

Repository Istituzionale dei Prodotti della Ricerca del Politecnico di Bari

A review on wastewater sludge valorisation and its challenges in the context of circular economy

This is a pre-print of the following article

Original Citation:

A review on wastewater sludge valorisation and its challenges in the context of circular economy / Gherghel, Andreea; Teodosiu, Carmen; De Gisi, Sabino. - In: JOURNAL OF CLEANER PRODUCTION. - ISSN 0959-6526. - STAMPA. -228:(2019), pp. 244-263. [10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.240]

Availability: This version is available at http://hdl.handle.net/11589/171081 since: 2021-03-26

Published version DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.240

Publisher:

Terms of use:

(Article begins on next page)

16 August 2024

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of

Cleaner Production

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JCLEPRO-D-18-15083

Title: A review on wastewater sludge valorisation and its challenges in the context of circular economy

Article Type: Review article

Keywords: Circular economy; Energy recovery; Resource recovery; Sludge reduction; Wastewater treatment plant

Corresponding Author: Professor Carmen Teodosiu, Professor, M.Sc., Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi

First Author: Andreea Gherghel, PhD student

Order of Authors: Andreea Gherghel, PhD student; Carmen Teodosiu, Professor, M.Sc., Ph.D.; Sabino De Gisi, Associate Professor, PhD

Abstract: The use of wastewater sludge as a source for energy and resource recovery is a good alternative for its management considering the legislation requirements and the circular economy principles. Recognizing sludge as a resource, not as a waste, has made researchers consider the recovery of valuable components from sludge, such as carbon and nutrients. The energy that can be obtained from wastewater sludge may be a sustainable solution to fulfill present and future energy requirements. This review discusses about the types of sludge produced by wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), the technologies that can be implemented in the water and sludge line to reduce the sludge amount, as well as the conventional treatment and disposal methods. Moreover, the technologies that can be used to recover resources and energy in the context of cicular economy are also presented. Finally, a detailed description of some urban biorefineries aimed at the recovery of cellulose and nutrients and the production of bioplastics is reported. The study ends with conclusions and future research directions.

Dear Editors of the Journal of Cleaner Production,

We would like to submit the attached manuscript, entitled **"A review on wastewater** sludge valorisation and its challenges in the context of circular economy" by *Andreea Gherghel, Carmen Teodosiu* and Sabino De Gisi* for* your consideration for possible publication as a Review in *Journal of Cleaner Production.*

This study presents a comprehensive review that structures the research efforts realised so far related to the types of sludge produced by wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), the technologies that can be implemented in the water and sludge line to reduce the sludge amount, as well as the conventional treatment and disposal methods. Moreover, the technologies that can be used to recover resources and energy in the context of cicular economy are also presented. Finally, a detailed description of some urban biorefineries aimed at the recovery of cellulose and nutrients and the production of bioplastics is reported.

All the authors of this review paper have directly participated in the planning, execution, and analysis of this study. All authors of this paper have read and approved the final version submitted. The contents of this manuscript have not been copyrighted or published previously. The contents of this manuscript are not now under consideration for publication elsewhere. The contents of this manuscript will not be copyrighted, submitted, or published elsewhere, while acceptance by the Journal is under consideration. If accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, without the written consent of the Publisher.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Carmen Teodosiu (on behalf of all authors)

Prof. Dr.ing. Carmen Teodosiu

Department of Environmental Engineering and Management "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania 73, Prof.Dr.doc.D. Mangeron Street, 700050 Iasi, Romania E-mail: cteo@ch.tuiasi.ro

A review on wastewater sludge valorisation and its challenges in the context of circular economy

Andreea Gherghel¹, Carmen Teodosiu^{1*}, Sabino De Gisi^{2*}

 "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Department of Environmental Engineering and Management, "Cristofor Simionescu" Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Environmental Protection, 73 Prof. Dr. Doc. Dimitrie Mangeron Street, 700050 Iasi, Romania

2. Department of Civil, Environmental, Land, Building Engineering and Chemistry (DICATECh), Politecnico di Bari, via E. Orabona n.4, 70125 Bari (BA), Italy

Corresponding authors' e-mails: cteo@ch.tuiasi.ro (C.Teodosiu); sabino.degisi@poliba.it (Sabino De Gisi)

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST: NONE

There are no interests to declare.

Highlights

- Wastewater sludge can be used for materials and energy recovery in circular economy
- Possible biorefineries based on sludge valorization were identified and described
- The recovery of short-chain fatty acids, phosphorus and bioplastics was disscussed
- More than 180 references about wastewater sludge valorization routes were analysed
- Future directions for wastewater sludge management were investigated.

1 Amount of words= 16219

2 A review on wastewater sludge valorisation and its challenges in the context of

- 3 circular economy
- 4 5

Andreea Gherghel¹, Carmen Teodosiu^{1*}, Sabino De Gisi^{2*}

6

13

,,Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Department of Environmental Engineering and
 Management, "Cristofor Simionescu" Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Environmental Protection, 73
 Prof. Dr. Doc. Dimitrie Mangeron Street, 700050 Iasi, Romania

10 2. Department of Civil, Environmental, Land, Building Engineering and Chemistry (DICATECh),

11 Politecnico di Bari, via E. Orabona n.4, 70125 Bari (BA), Italy

12 *Corresponding authors' e-mails:* <u>cteo@ch.tuiasi.ro</u> (C.Teodosiu); <u>sabino.degisi@poliba.it</u> (Sabino De Gisi)

14 Abstract

The use of wastewater sludge as a source for energy and resource recovery is a good alternative for 15 its management considering the legislation requirements and the circular economy principles. 16 17 Recognizing sludge as a resource, not as a waste, has made researchers consider the recovery of valuable components from sludge, such as carbon and nutrients. The energy that can be obtained 18 19 from wastewater sludge may be a sustainable solution to fulfill present and future energy requirements. This review discusses about the types of sludge produced by wastewater treatment 20 plants (WWTPs), the technologies that can be implemented in the water and sludge line to reduce 21 22 the sludge amount, as well as the conventional treatment and disposal methods. Moreover, the technologies that can be used to recover resources and energy in the context of cicular economy are 23 also presented. Finally, a detailed description of some urban biorefineries aimed at the recovery of 24 cellulose and nutrients and the production of bioplastics is reported. The study ends with 25 conclusions and future research directions. 26

