), \nabla v] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [D_s g(x, \gamma_t) - D_s g(x, u)](v_1 - v_0)v \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) [\nabla(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0) - \psi'(z)(v_1 - v_0)), \nabla v] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - D_s g(x, \gamma_t)(\psi(v_1) - \psi(v_0) - \psi'(z)(v_1 - v_0))v \right\} dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left\{ [\Psi''(\nabla \gamma_t) - \Psi''(u)] [\nabla(\psi'(z)(v_1 - v_0)), \nabla v] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [D_s g(x, \gamma_t) - D_s g(x, u)](\psi'(z)(v_1 - v_0))v \right\} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map $\{v \mapsto v + \psi(v)\}$ is continuous from $V \cap B_{\rho}$ into $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, we infer that

$$\lim_{\substack{(v_0, v_1) \rightarrow (z, z) \\ v_0 \neq v_1}} \frac{\langle \varphi'(v_1), v \rangle - \langle \varphi'(v_0), v \rangle - \langle L_z(v_1 - v_0), v \rangle}{\|\nabla(v_1 - v_0)\|_2} = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in V.$$

Therefore φ is of class C^2 and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(z)[v_1, v_2] &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u) [\nabla v_1, \nabla v_2] - D_s g(x, u)v_1 v_2 \right\} dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi''(\nabla u) [\nabla(\psi'(z)v_1), \nabla v_2] - D_s g(x, u)(\psi'(z)v_1)v_2 \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.6, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla(\psi'(z)v), \nabla w] - D_s g(x, u)(\psi'(z)v)w \} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \{ \Psi''(\nabla u)[\nabla v, \nabla w] - D_s g(x, u)vw \} dx \\ & \hspace{20em} \text{for any } v \in V \text{ and } w \in \widetilde{W} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

whence (5.2).

Since $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi'(0) = 0$, the formula for $\varphi''(0)$ follows. \square

6. PROOF OF THE RESULTS OF SECTION 2

Proof of Theorems 2.6, 2.7, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

From Theorem 5.1 we know that

$$C_m(f, u_0) \approx C_m(\varphi, 0) \quad \text{for any } m \geq 0.$$

Since the critical groups are defined using Alexander-Spanier cohomology, it is clear that $C_m(\varphi, 0) = \{0\}$ whenever $m > \dim V = m^*(f, u_0)$, both in the case $\kappa > 0$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and in the case $\kappa = 0$ with $1 < p < 2$.

In the particular case $u_0 = 0$ with $\kappa = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$, we clearly have $Z_{u_0} = \Omega$ and $X_{u_0} = \{0\}$, whence $m(f, 0) = m^*(f, 0) = 0$, $V = \{0\}$ and $W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. From Theorem 4.6 it follows that 0 is a strict local minimum and an isolated critical point of f . By the excision property, it follows

$$C_m(f, 0) \approx H^m(\{0\}, \emptyset),$$

whence

$$\begin{cases} C_m(f, 0) \approx \mathbb{G} & \text{if } m = 0, \\ C_m(f, 0) = \{0\} & \text{if } m \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now assume that $\kappa > 0$ with $1 < p < \infty$. From Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 4.3 we infer that φ is of class C^2 with

$$\varphi''(0)[v]^2 = Q_{u_0}(v) \leq 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in V.$$

Let V_- be a subspace of $X_{u_0} = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of dimension $m(f, u_0)$ such that Q_{u_0} is negative definite on V_- . Then it is easily seen that Q_{u_0} is negative definite also on $P_V(V_-)$, which has the same dimension of V_- . Therefore we may assume, without loss of generality, that $V_- \subseteq V$ and we have

$$\varphi''(0)[v]^2 = Q_{u_0}(v) < 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in V_- \setminus \{0\}.$$

It follows (see e.g. [36, Theorem 3.1]) that $C_m(\varphi, 0) = \{0\}$ whenever $m < \dim V_- = m(f, u_0)$. The proof of Theorems 2.6, 2.7 and 2.2 is complete.

