

Dynamical properties of three terminal magnetic tunnel junctions: Spintronics meets spin-orbitronics

R. Tomasello,¹ M. Carpentieri,^{2,a)} and G. Finocchio³ ¹Department of Computer Science, Modeling, Electronics and System Science, University of Calabria, Rende (CS), Italy ²Department of Electrical and Information Engineering, Politecnico of Bari, via E. Orabona 4, I-70125 Bari, Italy

³Department of Electronic Engineering, Industrial Chemistry and Engineering, University of Messina, C.da di Dio, I-98166 Messina, Italy

(Received 15 October 2013; accepted 30 November 2013; published online 19 December 2013)

This Letter introduces a micromagnetic model able to characterize the magnetization dynamics in three terminal magnetic tunnel junctions, where the effects of spin-transfer torque and spin-orbit torque are taken into account. Our results predict that the possibility to separate electrically those two torque sources is very promising from a technological point of view for both next generation of nanoscale spintronic oscillators and microwave detectors. A scalable synchronization scheme based on the parallel connection of those three terminal devices is also proposed. © *2013 AIP Publishing LLC*. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4851939]

Experimental demonstrations of magnetization switching,^{1,2} domain wall motion,³ and persistent magnetization precession,⁴ induced by an in-plane current injection in heavy metal/ferromagnetic/oxide trilayer, have drawn increasing interest to spin torques based on orbital-to-spin momentum transfer (SOT) from Rashba effect (RE) and spin-Hall effect (SHE).^{5–7} Despite a huge number of experiments, a detailed theoretical analysis based on complete micromagnetic simulations to deeply understand the inhomogeneous magnetization processes is missing. This understanding is crucial from both fundamental and technological point of view. Particularly, in the design of the next generation of spintronic devices, together with the advantage to use SOT and especially the SHE (obtaining, in this way, spin-injection without the presence of a ferromagnetic polarizer layer), it will be essential to include the spin-transfer torque (STT) from polarized currents, in order to improve the efficiency and the dynamical properties of those devices.

In this Letter, we study a three terminal device, which efficiently couples spintronics with spin-orbitronics. The pioneering idea of that system has been introduced by Liu et al.^{8,9} However, in that experimental work, the current injected via the third terminal was only used to control the interfacial perpendicular anisotropy maintaining the STT negligible. Differently, here we predict the behavior of that system when also the STT contribution is significant. The three terminal device is composed by a magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ) CoFeB(2)/MgO(1.2)/CoFeB(4)/Ta(5)/Ru(5) (thicknesses in nm) built over a Tantalum (Ta) strip (6000×1200 \times 6 nm³).⁹ The CoFeB(2) and the CoFeB(4) act as free and pinned layer of the MTJ, respectively. Fig. 1 shows a detailed sketch of the system. We introduce a Cartesian coordinate system where the x-axis is oriented along the larger dimension of the Tantalum strip; the y- and z-axis are related to its width and thickness, respectively. There are many advantages to study this system. First of all, the magnetization precession is read via the tunneling magneto-resistive effect instead of the anisotropic magneto resistance (AMR).¹⁰ In fact, the oscillator output power can reach the same order of magnitude of the "state-of-the-art" MTJs-based oscillators.^{11–13} Second, it is possible to control the injection of two current densities: the in-plane J_{Ta} in the Tantalum strip and the perpendicular J_{MTJ} flowing into the MTJ-stack, achieving an additional degree of freedom in the control of the magnetization dynamics. In addition, the use of Ta/CoFe/MgO gives rise to a spin-Hall angle two times larger than the one in Pt/Co/AlO.⁸

Our findings are important both in the understanding the types of spatially inhomogeneous dynamics, which can be excited in presence of SOTs, and for an optimized design of devices which couple spintronics and spin-orbitronics. The two main results of this Letter are (i) micromagnetic understanding of the dynamical properties of those oscillators in terms of oscillation frequency and spatial distribution of the excited modes and (ii) a spintronic/spin-orbitronic synchronization scheme which can be used either to improve the properties of the oscillators (linewidth, output power) or to enhance the sensitivity of resonant microwave signal detectors.

A self-implemented "state of the art" micromagnetic solver has been used to numerically solve the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation,¹⁴ which includes the STT from a spin-polarized current¹⁵ and the SOT driven by SHE^{2,16,17}



FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the three terminal MTJ device.