27

Keywords: Circular economy; Energy recovery; Resource recovery; Sludge reduction; Wastewater
 treatment plant

30

31 Highlights

- Wastewater sludge can be used for materials and energy recovery in circular economy
- Possible biorefineries based on sludge valorization were identified and described
- The recovery of short-chain fatty acids, phosphorus and bioplastics was disscussed
- More than 180 references about wastewater sludge valorization routes were analysed
- Future directions for wastewater sludge management were investigated

1. Introduction 37

The increase of the quantity of wastewater sludge is a global problem in the context of 38 population growth and adequate sanitation in large wastewater treatment plants. Sludge is the solid 39 residue that remains after wastewater treatment (Abelleira et al., 2012), being produced by 40 processes such as activated sludge, aerobic-oxic, anaerobic-anoxic-oxic, oxidation, cyclic activated 41 sludge and up-flow anaerobic sludge bed processes (Gong et al., 2014). The quality of the raw 42 sewage sludge and the treatment technologies used in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) can 43 influence the final characteristics of sewage sludge (Kacprzak et al., 2017). Meanwhile, the 44 combination of various physical, mechanical, chemical and biological processes used in a WWPT, 45 is the key for achieving the removal of pollutants from sludge (Anjum et al., 2016). A short review 46 of literature shows an increase of sewage sludge production in Europe and development of new 47 wastewater treatment technologies, due to stringent legislative requirements for wastewater 48 discharges or reuse (Praspaliauskas and Pedišius, 2017). The mains directives regarding the 49 50 wastewater sludge management in Europe are presented in Table 1 (Manara and Zabaniotou, 2012).

- 51
- **Table 1.** The European Union (EU) legislation on wastewater sludge management 52

Directives	Highlights	
Directive 1986/278/EEC (Sewage Sludge	Refers to the environmental protection and in particular of	
Directive)	the soil, when sewage sludge is used in agriculture;	
Directive 1975/442/EEC with its amendments:	dments: The Waste Framework Directive which incorporates th	
1991/156/EEC, 2006/12/EC and 2008/98/EC	Polluter Pays Principle along with the waste hierarchy	
	(article 4 of the Directive is pertinent to the land	
	spreading of wastes);	
Directive1991/271/EEC with its amendment	Considers the improvement of wastewater treatment	
1998/15/EEC (Urban Water Treatment	processes, increasing the number of existing plants;	
Directive)		
Directive 1999/31/EEC (Landfill Directive)	Increases the restrictions on quantities of biodegradable	
	waste that can be landfilled, due to concerns over	
	methane generation under anaerobic digestion;	
Directive 2003/33/EEC	Establishes the criteria and procedures for the acceptance	
	of waste at landfills; pursuant to the Article 16 and Annex	
	II of Directive 1999/31/EC;	
Directive 2000/76/EEC	Refers to the incineration of waste;	
Directive 1989/369/EEC	Refers to the prevention of air pollution from municipal	
	WWTPs;	
Directive 1991/676/ EEC	Considers water protection regarding pollution with	
	nitrates from agricultural sources;	
Directive 1991/689/EEC	Refers to the controlled management of hazardous waste;	
Decision 2000/532/EC	Establishes a list of wastes, as amended.	

53

Although, considered a residue, sewage sludge can be used as a source of energy or 54 55 resources, thus replacing an equivalent amount of materials/energy that would otherwise need to be produced from non-renewable resources with considerable environmental impacts (Fijalkowski et 56 57 al., 2017). The concept of circular economy appeared in 1970 and is attributed to Pearce and Turner (1989). Investigating the linear and open-ended characteristics of contemporary economic systems, 58

the researchers described how the natural resources can influence the economy by providing inputs 59 for production and consumption as well as serving as a sink for outputs in the form of wastes. The 60 circular economy concept emerged as an alternative to the "Take-Make-Dispose" (linear) economic 61 model and is based on the principles of: cradle-to-cradle, regenerative design, industrial ecology, 62 63 laws of ecology, biomimicry, looped and performance economy and the blue economy (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017). According to Ellen MacArthur Foundation (EMF) the circular economy 64 is: "an industrial system that is restorative or regenerative by intention and design. It replaces the 65 'end-of-life' concept with restoration, shifts towards the use of renewable energy, eliminates the use 66 of toxic chemicals, which impair reuse, and aims for the elimination of waste through the superior 67 design of materials, products, systems, and, within this, business models" (EMF, 2010); for short: 68 "circular economy is the one that is restorative and regenerative by design, and which aims to keep 69 70 products, components and materials at their highest utility and value, at all times" (Webster, 2015). The concept of circular economy is presented in Fig. 1. 71

The moving to circular economy is more than "*A zero waste programme for Europe*", while achieving the European strategies established until 2020, needs to be accomplished by reducing the resources escaping from the circle so that the system functions in an optimal way (Smol et al., 2015).



76 77

Fig. 1. The concept of circular economy.

Sludge reuse as raw material in different industries represents a good possibility of waste management considering the circular economy concept (Eliche-Qusada et al., 2011). Due to the legislation that limits the landfilling and land application as sludge disposal methods, many studies approached the sludge reuse and recycling to achieve its environmental sustainable waste management. In relation to this, European Commission (2011) considers that "if waste is to become a resource to be fed back into the economy as a raw material, then much higher priority needs to be
given to re-use and recycling". Moreover, the combination of policies would help create a full
recycling economy and the life cycle approach will be considered in product design, cooperation
between markets actors will be improved, the regulatory framework will be appropriate and public
investments will grow.

Furthermore, waste material incineration, is also not compatible with the concept of circular economy, due to significant greenhouse gas emissions resulting from this process (Nghiem et al., 2017). Taking into account the fact that organic component from sludge are a rich vein of resources in terms of energy and nutrient waiting to be tapped, a study realized in 2015 by the International Solid Waste Association (ISWA), shows that, in the context of circular economy, an important benefit of the energy and fuels obtained from waste is that they can replace other energy resources and thereby their associated emissions of CO₂.