If $m(f, u_0) = m^*(f, u_0)$, we have $V_- = V$. Then 0 is a nondegenerate critical point of φ with Morse index $\dim V = m(f, u_0)$. It follows that 0 is an isolated critical point of φ and

$$C_m(f, u_0) \approx C_m(\varphi, 0) \approx \delta_{m, m(f, u_0)} \mathbb{G}.$$

Moreover, u_0 is an isolated critical point of f by Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 2.3 follows.

Finally, assume that u_0 is an isolated critical point of f with $m(f, u_0) < m^*(f, u_0)$. By Theorem 5.1 we infer that 0 is an isolated critical point of φ and Theorem 2.4 follows from [40, Corollary 8.4]. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8.

By Theorem 2.2, Remark 2.5 and Theorem 2.7, we have only to treat the case $\kappa = 0$ with $p > 2$, so that

$$Q_{u_0}(v) = Q_0(v) = - \int_{\Omega} g'(0)v^2 dx \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

If $g'(0) = 0$, we have $m(f, 0) = 0$, $m^*(f, 0) = +\infty$ and the assertion is obvious.

If $g'(0) < 0$, we have $m(f, 0) = m^*(f, 0) = 0$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that

$$f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is strictly convex in a neighborhood of 0 for the $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -topology. In particular, 0 is a strict local minimum for the $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -topology. From Theorem 3.6 we infer that 0 is a strict local minimum of

$$f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

for the $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -topology. By the excision property we have

$$C_m(f, 0) \approx H^m(\{0\}, \emptyset)$$

and the assertion follows.

If $g'(0) > 0$, we have $m(f, 0) = m^*(f, 0) = +\infty$. If $p > N$, the functional f is of class C^2 on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with

$$f''(0)(v)^2 = - \int_{\Omega} g'(0)v^2 dx \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

From [36, Theorem 3.1] we infer that $C_m(f, 0) = \{0\}$ for any m and the assertion follows.

If $p \leq N$, recall that

$$|g(s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^q)$$

with $q < p^* - 1$ if $p < N$, and consider a C^∞ -function $\vartheta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\vartheta(s) = 1$ for $|s| \leq 1$ and $\vartheta(s) = 0$ for $|s| \geq 2$. Then define, for every $t \in [0, 1]$, a C^1 -functional $f_t : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f_t(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} G_t(u) dx,$$

where

$$g_t(s) = g(\vartheta(ts)s), \quad G_t(s) = \int_0^s g_t(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

For any $t \in]0, 1]$ the functional f_t is of class C^2 with

$$f_t''(0)(v)^2 = - \int_{\Omega} g'(0)v^2 dx \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Again from [36, Theorem 3.1] we infer that $C_m(f_t, 0) = \{0\}$ for any $t \in]0, 1]$ and any m .

Let $r > 0$ be such that 0 is the unique critical point of $f_0 = f$ in

$$D_r = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|\nabla u\|_p \leq r\}$$

and such that the assertion of Theorem 3.1 holds for

$$\hat{g}(s) = C|s|^{q-1}s.$$

Then the map $\{t \mapsto f_t\}$ is continuous from $[0, 1]$ into $C^1(D_r)$. Moreover from [3, Theorem 3.5] we infer that f_t' is of class $(S)_+$, so that f_t satisfies the Palais-Smale condition over D_r , for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