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: m.carpentieri@poliba.it. Tel.: +39 080 5963254.

$$\frac{d\mathbf{m}}{\gamma_0 M_S dt} = -\frac{1}{(1+\alpha^2)} \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{h}_{\text{EFF}} - \frac{\alpha}{(1+\alpha^2)} \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{h}_{\text{EFF}} - \frac{d_J}{(1+\alpha^2)\gamma_0 M_S} \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{\sigma} + \frac{\alpha d_J}{(1+\alpha^2)\gamma_0 M_S} \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{\sigma} + \frac{g |\mu_B| J_{MTJ}}{|\mathbf{e}| \gamma_0 M_S^2 t} g_T(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{p}}) \\ \times \left[\mathbf{m} \times (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{p}}) - q(V)(\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right], \qquad (1)$$

)

being **m**, h_{EFF} , and m_p , the magnetization and the effective field of the CoFeB free layer and the magnetization of the polarizer (fixed along the -y direction), g is the Landè factor, μ_B the Bohr magneton, e the electron charge, γ_0 the gyromagnetic ratio, α the Gilbert damping, M_s the saturation magnetization, and t the thickness of the free layer. J_{MTJ} is the current density flowing through the MTJ stack, $g_T(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}_p)$ $=\frac{2\eta_T}{1+\eta_T^2\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{m}_p}$ characterizes the angular dependence of the spinpolarization function as computed by Slonczewski, $^{18,19} \eta_T$ is the polarization efficiency. q(V) is a function which takes into account the voltage dependence of the field-like torque term in the MTJ.^{20,21} The coefficient $d_J = \frac{\mu_B \alpha_H}{eM_S t} J_{Ta}$, being α_H the spin Hall angle (ratio between spin-current J_{SHE} and J_{Ta}). σ is the direction of the J_{SHE} in the Ta-strip. The **h**_{EFF} takes into account the standard micromagnetic energy contributions from external, magnetostatic and exchange field, the Oersted field from both J_{Ta} and J_{MTJ} , and the dipolar coupling from the pinned layer. First of all, we carried out preliminary numerical simulations of the same structure studied by Liu *et al.* in Ref. 10, analysing different cross-sections and free layer thicknesses, in order to geometrically optimize the device response in terms of magnetization dynamics. In the following, we present the micromagnetic study for the geometry configuration where we obtained large amplitude magnetization precession. In this case, the dimensions of the ellipse are w = 100 nm along the x-axis, l = 300 nm along the y-axis, and thickness t = 2 nm. The advantage to use a larger thickness (in the structure by Liu et al.¹⁰ it was 1.5 nm) consists in a better understanding of the STT effect, being the perpendicular anisotropy and the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction negligible.^{3,12,22} Particularly, we identified a configuration which permits to excite a quasi-uniform mode and to achieve promising results for the injection locking phenomenon driven by a "weak" microwave STT and a fixed bias J_{Ta} . The used physical parameters are: saturation magnetization $M_S = 1000 \times 10^3$ A/m, exchange constant $A = 2.0 \times 10^{-11}$ J/m, magnetic damping $\alpha = 0.015$, spin-hall angle $\alpha_{\rm H} = -0.15$, and spin-polarization $\eta_T = 0.66$.

Fig. 2(a) shows the oscillation frequency as a function of J_{Ta} related to the oscillation of the y-component of the free layer magnetization for two different field amplitudes $H_{ext} = 30$ and 40 mT ($J_{MTJ} = 0$ A/cm²). The external field is applied with an in-plane angle tilted $\phi = 30^{\circ}$ with respect to the x-axis of the ellipse. For this thickness, the critical current densities are of the order of 10^{8} A/cm² and are almost independent on the field amplitude (at least for the simulated values 20–50 mT).

As the field amplitude increases, a decrease of the current region where coherent magnetization dynamics is observed (e.g., at $H_{ext} = 40$ and 30 mT the current range are between -1.65 and -1.95×10^8 A/cm² and between -1.38 and -1.97×10^8 A/cm², respectively).