95 The main objective of this study is to perform a comprehensive literature assessment 96 regarding the wastewater sludge treatment processes and management used for its valorization in 97 order to recover resources and energy, while taking into account the circular economy concept, and to identify the research issues that need further investigation. In detail, this review approaches the 98 following research questions: (i) the sludge characteristics, its traditional treatment and disposal 99 processes and the legislation changes limiting their use; (ii) the new technologies that may be used 100 to reduce the amount of sludge; (iii) the valuable components/materials which can be recovered 101 from sludge as resources; (iv) the integrated recovery of resources and energy from sludge in urban 102 biorefineries. 103

104

105 **2. Methodology**

106 The selection and analysis of the scientific literature was made considering the following criteria:

a) Relevant international information databases. Bibliometric resources such as: Science Direct,

Scopus, Web of Science were used to retrieve articles, book-chapters and international proceedings.
 European Commission or other organisations databases were also consulted; the relevant content

included 183 articles (in journals or conference proceedings), reports and legal documents;

b) *Publication period*. The majority of references (95.62%) are from 2008 to 2018 (175 references
from a total of 183 references), the rest of 4.38 % references belonging to 1989-2007;

c) *Relevant keywords*. The following keywords have been used in different combinations: *WWTP*, *circular economy, sludge valorization, treatment technologies, resource recovery and energy recovery*. About 63% from the references, were used to describe the methods for recovery of valuable components from sludge (40% for resource recovery and 23% for energy recovery); d) *Selection of references based on content analysis.* After eliminating the articles referring to industrial sludge or other types of waste valorization (biomass, fly ash based wastes, etc.) the remaining articles/book chapters were analyzed thoroughly. Abstracts of all references left after this screening process were analyzed;

e) *Analysis of the data selected and structure of the review*. The selected scientific literature was presented based on the concept depicted in Fig. 2, considering the main resources that can be recovered from sludge and the technologies used. The data presented in this study gives an overview of all the stages of the sludge stream, from sludge production until its landfilling or valorisation. In other words, we can say that this study describes the "*sludge life cycle*" from the circular economy point of view.



127 128

Fig. 2. Possibilities to recover material resources and energy from wastewater sludge.

129

Therefore, after the methodology (Section 2), the types of sludge and traditional treatment and disposal processes are presented (Section 3), along with the technologies used in wastewater and sludge lines, for reduction of sludge production. In the next three sections there are described the technologies that can be used for resources (Section 4) and energy (Section 5) recovery from sludge, as well as the integrated integrated recovery of resources and energy from sludge in urban biorefineries (Section 6). The paper ends with conclusions and future research directions.

136

3. Wastewater sludge characterization, treatment and disposal practices

138 *3.1. Sludge caracterization*

In a municipal WWTP, depending on the treatment stage, several types of sludge are generated, as follows: Primary sludge is produced during the primary treatment (screening, grit removal, flotation,
 precipitation and sedimentation), when heavy solids, grease and oils are separated from raw
 wastewater (Manara and Zabaniotou, 2012; Tyagi and Lo, 2013; Suárez-Iglesias et al., 2017).
 Usually, primary sludge contains 2% to 10% solids, the remaining 90%, (sometimes even 99.5%)
 being water (Tyagi and Lo, 2013; Moran, 2018);

Secondary sludge (waste activated sludge) is produced during biological treatment, when the
 microorganisms decompose the biodegradable organic content from wastewater (Devi and Saroha,
 2017). The total solids concentration is between 0.5-1.5%, depending on the type of biological
 treatment process employed (Tezel et al., 2011; Moran, 2018), the rest being water. The organic
 portion from waste activated sludge contains: carbon 50–55%, oxygen 25–30%, nitrogen 10–15%,
 hydrogen 6–10%, phosphorus 1–3% and sulfur 0.5–1.5% (Tyagi and Lo, 2013);

3. Tertiary sludge is obtained in the advanced wastewater treatment stages, when nutrients
(nitrogen and phosphorus) removal is required (Manara and Zabaniotou, 2012).

According to Gianico et al. (2015), the caracteristics of primary and secondary sludge, in 154 terms of pollutants, nutrients, water and energy contents are different. Because the primary sludge is 155 more polluted, it needs a thermal treatment before disposal, while secondary sludge may be used in 156 agriculture after stabilization, due to its rich nutrients content. However, in order to increase the 157 dewatering potential of secondary sludge, the former is mixed with primary sludge characterized 158 by a higher biodegradability (Carrere et al., 2010; Devi and Saroha, 2017). Table 2 sums up some 159 characteristics of primary and secondary activated sludge (Tyagi and Lo, 2013; Suárez-Iglesias et 160 al., 2017). The main features of different types of sludge from WWTPs were also presented by 161 Bougrier et al. (2008), Manara and Zabaniotou (2012), Anjum et al. (2016) and Nazari et al. (2017). 162

164 **Table 2.** Characteristics of primary and secondary wastewater sludge

Parameter	Sludge	
	Primary	Secondary biological
Total solids (% TS)	2.0-9.0	0.8-3.3
Organic solids/volatile solids (% TS)	60-80	59-88
Nitrogen (N, % TS)	1.5-4.0	2.4-5.0
Phosphorus (P, % TS)	0.17-2.8	0.5-2.3
Potash (K_2O , % TS)	0-1	0.5-0.7
Cellulose (% TS)	8.0-15.0	7.0-9.7
Iron (Fe g/kg)	2.0-4.0	n.a.
Silica (SiO ₂ , % TS)	15-20	n.a.
pH	5.0-8.0	6.5-8.0
Grease and fats (% TS)	7.0-65	2-12
Protein (% TS)	20-30	32-41
Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃)	500-1,500	580-1,100
Organic acids (mg/L, as acetate)	200-2,000	1,100-1,700
Carbohydrates (% TS)	n.a.	6.1-9.8

Energy content (kJ/kg TS)

165 n.a. - not available.