We claim that there exists $\bar{t} \in]0, 1]$ such that 0 is the unique critical point of f_t in D_r whenever $0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}$. Assume, for a contradiction, that $t_k \rightarrow 0$ and $u_k \in D_r \setminus \{0\}$ is a critical point of f_{t_k} . Then, for every $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $vu_k \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Psi(\nabla u_k) \cdot \nabla v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} g_{t_k}(u_k) v \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{u_k \neq 0\}} |g(\vartheta(t_k u_k) u_k)| |v| \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{u_k \neq 0\}} \frac{|g(\vartheta(t_k u_k) u_k)|}{|u_k|} u_k v \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{u_k \neq 0\}} \frac{C(1 + |u_k|^q)}{|u_k|} u_k v \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{u_k \neq 0\}} C \frac{u_k}{|u_k|} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} C |u_k|^{q-1} u_k v \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\int_{\Omega} [\nabla \Psi(\nabla u_k) \cdot \nabla v - \hat{g}(u_k) v] \, dx \leq \langle \hat{w}_k, v \rangle,$$

where

$$\hat{w}_k = \begin{cases} C \frac{u_k}{|u_k|} & \text{where } u_k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{where } u_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 3.1 we infer that (u_k) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, so that $\vartheta(t_k u_k) = 1$ eventually as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then u_k is a critical point of f and a contradiction follows.

From [21, Theorem 5.2] we deduce that $C_m(f, 0) \approx C_m(f_{\bar{t}}, 0)$ (for related results, see also [11, Theorem I.5.6], [14, Theorem 3.1] and [40, Theorem 8.8]) and the assertion follows. \square

7. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this last section we prove the main results stated in the Introduction. Let us recall some variants of the results of [13] suited for our purposes. We start with a saddle theorem, where linear subspaces are substituted by symmetric cones.

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a real Banach space and let X_-, X_+ be two symmetric cones in X such that X_+ is closed in X , $X_- \cap X_+ = \{0\}$ and such that*

$$\text{Index}(X_- \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Index}(X \setminus X_+) < +\infty.$$

Let $r > 0$ and let

$$D_- = \{u \in X_- : \|u\| \leq r\}, \quad S_- = \{u \in X_- : \|u\| = r\}.$$

Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^1 such that

$$\inf_{X_+} f > -\infty, \quad \sup_{D_-} f < +\infty,$$

if $X_- \neq \{0\}$, we have $f(u) < \inf_{X_+} f$ whenever $u \in S_-$.

Set

$$a = \inf_{X_+} f, \quad b = \sup_{D_-} f, \quad m = \text{Index}(X_- \setminus \{0\})$$

and assume that every sequence (u_n) in X , with

$$f(u_n) \rightarrow c \in [a, b] \text{ and } (1 + \|u_n\|)\|f'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0,$$

admits a convergent subsequence (Cerami-Palais-Smale condition) and that $f^{-1}([a, b])$ contains a finite number of critical points.

Then there exists a critical point u of f with $a \leq f(u) \leq b$ and $C_m(f, u) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. From [24, Theorems 2.7 and 2.8] we infer that (D_-, S_-) links X_+ cohomologically in dimension m over \mathbb{Z}_2 . According to [20, Remark 4.4], the Cerami-Palais-Smale condition is just the usual Palais-Smale condition with respect to an auxiliary distance function. Then the assertion follows from [23, Theorem 5.2, Remark 5.3 and Theorem 7.5]. \square

Theorem 7.2. Let (λ_m) be defined as in the Introduction and let $m \geq 0$ be such that $\lambda_m < \lambda_{m+1}$. If we set

$$\begin{cases} X_- = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq \lambda_m \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right\} \\ X_+ = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \lambda_{m+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right\} \end{cases} \quad \text{if } m \geq 1,$$

$$\begin{cases} X_- = \{0\} \\ X_+ = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad \text{if } m = 0,$$

then X_-, X_+ are two closed symmetric cones in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $X_- \cap X_+ = \{0\}$ and such that

$$\text{Index}(X_- \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Index}(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus X_+) = m.$$

Proof. If $m \geq 1$, the result is contained in [24, Theorem 3.2]. The case $m = 0$ is obvious. \square

Now let $f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the C^1 -functional defined in (1.3) by setting

$$f(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{p,\kappa}(\nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let us show that f satisfies the Cerami-Palais-Smale condition. Let (u_n) be a sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $f(u_n)$ bounded and $(1 + \|u_n\|)\|f'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0$, so that