As expected, the oscillation frequency increases with the amplitude of the external field and its value at the critical current is 3.75 GHz for $H_{ext} = 30 \text{ mT}$ and 4.60 GHz for $H_{ext} = 40 \,\mathrm{mT}$. The oscillation frequency exhibits a slightly red-shift as function of J_{Ta} , indicating the presence of an inplane oscillation axis, as also confirmed by the temporal evolution of the magnetization. Fig. 2(b) shows the normalized average components of the magnetization $\langle m_x \rangle$, $\langle m_y \rangle$, $\langle m_z \rangle$ (dashed, solid, and dotted line, respectively) for $J_{Ta} = -2.13$ $\times 10^8$ A/cm² and $H_{ext} = 30$ mT for a time of 1 ns. In this case, a large amplitude of the oscillation mode in the x-y plane is shown. The spatial configuration of the magnetization snapshots are displayed in Fig. 2(c) (red positive and blue negative y-component of the magnetization), related to the numbers 1-6 as displayed in Fig. 2(b). Quasi-uniform magnetization dynamic is observed, with the magnetization oscillating of



FIG. 2. (a) Oscillation frequency of the magnetization as a function of the J_{Ta} for $H_{ext} = 40 \text{ mT}$ (top curve) and $H_{ext} = 30 \,\mathrm{mT}$ (bottom curve) when the J_{MTJ} is zero. (b) Temporal evolution of the three normalized components of the magnetization $\langle m_x \rangle$ (dashed curve), $\langle m_{\rm v} \rangle$ (solid curve), $\langle m_z \rangle$ (dotted curve) during 1 ns of the magnetization oscillations, for $J_{Ta} = -2.13 \times 10^8$ A/cm², $H_{ext} = 30 \,\mathrm{mT.}$ (c) Snapshots of the magnetization during an oscillation period in the time instants reported in Fig. 2(b). The color scale refers to the y-component of the magnetization (red positive, blue negative). The arrows indicate the magnetization direction (Multimedia view). [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4851939.1]

 180° back and forth (compare snapshot 1 and 4). In other words, the *y*-component of the magnetization rotates firstly clockwise (points 1–4) and then counter-clockwise (points 4–6).

Fig. 3(a) shows the oscillation frequency of the main excited mode for a fixed $J_{Ta} = -2.13 \times 10^8 \text{ A/cm}^2$ as function of a bias J_{MTJ} . For positive J_{MTJ} , the oscillation frequency exhibits small variation near 3.75 GHz while a large frequency tunability around 100 MHz/(10⁶ A/cm²) for negative J_{MTI} is observed. This result can be explained in the following way. A positive J_{MTJ} acts as an additional positive damping, in fact, for J_{MTJ} larger than 7 \times 10⁶ A/cm², the microwave emission is switched off. On the contrary, a negative J_{MTJ} acts as a negative damping, showing a significant role in the oscillator frequency.²³ This behavior is different from the one observed experimentally,⁹ where a linear tunability of the oscillation frequency on current was found with both signs of the J_{MTJ} . Indeed, in that particular framework, the J_{MTJ} was used to modify the perpendicular anisotropy whereas in this case the anisotropy contribution is negligible. Fig. 3(b) shows the Fourier spectra for different values of the J_{MTJ} . In agreement with the experimental data, it can be observed that the amplitude of the peak increases with increasing the negative value of the current.

One of the main properties of Spin-torque oscillators (STOs) is the possibility to control the output frequency of the self-oscillation via the injection locking phenomenon.^{24–27} For in-plane magnetized free layer, the injection locking has been observed at the 2nd-harmonic (in our case the frequency of the *y*-component of the magnetization).²⁸ In general, the microwave currents were applied to the same terminal of the bias



FIG. 3. (a) Oscillation frequency for fixed $H_{ext} = 30$ mT and $J_{Ta} = -2.13 \times 10^8$ A/cm² as function of the bias J_{MTJ} . (b) Fourier spectra for different values of the J_{MTJ} . (c) Arnold tongues showing the locking regions as function of J_{MTJrf} for T = 0 K (lower curve) and T = 300 K (upper curve) at $J_{Ta} = -2.13 \times 10^8$ A/cm². (d) Dc output voltage vs f_{rf} as computed with Eq. (3) for $J_{MAX} = 2 \times 10^6$ A/cm². Inset: intrinsic phase shift $\phi_{\rm S}$ as function of f_{rf} inside the locking region ($J_{MAX} = 2 \times 10^6$ A/cm²).