166

167 *3.2. Sludge treatment and disposal practices*

Sludge treatment and disposal are important stages in the context of environmental 168 protection because of its content of residual organic pollutants, toxic metals and pathogenic 169 microorganisms which can cause health problems (Anjum et al., 2016). Sludge treatment requires 170 high amounts of energy and has associated environmental impacts, the cost of sludge treatment 171 representing approximately 50% of the total running cost of WWTPs (Collivignarelli et al., 2015; 172 Qian et al., 2016). It was determined that sludge disposal processes are responsible for 40% of the 173 total greenhouse gas emissions from WWTPs, this percentage could be decreased if the circular 174 economy concept would be applied (Brown et al., 2010; Pilli et al., 2015). 175

The amount of sludge has increased due to population growth and rapid development of industry (Praspaliauskas and Pedišius, 2017). According to the European Commission Report (EC, 2008), more then 10 million tones of dry solids of sludge were produced in Member States (26 EU) in 2008, and the sludge amount is expected to continue to grow up to 13 million tones by 2020 (Kelessidis and Stasinakis, 2012).

Therefore, many physical, chemical and biological processes have been developed to treat or minimize sludge production (Xu et al., 2014; Praspaliauskas and Pedišius, 2017). The most frequently used methods for the disposal of excessive sludge are: incineration, landfilling, oceandumping (Anjum et al., 2016; Qian et al., 2016), reuse in agriculture (directly or after composting) and reuse for production of cement, bricks and asphalt (Zhen et al., 2017).

Even if approximately 40% of the total sludge produced in EU is used in agriculture 186 (Eurostat, 2015), some EU countries adopted strict limit values for contaminants, than those 187 188 reported in the Sewage Sludge Directive (SSD). Each country has made its decisions, some of them have added new contaminants on the SSD list, while others, considering at the environmental risks 189 190 of using sludge in agriculture, abandoned this method of sludge disposal (Kacprazak et al., 2017). For example, in 2010, several EU countries such as: United Kingdom, Denmark, France, Belgium 191 192 and Spain, used more than 50% of sludge in agriculture (EC, 2008), while countries like Netherlands, Greece, Romania, Slovenia and Slovakia didn't used it at all. Smith (2002) argued that 193 194 the utilization of Best Practicable Environmental Option (BPEO) approach can assess the impacts on all environmental media. Kacprzak et al. (2017) affirm that the agricultural use of sludge is 195 196 considered a BPEO, but it depends on the agreement of farmers. When the land is used for food 197 production, the specific analysis of sludge and the adoption of measures to stop the migration of 198 contaminants are imperative. Considering the organic compunds and inorganic nutrients that can be

taken up from sludge, the agricultural use remained one of the prefered options for sludge disposal(Fijalkowski et al., 2017).

Regarding the landfill disposal of sludge, it is less used due to leachate production and CO₂ emissions which affect the air (Kacprzak et al., 2017) and also due to EU legislation which became more stringent (Manara and Zabaniotou, 2012). According to Kelessidis and Stasinakis (2012), who have studied the methods used for treatment and final disposal of sludge in European countries, between 2000 and 2009 only three countries have reported an increase of landfill use (Italy, Danmark and Estonia).

Instead, the use of incineration increased in many European countries, due to large volume 207 reduction of sludge and thermal efficiency (Manara and Zabaniotou, 2012). Germany and The 208 209 Netherlands were the countries which developed more this technology, with a percentage of 28% and 16%, respectively (Kelessidis and Stasinakis, 2012). The choice and application of the best 210 sludge management strategy should take into account: a) the costs of gas scrubbing for air pollution 211 212 control are higher (Manara and Zabaniotou, 2012), b) heavy metals emissions (Kelessidis and Stasinakis, 2012) and c) the indication for incineration in the case of large WWTPs or when the 213 quality of sludge is not suitable for its use on land, according to the law (Kacprzak et al., 2017). 214 Therefore, the increased care for environmental protection, stricter legislation and circular economy 215 implementation has led to the consideration of other methods for sludge minimization and 216 treatment. Biological methods, such as, composting, aerobic and anaerobic digestion, replaced with 217 success the traditional methods because of their benefits: reduction of sludge volume, removal of 218 pathogens and volatile solids and conversion of sludge into stable biosolids (Semblante et al., 219 2015). 220

Nowadays, anaerobic digestion (AD) represents one of the most used methods for sludge 221 222 stabilization because it can reduce odors, pathogenic microorganisms and, volatile solids and obtain biogas from the organic part of the sludge (Nazari et al., 2017). In terms of costs, it is an expensive 223 technology, but considering its energy efficiency to recover methane from sludge, many 224 countries/regions apply it on a large scale, an example being California, with 82% of the total 225 WWTPs that are operating anaerobic digestion for sludge stabilization (Anjum et al., 2016). The 226 AD process consists of several successive biochemical processes such as: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, 227 acetogenesis and methanogenesis, presented in detail by Zhen et al. (2017). According to literature 228 data (Gianico et al., 2015; Nazari et al., 2017), the hydrolysis step controls the anaerobic digestion 229 rate. To overcome this limitation, a number of pre-treatments are required, such as: thermal 230 231 hydrolysis, ozonation, alkcaline hydrolysis, enzymatic lysis, freezing and thawing, mechanical desintegration, high pressure homogenizers, ultrasound, microwave irradiation and photocatalytic 232 pre-treatment (Zhang et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013). The aim of these pre-233

treatments is to destroy the microbial cell walls, release the extracellular and intracellular organic compounds which lead further to an accelerated subsequent biological treatment and a smaller solid retention time required for the digestion sludge process. Anjum et al. (2016) presents each of these pretreatments used to improve sludge AD preformance, which can be also combined between them.