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_n \langle f'(u_n), v - u_n \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

First of all, let us show that (u_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By contradiction, assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and set $z_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. Up to a subsequence, z_n is convergent to some z weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . Since $\langle f'(u_n), z - z_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, dividing by $\|u_n\|^{p-1}$ and taking into account (a), we get

$$\lim_n \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{\|u_n\|^2} + |\nabla z_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla z_n \cdot \nabla (z - z_n) dx = 0.$$

By the convexity of $\Psi_{p,\kappa}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_n|^p dx &\leq \limsup_n \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{\|u_n\|^2} + |\nabla z_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \lim_n \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{\|u_n\|^2} + |\nabla z|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

so that $z_n \rightarrow z$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $z \neq 0$.

Given $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we also have $\langle f'(u_n), v \rangle \rightarrow 0$ whence, dividing again by $\|u_n\|^{p-1}$,

$$\lim_n \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{\kappa^2}{\|u_n\|^2} + |\nabla z_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla z_n \cdot \nabla v - \frac{g(\|u_n\|z_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p-1}} v \right] dx = 0.$$

Taking again into account (a), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z \cdot \nabla v dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |z|^{p-2} z v dx \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

which contradicts the assumption that $\lambda \notin \sigma(-\Delta_p)$. Therefore (u_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, hence convergent, up to a subsequence, to some u weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

According to [3, Theorem 3.5], the operator f' is of class $(S)_+$. From (7.1) we infer that (u_n) is strongly convergent to u in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Now define X_-, X_+ according to Theorem 7.2 with $m = m_{\infty}$, so that X_-, X_+ are two symmetric cones in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 7.1 with $\text{Index}(X_- \setminus \{0\}) = m_{\infty}$. Let us treat the case $m_{\infty} \geq 1$. The case $m_{\infty} = 0$ is similar and simpler. If

$$\lambda_{m_{\infty}} < \alpha' < \alpha'' < \lambda < \beta' < \beta'' < \lambda_{m_{\infty}+1},$$

taking into account assumption (a) we infer that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta''}{p\lambda_{m_{\infty}+1}} |\xi|^p - C &\leq \Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) \leq \frac{\alpha'}{p\lambda_{m_{\infty}}} |\xi|^p + C \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \frac{\alpha''}{p} |s|^p - C &\leq G(s) \leq \frac{\beta'}{p} |s|^p + C \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

It easily follows that

$$\inf_{X_+} f > -\infty, \quad \lim_{\substack{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty \\ u \in X_-}} f(u) = -\infty.$$

In particular, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\forall u \in S_- : f(u) < \inf_{X_+} f$$

and, since f is bounded on bounded subsets, we also have $\sup_{D_-} f < +\infty$.

If f has infinitely many critical points, we are done. Otherwise, from Theorem 7.1 we infer that there exists a critical point u of f with $C_{m_{\infty}}(f, u) \neq \{0\}$.

Since $m_{\infty} \notin [m(f, 0), m^*(f, 0)]$, from Theorem 2.8 we deduce that $C_{m_{\infty}}(f, 0) = \{0\}$. Therefore $u \neq 0$ and the assertion follows. \square

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need an auxiliary result.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^1 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{|s|^p} &= \gamma, \\ \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [p\Gamma(s) - s\Gamma'(s)] &= +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [\Gamma(s) - \gamma|s|^p] = +\infty.$$

Proof. Let $H(s) = \Gamma(s) - \gamma|s|^p$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(s)}{|s|^p} &= 0, \\ \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} [pH(s) - sH'(s)] &= +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For every $M > 0$, there exists $\bar{s} > 0$ such that $pH(s) - sH'(s) \geq pM$ for any $s \geq \bar{s}$. It follows

$$\left(\frac{H(s) - M}{s^p} \right)' = \frac{sH'(s) - pH(s) + pM}{s^{p+1}} \leq 0 \quad \forall s \geq \bar{s},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{H(t)}{t^p} - \frac{M}{t^p} \leq \frac{H(s)}{s^p} - \frac{M}{s^p} \quad \text{whenever } t \geq s \geq \bar{s}.$$

Passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$0 \leq \frac{H(s)}{s^p} - \frac{M}{s^p} \quad \forall s \geq \bar{s},$$

namely

$$H(s) \geq M \quad \forall s \geq \bar{s}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} H(s) = +\infty.$$

The limit as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ can be treated in a similar way. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Assume (b_-) . Let us show that f satisfies the Cerami-Palais-Smale condition. Let (u_n) be a sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $f(u_n)$ bounded and $(1 + \|u_n\|)\|f'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. First of all, let us show that (u_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By contradiction, assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and set $z_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. Up to a subsequence, z_n is convergent to some z weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we infer that $z_n \rightarrow z$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $z \neq 0$.

We also have

$$\limsup_n |pf(u_n) - \langle f'(u_n), u_n \rangle| < +\infty.$$

Since

$$p\Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) - \nabla\Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) \cdot \xi = \kappa^2 (\kappa^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - \kappa^p$$

is bounded from below, we infer that

$$\liminf_n \int_{\Omega} [pG(u_n) - g(u_n)u_n] dx > -\infty.$$

On the other hand, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$pG(s) - g(s)s \leq C \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$

whence, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \limsup_n [pG(u_n) - g(u_n)u_n] \right\} dx > -\infty.$$

Since we have

$$\lim_n [pG(u_n(x)) - g(u_n(x))u_n(x)] = -\infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ with } z(x) \neq 0,$$

we infer that $z = 0$ a.e. in Ω and a contradiction follows.

We conclude that the sequence (u_n) is bounded, hence convergent, up to a subsequence, to some u weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get that (u_n) is strongly convergent to u in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Now let

$$\lambda_{m_\infty} < \lambda \leq \lambda_{m_\infty+1}$$

and define X_-, X_+ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We have

$$\Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) \geq \frac{1}{p} |\xi|^p - \frac{1}{p} \kappa^p \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

and, by Proposition 7.3,

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \left[G(s) - \frac{\lambda}{p} |s|^p \right] = -\infty.$$

Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$G(s) \leq \frac{\lambda}{p} |s|^p + C \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It easily follows that $\inf_{X_+} f > -\infty$ and we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now assume (b_+) , so that

$$\lambda_{m_\infty} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{m_\infty+1}$$

and either $1 < p \leq 2$ with $\kappa \geq 0$ or $p > 2$ with $\kappa = 0$. It follows that

$$p\Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) - \nabla \Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) \cdot \xi$$

is even bounded and the Cerami-Palais-Smale condition can be proved as in the previous case.

Now let us show that

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_{\substack{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty \\ u \in X_-}} f(u) = -\infty.$$

Let $u_n \in X_-$ with $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ and let $z_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. Up to a subsequence, (z_n) is convergent to some z weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . Since $z_n \in X_-$, we also have $z \neq 0$. From Proposition 7.3 we infer that

$$\lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \left[G(s) - \frac{\lambda}{p} |s|^p \right] = +\infty.$$

In particular, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$G(s) \geq \frac{\lambda}{p} |s|^p - C \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From Fatou's lemma we infer that

$$\lim_n \int_{\Omega} \left[G(u_n) - \frac{\lambda}{p} |u_n|^p \right] dx = +\infty.$$