current.²⁹ Here, the magnetization precession is driven by the J_{Ta} , while the injection locking is due to a microwave current density $J_{MTJrf} = J_{MAX} \operatorname{sen}(2\pi f_{rf}t)$ applied via the third terminal $(J_{MAX} \text{ and } f_{rf} \text{ are the amplitude and the microwave frequency}).$ In other words, this system permits to study the nonautonomous behavior of an STO by separating electrically the biasing current from the microwave source. We fixed $J_{Ta} = -2.13 \times 10^8 \,\text{A/cm}^2$ and $H_{ext} = 30 \,\text{mT}$, which corresponds to an oscillation frequency of 3.75 GHz. The locking properties have been studied for a J_{MTJrf} with amplitude J_{MAX} from 1 to 4.2×10^6 A/cm² at T = 0 K and up to 8×10^6 A/cm² for T = 300 K and a microwave frequency from 3.0 GHzto 8.0 GHz. Fig. 3(c) summarizes the locking range Δ as function of J_{MAX} , without and with the thermal fluctuations (T = 300 K).^{30,31} For example, at $J_{MAX} = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ A/cm}^2$, the locking range is $\Delta = 320$ MHz, from 3.61 to 3.93 GHz. For current densities up to 4.2×10^6 A/cm² the response is qualitatively the same, the Δ increases linearly with J_{MAX} . The presence of thermal fluctuations imposes a larger J_{MAX} to reach the same locking region Δ . No qualitative differences are observed by changing $-0.1 < \alpha_H < -0.2$ and $0.5 < \eta_T < 0.7$, and by considering different MTJ cross sections of 310×100 nm^2 and 290 \times 100 nm^2 . Our results predict locking regions comparable or even larger than the experimental ones for microwave current densities of the same order.^{23,24,28} In the synchronization region, where the resistance r oscillates at the same frequency $\omega_{\rm S}$ of the microwave source, the signal can be written as $r=R_{(M),S} + \Delta R_S \sin(\omega_S t + \phi_S)$, being ΔR_S and $R_{\langle M \rangle,S}$ the amplitude of the oscillating tunnelling magnetoresistive signal and its mean value, respectively, and $\phi_{\rm S}$ the intrinsic phase shift in the synchronized state.³² The output voltage v_0 over the MTJ is given by

$$v_{0} = \left(R_{\langle M \rangle,S} + \Delta R_{S} \sin(\omega_{S}t + \phi_{S})\right)I_{MAX} \sin(\omega_{S}t)$$

$$= R_{\langle M \rangle,S}I_{MAX} \operatorname{sen}(\omega_{S}t) + \frac{\Delta R_{S}I_{MAX}}{2} (\cos(\phi_{S}))$$

$$- \cos(2\omega_{S}t + \phi_{S})), \qquad (2)$$

where $I_{MAX} = SJ_{MAX}$ (*S* is the cross section of the free layer). Together with the microwave signals at $2\omega_S$ and ω_S that can be used for the design of microwave oscillators, a dc component $0.5\Delta R_S I_{MAX} \cos \phi_S$ is also observed. Fig. 3(d) shows the dc output voltage as function of the microwave frequency for a $J_{MAX} = 2 \times 10^6$ A/cm² ($R_P = 4450 \ \Omega$ and $R_{AP} = 5200$ Ω). A maximum voltage of 80 mT is achieve inside Δ , whereas zero dc voltage is measured outside Δ .. The inset of Fig. 3(d) shows the intrinsic phase shift ϕ_S as function of the microwave frequency. The prediction of this large dc voltage makes this system very promising for the design of a next generation of high sensitive resonant microwave signal detectors.³³ The results described above are at the basis of the scalable synchronization scheme discussed below.

Now, we focus our attention to MTJs with different cross sections (MTJ₁, MTJ₂, and MTJ₃) with in plane axes 310 and 100 nm, 300 and 100 nm (same studied above), 290 and 100 nm, respectively. Fig. 4(a) shows a sketch of the proposed synchronization scheme for the three MTJs, but we stress the fact that this system is highly scalable and it can be extended to an array of *N*-three terminal systems.



FIG. 4. (a) Schematic representation of the proposed highly scalable synchronization scheme. (b) Locking ranges as a function of the cross-section dimensions for $J_{MAX} = 2.0 \times 10^6 \text{ A/cm}^2$ and $J_{Ta} = -2.13 \times 10^8 \text{ A/cm}^2$.

The dependence of the oscillation frequency on J_{Ta} in MTJ₁ and MTJ₃ is similar to the one related to the MTJ₂ (not shown). For a fixed J_{Ta} , the locking range of the three MTJs is of the same order, but centered over a different oscillation frequency. For example, at $J_{Ta} = -2.13 \times 10^8$ A/cm² and $J_{MAX} = 2.0 \times 10^6$ A/cm², we achieved for MTJ₁ a magnetization precessional frequency $f_1 = 3.62$ GHz and $\Delta_1 = 390$ MHz, for MTJ₂ $f_2 = 3.75$ GHz and $\Delta_2 = 320$ MHz, and for MTJ₃ $f_3 = 3.78$ GHz and $\Delta_3 = 310$ MHz. As illustrated in Fig. 4(b), the locking ranges are overlapped for a region of 290 MHz, suggesting a possible way to synchronize parallel connected three terminal oscillators.