238 Aerobic digestion is another method used for sludge (dewatered or thickened) stabilization, that takes place in a completely aerated reactor and is influenced by the system temperature and the 239 retention time (Semblante et al., 2015). The process is characterized by mesophilic or thermophilic 240 temperatures, the last being more used (Anjum et al., 2016). For example, Jin et al. (2015), studying 241 the efficiency of autothermal thermofilic aerobic sludge digestion by chemical approach, reported 242 that after 10 days of agitation of sludge with oxigen at 50 °C, volatile solids were removed in a 243 percentage of 38%. Liu et al. (2012), expressed that the aerobic digestion process provided a rapid 244 degradation of biomass in a short retention time at a high temperature, with inactivation of 245 pathogenic microorganisms. However, when the temperature is higher than 35 °C, the accumulation 246 247 of ammonium nitrogen takes place in the system due to inhibition of nitrification and denificatrion processes, which will reduce the bacterial activity and in the end the sewage sludge stabilization 248 (Yuan et al., 2014). 249

Composting processes involve treatment and conversion of sludge into a stabilized product, 250 which can be used as organic fertilizer or value added product (Anjum et al., 2016). The main 251 factors that influence the microbial development and the organic matter stabilization are: pH, C/N 252 ratio, and moisture (Ezzariai et al., 2018). In other words, in aeration conditions, the complex 253 substances from sludge can be transformed in simple materials due to production of hydrolytic 254 enzyme and increase of specific growth rate of microorganis. The sludge composting process takes 255 place in three stages, being influenced by temperature. In the first stage, mesophilic microbiota 256 257 grows with increased system temperature, following by the activation of thermophilic microbiota, where pathogenic organisms die due to high temperatures in the second stage. Finally, in the last 258 259 stage, the temperature decreases and the mesophilic population is reactivated (Anjum et al., 2016). Similarly with AD, to guarantee the stability of composting, the process requires the addition of a 260 261 bulking agent, such as sawdust (Elia Ruda et al., 2013).

The composting process has some limitations such as: the sludge complex characteristics, unavailability of microorganisms, temperature loss or the presence of pathogens. Despite such disadvantages, composting of sludge is still applied with succes at laboratory scale and at full scale (Elia Ruda et al., 2013; Anjum et al., 2016). Countries like Estonia, France, Slovakia, Sweden, Hungary and Czech Republic are composting the major quantity of their sludge (Praspaliauskas and Pedišius, 2017). A limitation in utilization of sludge composting is the compliance with the requirements for organic fertilizers (Fijalkowski et al., 2017).

With all this traditional and biological processes used for sludge disposal, sludge 269 management is still a concern at global level. Therefore, because the use of sludge disposal methods 270 at the end of WWTPs didn't have favorable results, researchers tried to solve the problem from 271 inside the plant, according to the Waste Framework Directive (Directive 2008/98/CE), by applying 272 273 new process technologies.

274

3.3. Reduction of sludge in the wastewater/sludge treatment line 275

The technologies used to reduce the amount of sludge may be applied in the wastewater 276 277 treatment line or sludge treatment line of WWTPs (Fig. 3). According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (2012), the sludge reduction technologies on the 278 279 wastewater line can be applied in WWTPs where AD is lacking; with respect to sludge line, the technologies can be applied in large WWTPs where AD is present. Regarding the wastewater 280 281 treatment line, a number of mechanical, thermal and chemical treatment technologies have been 282 developed. Among the chemical treatments, ozonation (Gardoni et al., 2011; Romero et al., 2015), Fenton oxidation (He and Wei, 2010), catalytic wet oxidation (Jing et al., 2012; Ureea et al., 2014) 283 and free nitrous acid (Pijuan et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013) are proposed. He et al. (2011) and 284 Mohammadi et al. (2011) have applied in their studies ultrasonic treatment to reduce sludge 285 production. Instead, Abelleira et al. (2012) and Heinz (2007) used thermal treatment and electrical 286 treatment, respectively. Other treatments applied on the wastewater treatment line are: the addition 287 of a chemical un-coupler (Guo et al., 2014; Zuriaga-Augusti et al., 2016) and combined process 288 (Semblante et al., 2014), with physical and biological treatment stages. Some others researchers 289 have proposed an organism-based treatment (protozoa and metazoa) (Khursheed and Kazmi, 2011; 290 Zhang et al., 2013), while others the replacement of conventional activated sludge process (CAS) 291 292 with new biological processes such as the sequencing batch biofilter granular reactor (SBBGR) (Di Iaconi et al., 2010; Lotito et al., 2012). Di Iaconi et al. (2010) mentioned that SBBGR sludge 293 294 production was equal to 0.1 kgSS per kg of removed organic matter (expressed as chemical oxygen demand, COD), 5-6 times lower than the sludge quantity resulted from a CAS system. 295

296 Referring to the sludge treatment line, some of the technologies used in the wastewater treatment line, can also be applied here such as: ultrasonic pretreatment (Donoso-Bravo et al., 2010; 297 298 Martinez-Guerra and Gude, 2015), thermal pretreatment (Perez- Elvira and Fdz-Polanco, 2012; Albelleira- Peraiva et al., 2015) and ozonation (Erden and Filibeli, 2011; Silvestre et al., 2014). The 299 300 physical treatments used in sludge treatment line are: microwave pretreatment (Uma Rani et al., 301 2013; Yeneneh et al., 2015), focused pulsed technology (Lee et al., 2010), lysis-thickening centrifugation (Wang et al., 2017), high-pressure homogenization (Zhang et al., 2012) and stirred 302 303 ball milling (Anjum et al., 2016). Others researchers focused in their studies on chemical

pretreatment. For example, Zhang et al. (2010) applied alkaline pretreatment, while Zahedi et al. (2016) used the free nitrous acid pretreatment. Instead, Bolzonella et al. (2012) applied the biological pretreatment to increase the efficiency of AD. In his review, Wang et al. (2017), presented all the technologies used for sludge reduction, with their advantages and disadvantages, together with a comparison analysis between them.

309





312

313 4. Resources recovery from wastewater sludge

314 *4.1. Nutrients recovery*

Considerable quantities of nutrients (approximately 0.5-0.7% phosphorus and 2.4-5.0% nitrogen) are contained in the sewage sludge, in form of proteinaceous material that can be used to produce plant fertilizers (Tygi and Lo, 2013). Due to the fact that phosphorus is no longer an inexhaustible resource, along with the higher cost of commercial fertilizers and more demanding legislative requirements, many biological and chemical processes have been developed to recover nutrients from wastewater and sludge (Kleemann et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2016). Recovery and recycling of phosphorus is considered a possible circular economy pilot, i.e. a potential case to "demonstrate that circular principles work in practice" (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2015).