Since

$$\Psi_{p,\kappa}(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{p} |\xi|^p \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

it follows that

$$f(u_n) \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u_n|^p - \lambda |u_n|^p] dx - \int_{\Omega} \left[G(u_n) - \frac{\lambda}{p} |u_n|^p \right] dx,$$

whence (7.2). Now we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ABBONDANDOLO AND M. SCHWARZ, A smooth pseudo-gradient for the Lagrangian action functional, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **9** (2009), no. 4, 597–623.
- [2] A. AFTALION AND F. PACELLA, Morse index and uniqueness for positive solutions of radial p -Laplace equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), no. 11, 4255–4272.
- [3] S. ALMI AND M. DEGIOVANNI, On degree theory for quasilinear elliptic equations with natural growth conditions, in *Recent Trends in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations II: Stationary Problems* (Perugia, 2012), J.B. Serrin, E.L. Mitidieri and V.D. Rădulescu eds., 1–20, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **595**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2013.
- [4] H. AMANN AND E. ZEHNDER, Nontrivial solutions for a class of nonresonance problems and applications to nonlinear differential equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **7** (1980), no. 4, 539–603.
- [5] A. ANANE, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du p -laplacien avec poids, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305** (1987), no. 16, 725–728.
- [6] A. ANANE AND N. TSOULI, On the second eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian, in *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations* (Fès, 1994), A. Benkirane, J.-P. Gossez eds., 1–9, *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, **343**, Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [7] H. BREZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, H^1 versus C^1 local minimizers, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **317** (1993), no. 5, 465–472.
- [8] F.E. BROWDER, Fixed point theory and nonlinear problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **9** (1983), no. 1, 1–39.
- [9] K.C. CHANG, Solutions of asymptotically linear operator equations via Morse theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **34** (1981), no. 5, 693–712.
- [10] K.C. CHANG, Morse theory on Banach space and its applications to partial differential equations, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **4** (1983), no. 3, 381–399.
- [11] K.C. CHANG, “Infinite-dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems”, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*, **6**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

- [12] K.C. CHANG, Morse theory in nonlinear analysis, in *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications to Differential Equations* (Trieste, 1997), A. Ambrosetti, K.C. Chang, I. Ekeland eds., 60–101, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1998.
- [13] S. CINGOLANI AND M. DEGIOVANNI, Nontrivial solutions for p -Laplace equations with right hand side having p -linear growth at infinity, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **30** (2005), no. 8, 1191–1203.
- [14] S. CINGOLANI AND M. DEGIOVANNI, On the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem for functionals defined on Banach spaces, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **9** (2009), no. 4, 679-699.
- [15] S. CINGOLANI, M. DEGIOVANNI AND G. VANNELLA, On the critical polynomial of functionals related to p -area ($1 < p < \infty$) and p -Laplace ($1 < p \leq 2$) type operators, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, **26** (2015), no. 1, 49-56.
- [16] S. CINGOLANI AND G. VANNELLA, Critical groups computations on a class of Sobolev Banach spaces via Morse index, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **20** (2003), no. 2, 271–292.
- [17] S. CINGOLANI AND G. VANNELLA, Morse index computations for a class of functionals defined in Banach spaces, in *Nonlinear Equations: Methods, Models and Applications* (Bergamo, 2001), D. Lupo, C. Pagani and B. Ruf, eds., 107–116, *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **54**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
- [18] S. CINGOLANI AND G. VANNELLA, Morse index and critical groups for p -Laplace equations with critical exponents, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **3** (2006), no. 3-4, 495–512.
- [19] S. CINGOLANI AND G. VANNELLA, Marino-Prodi perturbation type results and Morse indices of minimax critical points for a class of functionals in Banach spaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **186** (2007), no. 1, 157–185.
- [20] J.-N. CORVELLEC, Quantitative deformation theorems and critical point theory, *Pacific J. Math.* **187** (1999), no. 2, 263–279.
- [21] J.-N. CORVELLEC AND A. HANTOUTE, Homotopical stability of isolated critical points of continuous functionals, *Set-Valued Anal.* **10** (2002), no. 2-3, 143–164.
- [22] M. CUESTA, Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian with indefinite weights, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2001**, No. 33, 9 pp.
- [23] M. DEGIOVANNI, On topological Morse theory, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **10** (2011), no. 2, 197-218.
- [24] M. DEGIOVANNI AND S. LANCELOTTI, Linking over cones and nontrivial solutions for p -Laplace equations with p -superlinear nonlinearity, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **24** (2007), no. 6, 907–919.
- [25] E. DIBENEDETTO, $C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **7** (1983), no. 8, 827–850.
- [26] P. DRÁBEK AND S.B. ROBINSON, Resonance problems for the p -Laplacian, *J. Funct. Anal.* **169** (1999), no. 1, 189–200.
- [27] E.R. FADELL, P.H. RABINOWITZ, Bifurcations for odd potential operators and an alternative topological index, *J. Funct. Anal.* **26** (1977), no. 1, 48–67.
- [28] E.R. FADELL, P.H. RABINOWITZ, Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems, *Invent. Math.* **45** (1978), no. 2, 139–174.
- [29] J.P. GARCÍA AZORERO, I. PERAL ALONSO AND J.J. MANFREDI, Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and global multiplicity for some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **2** (2000), no. 3, 385–404.
- [30] D. GROMOLL AND W. MEYER, On differentiable functions with isolated critical points, *Topology* **8** (1969), 361–369.
- [31] M. GUEDDA AND L. VÉRON, Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Nonlinear Anal.* **13** (1989), no. 8, 879–902.
- [32] Z. GUO AND Z. ZHANG, $W^{1,p}$ versus C^1 local minimizers and multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **286** (2003), no. 1, 32–50.
- [33] A.D. IOFFE, On lower semicontinuity of integral functionals. II, *SIAM. J. Control Optimization* **15** (1977), no. 6, 991–1000.