The magnetization precession is excited by means of the SHE in all the MTJs. The synchronization is achieved via a microwave voltage applied to the third terminal V_{RF} $=V_M \sin(\omega_S t)$. The output signal can be read as the voltage over R_0 . For each *i*-MTJ, the conductance G_i is given by $G_i = G_{\langle M \rangle,i} + \Delta G_i \sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i)$ where $G_{\langle M \rangle,i}$ is the average conductance when the magnetization precession is excited, ΔG_i , ω_i , and ϕ_i are, respectively, the amplitude, the frequency, and the intrinsic phase shift³² of the oscillation generated in the *i*-MTJ. For *N*-synchronized MTJs at the frequency $\omega_{\rm S}$. The total conductivity is given by $G_{\rm T} = \sum_{i=1...N} G_{\langle {\rm M} \rangle,i}$ $+\sum_{i=1..N} \Delta G_i \sin(\omega_S t + \phi_i)$. The electrical circuit is completed by adding two filters with the aim to use the synchronization scheme to enhance the output microwave power at $2\omega_{\rm S}$ or the dc voltage. In the case of pass-band filters, Z_0 and Z_1 are composed by a capacitor and an inductor connected in series, where $L_0C_0 = \frac{1}{4\omega_c^2}$ and $L_1C_1 = \frac{1}{\omega_c^2}$, respectively. In this way, the output voltage over R_0 is given by

$$v_0 = R_0 i_0 = \frac{R_0 V_M}{2} \sum_{i=1...N} \Delta G_i \cos(2\omega_S t + \phi_i).$$
(3)

When ϕ_i values are the same (or within a range smaller than 10°), v_0 can be approximated to the sum of the signals from the MTJs as $v_0 \approx 0.5R_0V_M \cos(2\omega_S t + \phi_S)\sum_{i=1...N} \Delta G_i$. If Z_0 is a low pass filter (i.e., a capacitor) and Z_1 is a high pass filter (i.e., an inductor), the output voltage over R_0 is given by

$$v_0 = -\frac{R_0 V_M}{2} \sum_{i=1\dots N} \Delta G_i \cos(\phi_i). \tag{4}$$

The Eqs. (3) and (4) point out how the proposed synchronization scheme can give rise to an improvement of the dynamical properties (for example power) if used as oscillator, or to an enhancement of the sensitivity (output dc voltage over the power of the microwave signal) when used as microwave detector.

In summary, we have micromagnetically studied the dynamical behavior of a three terminal MTJs driven by the SOT and the STT. We have found that the control of the STT and the SOT via electrically separated terminals opens promising perspective from a technological point of view in the design of next generation of spintronic oscillators and microwave detectors, overcoming the limit of the output power and sensitivity by means of an innovative highly scalable synchronization scheme.

This work was supported by project MAT2011-28532-C03-01 from Spanish government and project PRIN2010ECA8P3 from Italian MIUR.