Crystalization is a process used to recover phosphorus from WWTPs, in form of struvite 323 (magnesium ammonium phosphate hexahydrate), which can be used like fertilizer and binding 324 material (Kumar and Pal, 2013; Guadie et al., 2014). Nowadays, there are few such processes 325 commercially available. For example, AirPrex[®] is a process which precipitates struvite from a 326 mixture of water and sludge in an upstream process of dewatering by dosing MgCl₂ and increasing 327 pH (Zhou et al., 2016). StruviaTM and Pearl[®] are two other technologies that are based on the same 328 329 principle by using crystallization reactors. Even if struvite crystalization is a good alternative for P 330 recovery, it is still not widely adopted (Pastor et al., 2010), because of some limiting operating factors such as: pH, temperature, supersaturation and foreign ions (Guadie et al., 2014). 331

To improve the production of struvite, different types of reactors were applied, such as a 332 fluidized-bed reactor (FBR) (Le Corre et al., 2007; Bhuiyan et al., 2008; Guadie et al., 2014) and 333 mechanical stirring reactor (MSR) (Pastor et al., 2008), which over the years have supported a 334 number of improvements. PHOSPAQ[®] technology was used for the first time to recover phosphate 335 via struvite in an aerated continuous stirred tank reactor (Driessen et al., 2009). In 2006, this 336 337 technology was used at plant-scale in Olburgen (The Netherlands) to recover phosphate from a mixed influent (anaerobically treated and reject water from an industrial WWTP) (Desmidt et al., 338 2014). The amount of struvite that can be obtained daily is 1.2 tons (Abma et al., 2010). 339

340 Phosphate can be also recovered by adsorption, in two stages: adsorption and desorption of phosphate. After desorption the resulted ash or elution solution, rich in P, can be used in land 341 342 application. Also the desorption solution can be processed by chemical precipitation to obtain phosphate precipitates (Ye et al., 2017). Metal-based adsorbents are the most studied for the P 343 344 adsorption, due to their accessibility. Li et al. (2013) had applied a solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy to investigate the mechanism of phosphate sorption on aluminum 345 hydroxides under different environmental conditions, and reported that this can be a useful 346 analytical tool for studying phosphorus chemistry at environmental interfaces. 347

Also wet-chemical treatment and thermochemical treatment can be used for phosphate recovery from sludge. Wet-chemical technology can release the phosphate from sewage sludge and sewage sludge ash by adding strong acids or alkalis to the liquid phase, removing at the same time the heavy metals and pathogens from the supernatant (Ye et al., 2017). The performance of this

process is influenced by pH (Cokgor et al., 2009) and temperature (Xie et al., 2011). Seaborne® 352 process is a wet-chemical technology, in which pH of the digested sewage sludge is adjusted to 4 by 353 using H₂SO₄, when phosphate, organic matter and some heavy metals are simultaneously dissolved. 354 Regarding the thermochemical treatment, AshDec[®] process was used to recover phosphate from 355 356 sewage sludge ash in a rotary kiln (Ye et al., 2017). Egle et al. (2016), present a classification of the P recovery technologies depending on the source of the phosphorus: aqueous phase, sewage sludge 357 and sewage sludge ash. Even if the amount of phosphorus recovered with all these technologies is 358 high, the costs involved represent an important impediment for their application. 359

360

361 *4.2. Heavy metals recovery*

The presence of heavy metals (i.e., Zn, Ni, Pb, Hg, Cr, Cu and Cd) in sewage sludge restrict its use for land application due to probable soil and groundwater contamination, which can further affect the human and animal health (Tyagi and Lo, 2013). Therefore, a series of physical, chemical and thermal processes have been applied to remove heavy metals from sludge. Jamali et al. (2009), used microwave treatment to extract heavy metals (Zn, Pb, Ni, Cr, Cu and Cd) from sewage sludge, obtaining a recovery of 95.3-100%. Wu et al. (2009) combine H₂SO₄ with microwave treatment and reported that 90% of Cu can be extracted from sludge.

Utrasonication-assisted acid leaching method was used by Li et al. (2010), to recover some heavy metals from sludge. High recovery rates (Cu: 97.42%, Ni: 98.46%, Zn: 98.63% and Cr: 98.32%) have been reached. Xie et al. (2009) by using the same process reported that recovery of heavy metals was efficient, with low costs and great end product quality and the most important, no waste emission. Wet-chemical treatment (Ye et al., 2017), and ion exchange (Donatello et al., 2010) may be applied also to remove heavy metals from the P-rich leachate.

375 In addition, most of the heavy metals from sludge can be removed by thermo-chemical treatment, due to the formation of volatile heavy metal chlorides (Herzel et al., 2016), which can be 376 377 captured in the flue gas, that can be further treated by filtration (Vogel et al., 2016). He et al. (2010) analyzing the potential bioavailability of Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn in sewage sludge, reported that 378 379 pyrolysis enhances the stability of these metals, when the temperature rises up to certain values. Regarding the sludge gasification process, the major concern is the content of heavy metals 380 381 remaining in ash dust (Manara and Zabaniotou, 2012). Saveyn et al. (2010) reported that after sewage sludge gasification, some metals (Cu, Zn, and Pb) can be retrived in the char, while others 382 (Hg and Cd) are depleted from the sewage sludge and end up in different downstream fluxes. 383

384

385 *4.3. Adsorbents*

Pyrolysis treatment of sewage sludge is an attractive process because it can reduce the 386 sludge volume and in the same time it can produce sewage sludge-based adsorbents (SBAs) (Lin et 387 al., 2012). The preparation, characterization and utilization of SBAs have been reviewed by Smith 388 et al. (2009) and Xu et al. (2015). The preparation of SBAs involves first a pyrolysis process and 389 390 then an activation process. Pyrolysis of sewage sludge, takes place under inert atmosphere, at high temperatures (400-1000°C) with release of volatile matters, in order to obtain char as final product. 391 After the pyrolysis process, the SBAs activation step takes place, which can be physical or/and 392 chimical. If physical activation refers to carbonization of sewage sludge followed by activation with 393 CO_2 or steam, chemical activation may be realised together with pyrolysis process in presence of 394 dehydrating reagents like NaOH, KOH, H₂SO₄, H₃PO₄, K₂CO₃ and ZnCl₂ 395