- [34] S.T. KYRITSI AND N. PAPAGEORGIOU, Minimizers of nonsmooth functionals on manifolds and nonlinear eigenvalue problems with constraints, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **67** (2005), no. 3-4, 265–284.
- [35] O.A. LADYZHENSKAYA AND N.N. URAL'TSEVA, “Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations”, Nauka Press, Moscow, 1964. Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
- [36] S. LANCELOTTI, Morse index estimates for continuous functionals associated with quasilinear elliptic equations, *Adv. Differential Equations* **7** (2002), no. 1, 99–128.
- [37] A.C. LAZER, S. SOLIMINI, Nontrivial solutions of operator equations and Morse indices of critical points of min-max type, *Nonlinear Anal.* **12** (1998), no. 8, 761–775.
- [38] G.M. LIEBERMAN, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **12** (1988), no. 11, 1203–1219.
- [39] P. LINDQVIST, On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), no. 1, 157–164 and **116** (1992), no. 2, 583–584.
- [40] J. MAWHIN AND M. WILLEM, “Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems”, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, **74**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [41] K. PERERA, Nontrivial critical groups in p -Laplacian problems via the Yang index, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **21** (2003), no. 2, 301–309.
- [42] C.G. SIMADER, “On Dirichlet’s boundary value problem”, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **268**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [43] I.V. SKRYPNIK, “Methods for analysis of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems”, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, **139**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [44] E.H. SPANIER, “Algebraic topology”, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966.
- [45] P. TOLKSDORF, On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domains with conical boundary points, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **8** (1983), no. 7, 773–817.
- [46] P. TOLKSDORF, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations* **51** (1984), no. 1, 126–150.
- [47] A.J. TROMBA, A general approach to Morse theory, *J. Differential Geometry* **12** (1977), no. 1, 47–85.
- [48] K. UHLENBECK, Morse theory on Banach manifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.* **10** (1972), 430–445.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BARI “ALDO MORO”, VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY

E-mail address: silvia.cingolani@uniba.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA DEI MUSEI 41, 25121 BRESCIA, ITALY

E-mail address: marco.degiovanni@unicatt.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA, MATEMATICA E MANAGEMENT, POLITECNICO DI BARI, VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY

E-mail address: giuseppina.vannella@poliba.it