- ¹I. M. Miron, K. Garello, G. Gaudin, P.-J. Zermatten, M. V. Costache, S. Auffret, S. Bandiera, B. Rodmacq, A. Schuhl, and P. Gambardella, Nature **476**, 189–193 (2011).
- ²L. Liu, O. J. Lee, T. J. Gudmundsen, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 096602 (2012).
- ³S. Emori, U. Bauer, S.-M. Ahn, E. Martinez, and G. S. D. Beach, Nature Mater. **12**, 611–616 (2013).
- ⁴V. E. Demidov, S. Urazhdin, H. Ulrichs, V. Tiberkevich, A. Slavin, D. Baither, G. Schmitz, and S. O. Demokritov, Nature Mater. **11**, 1028–1031 (2012).
- ⁵T. Jungwirth, J. Wunderlich, and K. Olejník, Nature Mater. **11**, 382–390 (2012).
- ⁶K. Garello, I. M. Miron, C. O. Avci, F. Freimuth, Y. Mokrousov, S. Blugel, S. Auffret, O. Boulle, G. Gaudin, and P. Gambardella, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 587–593 (2013).
- ⁷N. Tesařová, P. Němec, E. Rozkotová, J. Zemen, T. Janda, D. Butkovičová, F. Trojánek, K. Olejník, V. Novák, P. Malý, and T. Jungwirth, Nature Photon. 7, 492–498 (2013).
- ⁸L. Liu, C.-F. Pai, Y. Li, H. W. Tseng, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Science **336**, 555–558 (2012).
- ⁹L. Liu, C.-F. Pai, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 186602 (2012).
- ¹⁰R. H. Liu, W. L. Lim, and S. Urazhdin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 147601 (2013).
- ¹¹Z. M. Zeng, P. K. Amiri, I. N. Krivorotov, H. Zhao, G. Finocchio, J.-P. Wang, J. A. Katine, Y. Huai, J. Langer, O. K. Galatsis, K. L. Wang, and H. Jiang, ACS Nano 6, 6115–6121 (2012).
- ¹²Z. M. Zeng, G. Finocchio, B. Zhang, P. K. Amiri, J. A. Katine, I. N. Krivorotov, Y. Huai, J. Langer, B. Azzerboni, K. L. Wang, and H. Jiang, Sci. Rep. **3**, 1426 (2013).
- ¹³Z. Zeng, G. Finocchio, and H. Jiang, Nanoscale 5, 2219–2231 (2013).
- ¹⁴L. Lopez-Diaz, D. Aurélio, L. Torres, E. Martinez, M. A. Hernadez-Lopez, J. Gomez, O. Alejos, M. Carpentieri, G. Finocchio, and G. Consolo, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 45, 323001 (2012).
- ¹⁵J. C. Slonczewski, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **159**, L1 (1996).
- ¹⁶J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1834 (1999).
- ¹⁷G. Finocchio, M. Carpentieri, E. Martinez, and B. Azzerboni, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 212410 (2013).
- ¹⁸J. C. Slonczewski, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 024411 (2005).
- ¹⁹J. C. Slonczewski and J. Z. Sun, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **310**, 169 (2007).
- ²⁰J. C. Sankey, Y.-T. Cui, J. Z. Sun, J. C. Slonczweski, R. A. Buhrman, and D. C. Ralph, Nat. Phys. 4, 67 (2008).
- ²¹M. Carpentieri, E. Martinez, and G. Finocchio, J. Appl. Phys. **110**, 093911 (2011).
- ²²S. Ikeda, K. Miura, H. Yamamoto, K. Mizunuma, H. D. Gan, M. Endo, S. Kanai, J. Hayakawa, F. Matsukura, and H. Ohno, Nature Mater. 9, 721 (2010).

- ²⁴W. H. Rippard, M. R. Pufall, S. Kaka, T. J. Silva, S. E. Russek, and J. Katine, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 067203 (2005).
- ²⁵B. Georges, J. Grollier, M. Darques, V. Cros, C. Deranlot, B. Marcilhac, G. Faini, and A. Fert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 017201 (2008).
- ²⁶M. Carpentieri, G. Finocchio, B. Azzerboni, and L. Torres, Phys. Rev. B 82, 094434 (2010).
- ²⁷A. Dussaux, A. V. Khvalkovskiy, J. Grollier, V. Cros, A. Fukushima, M. Konoto, H. Kubota, K. Yakushiji, S. Yuasa, K. Ando, and A. Fert, Appl. Phys. Lett. **98**, 132506 (2011).
- ²⁸M. Quinsat, J. F. Sierra, I. Firastrau, V. Tiberkevich, A. Slavin, D. Gusakova, L. D. Buda-Prejbeanu, M. Zarudniev, J.-P. Michel, U. Ebels, B.

Dieny, M.-C. Cyrille, J. A. Katine, D. Mauri, and A. Zeltser, Appl. Phys. Lett. 98, 182503 (2011).

- ²⁹M. Carpentieri, T. Moriyama, B. Azzerboni, and G. Finocchio, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 102413 (2013).
- ³⁰W. F. Brown, Jr., Phys. Rev. **130**, 1677 (1963); J. L. Garcia-Palacios and F. J. Lazaro, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 14937 (1998).
- ³¹G. Finocchio, I. N. Krivorotov, X. Cheng, L. Torres, and B. Azzerboni, Phys. Rev. B 83, 134402 (2011).
- ³²Y. Zhou, J. Persson, S. Bonetti, and J. Åkerman, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 092505 (2008).
- ³³X. Cheng, J. A. Katine, G. E. Rowlands, and I. N. Krivorotov, Appl. Phys. Lett. **103**, 082402 (2013).

²³A. Slavin and V. Tiberkevich, IEEE Trans. Magn. 45, 1875 (2009).