396 Alvarez et al. (2015; 2016) focused on sludge valorization, obtaining adsorbents from sewage sludge pyrolytic char by carbon dioxide activation in a conical spouted bed reactor at 397 500°C. Villamil et al. (2016) when analyzing the potential of powdered activated carbon (PAC) to 398 399 mitigate membrane fouling used air for activation. Chemical activation using K₂CO₃ was used by Cheng et al. (2016) to enhance the porosity and surface area of carbonized sludge. The literature 400 data confirm that sludge is a promising feedstock for the production of adsorbents (Smith et al., 401 2009), their conversion representing an attractive alternative for safe sludge management (Xu et al., 402 2015). 403

Due to limitations like long processing time and high energy consumption, the pyrolysis 404 405 process started to be less used, in favor of microwave heating. Yuen and Hameed (2009) reported that in comparison with the pyrolysis process, microwave heating has the advantages of higher 406 heating rates, greater control of the heating proces and most important, a part of energy can be 407 saved. Lin et al. (2012) used in their study a pilot-scale microwave heating equipment to prepare 408 carbonaceous adsorbents in order to remove Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺ ions from aqueous solutions. For the 409 activation treatment, KOH, H₃PO₄ and ZnCl₂ were used, whereas the SBAs prepared via H₃PO₄ 410 411 activation was the best adsorbent to remove the heavy metals from aqueous solution.

All these applications confirm that sludge can be a promising feedstock for the production of adsorbents and its conversion represents a good solution for sludge disposal methods and reuse routes. Furthermore, SBAs prepared from sludge have a great potential, competing with commercial activated carbon for pollutant removal from wastewaters (Lin et al., 2012).

416

417 *4.4. Construction materials*

The organic carbon-containing complexes and inorganic composites from sewage sludge reprezent a source of valuable materials, that by thermal treatment (Tyagi and Lo, 2013), can be transformed in products like artificial lightweight aggregates, slags and bricks (Wang et al., 2008). 421 Świerczek et al. (2018) affirm that the use of sewage sludge in mortars or construction materials 422 eliminates some of the expensive and energy-intensive stages of their disposal and more important, 423 environmentally harmful wastes are transformed in safe and stable products. Furthermore, Paris et 424 al. (2016) reported that the addition of sludge in raw form to the production of cement and mortar 425 products can be an alternative to the existing methods of its management.

Nowadays, the combustion of excess sewage sludge becomes a frequent solution due to the 426 possibility of sludge hygienisation and, at the same time, reduction of its volume. Moreover, the ash 427 that results after combustion can be used as an additive to mineral construction materials, cements 428 or concretes (Tantawy et al., 2012). The use of sludge ash for the production of construction 429 materials is a way to circular economy and can bring large benefits such as a reduction of sludge 430 treatment costs, avoiding the transfer of ashes to landfill and the environmental problems derivated 431 from leaching of their soluble constituents (Smol et al., 2015). According to Świerczek et al. (2018), 432 433 the use of raw sewage sludge instead of water, during the production of cement mortars and 434 concretes can be an interesting concept. Roccaro et al. (2015), by using aerobically and anaerobically stabilized sewage sludge instead of water, reported that the compressive strength of 435 the concrete decreased from 44 to 39 MPa. Wang et al. (2011) and Yamuna Rani et al. (2015) 436 studied the possibility of producing brick with the addition of sludge from industrial WWTP and 437 pharmaceutical WWTP, respectively. Instead, Zhang et al. (2016), focused on bricks made with 438 lake sediments, slag and sewage sludge. 439

In addition, sludge can be an alternative material for covering landfills by dewatering 440 sewage sludge through a filter press (Chen et al., 2014) or it can be used as component of controlled 441 low-strength material (CLSM), capable of self-compacting, used to fill hard to reach places (Hwang 442 et al., 2017). Yang et al. (2013), by using the autoclaving innovative process, demonstrated that 443 444 sewage sludge can be a good additive in a mixture of cement, ashes and slag, improving the longterm strength of the obtained materials. The production of floor tiles (Amin et al., 2017) and 445 446 lightweight aggregates (Lau et al., 2017) are two other possibilities for sludge valorization. Suchorab et al. (2016) analized at laboratory scale the use of sewage sludge as an additive for the 447 production of lightweight aggregate and concrete. The researchers mixed clay with sewage sludge 448 (addition of 10%) and after the precipitate was dried, grounded and mixed it with another quantity 449 of clay and water (to obtain the right consistency), the balls being formed and then dried at 1150°C 450 for half an hour. The results showed that the concrete obtained by using the lightweight aggregate 451 had a higher porosity and a lower density as compared to the concrete from commercial lightweight 452 453 aggregate.

454 Furthermore, Ruiken et al. (2013) emphasized the importance of recovering the primary 455 cellulosic sludge (PCS) from the inlet wastewater, as it will be described in detail in Section 6.

456 *4.5. Bio-plastics*

An alternative for petroleum plastics are polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), which are produced 457 in nature by bacterial fermentation of sugar and lipids (Akaraonye et al., 2010). The PHA produced 458 by bacteria has similar properties with conventional plastics for which, the production can affect the 459 460 environment and human health (Balasubramanian and Tyagi, 2017). The use of municipal wastewater sludge as a raw material for bioplastics production could be an alternative sustainable 461 solution. According to literature data (Tyagi and Lo, 2013), activated sludge is a source of PHA 462 accumulating microorganisms, that take up the volatile fatty acids under anaerobic condition. The 463 use of waste activated sludge as a source for PHA accumulation can reduce the cost of PHA 464 production and the volume of sludge (Khardenavis et al., 2007). PHA accumulation from sludge 465 takes place under anaerobic-aerobic condition, being influenced by temperature, pH, retention time 466 and process configuration. Tyagi et al. (2009) suggested that sequencing batch reactor (SBR) is a 467 good alternative for higher PHA production due to its highly flexible operation, easiness of control 468 and biomass growth under transient conditions. Frison et al. (2015), by introducing an acidogenic 469 fermentation phase before AD, demonstrated the possibility of recovering PHA by using two SBR 470 reactors in series, as it will be better described in Section 6. 471

Yan et al. (2008) used as a source of microorganisms, pulp and paper mill waste activated 472 sludge, obtained a PHA acumulation of 39.6% w/w of dry sludge suspended solids. On the other 473 hand, Morgan-Sagastume et al. (2014) working with sludge and water from a municipal WWTP, 474 achieved a PHA biomass content of 52%. Due to their biodegradability, PHA are used as packaging 475 476 films and disposable products and have many applications in the medical field (for soft and hardtissue repair and regeneration, carrier scaffolds for nerve repairs, cardiovascular applications and as 477 functionalized beads for diagnosis and therapeutic applications). Germany, Brazil, China, Italy, UK, 478 479 Canada and USA are only few countries that are using PHA in different sectors (Tyagi and Lo, 2013). 480

When using sludge for PHA production benefits such as the recovery and use of waste materials as biodegradable plastics and the reduction of production costs due to use of easily available sludge may be mentioned (Tyagi and Lo, 2013). However, more studies are required to clarify the technical and economical issuses (Brar et al., 2009).

- 485
- 486 *4.6. Proteins*

Sewage sludge contains 61% proteins, 11% carbohydrates, 1% lipids and 27% other components and may be considered a protein source (Chen et al., 2007). Considering that aproximatively 50% of dry weight of bacterial cells are proteins, and also, that proteins are constituents in animal feed and providing energy and nitrogen, the recovery of this macromolecules 491 is important. Therefore, a number of mechanical, chemical and thermal processes have been 492 applied. Hwang et al. (2008) applied the ultrasonic-alkaline pretreatment followed by precipitation 493 and drying and observed a supernatant protein concentration of 3177.5 mg/L, with a protein 494 recovery of 80%. The authors reported that the proteins obtained can be comparable with the 495 commercially proteins in terms of nutrient composition.

According to the study of Xiao et al. (2017), the main stages for protein recovery from 496 sludge include screening, treatments, filtration, protein precipitation from the protein solution, 497 drying of protein precipitate and the recovery of final protein product. Some authors, affirm that 498 prior to proteins recovery is the solubilisation of waste activated sludge by ultrasonication and 499 alkaline treatment, the second one giving better results for protein recovery. Garcia et al. (2017), 500 501 tried to recover proteins from solubilized sludge by two hydrothermal treatments. The results obtained showed that ammonium sulphate addition is the best separation method, achieving a 502 503 protein recovery of 87% in the case of thermal hydrolysis and 86% in the case of wet-oxidation, 504 respectively. An inconvenience of recovery processes are the heavy metals, which are recovered 505 together with proteins. This becomes a problem especially if the purpose is to use proteins as nutritional supplements for animals (Tyagi and Lo, 2013). However, the use of sludge in the 506 production of protein remains a subject that can show promising outcomes. 507

508

509 *4.7. Enzymes*

510 Different types of enzymes (i.e., *protease*, *glycosidase*, *dehydrogenase*, *catalase*, 511 *peroxidase*, α -*amylase*, α -*glucosidase*) are presented in sludge, being considered valuable products, 512 that need to be recovered. The use of enzymes in different sectors such as food, detergents, 513 pharmaceuticals and chemical industries makes their recovery a priority. For this purpose, different 514 types of wastewater (such as municipal or industrial: resulted from paper production or from 515 printing and dyeing) were used for isolation, characterization and distribution of extracellular 516 enzyme-producing yeasts (Balasubramanian and Tyagi, 2017).

Researchers have focused on wastewater sludge as a source for enzyme production, various 517 methods being used to extract enzymes from activated sludge in order to measure their activity, 518 including stirring with additives (detergents and cation exchange resins), ultrasonication and 519 520 combined processes (Guanghui et al., 2009; Nabarlatz et al., 2010). Nabarlatz et al. (2010) using the ultrasonication assisted extraction method to recover enzymes from activate sludge, reported that a 521 power intensity of 3.9 W/cm² and a sonication time of 10-20 min were enough to achieve the 522 523 highest rate of enzymes recovery. Plattes et al. (2017) extracted enzymes from activated sludge in an ultrasonic cleaning bath, and affirmed that the enzyme activity of the extracts increased with 524 increasing sonication time and can it can be reduced because of the storage conditions (freezing 525

drastically). Significant extraction results have been obtained by Sethupathy and Sivashanmugam (2017) for a consortium of hydrolytic enzymes from waste activated sludge using ultrasonication and stirring with surfactants. Nevertheless, the enzymes recovered from sludge are not used yet at large-scale. Further studies must be carried out to explore the extraction of enzymes from sludge, considering also the techno-economical issues and eco-friendly approaches.

531

532 **5. Energy recovery from wastewater sludge**

533 5.1. Energy from biogas

The main source of energy in WWTPs is the biogas produced by AD, with a content of 534 methane (50-70%) and carbon dioxide (30-50%), and some traces of nitrogen, hydrogen, hydrogen 535 sulfide and water vapor (Tyagi and Lo, 2013; Shen et al., 2015). AD is one of the most applied 536 technologies for biogas generation in WWTPs; Silvestre et al. (2015) proving that 52% of energy 537 from sludge was transformed into biogas. Nevertheless, to increase the biogas generation, many 538 pre-treatment methods such as microwave irradiation, ozonation, ultrasonification, enzymatic 539 treatment, treatment with alkali or acids, wet oxidation, usage of liquid jets were investigated 540 (Tyagi and Lo, 2011; Cano et al., 2015). Sludge thermal pretreatment and AD, is a good 541 combination for biogas generation, being used for the co-generation of heat and power (CHP) 542 (Carlsson et al., 2016). Countries like Germany, Austria, The Netherlands and USA, use this CHP-543 544 AD combination in the existing energy self-sufficient WWTPs (Gu et al., 2017).

The study of Ruffino et al. (2015) showed that the production of methane increased with 21-545 546 31% when thermal pre-treatment was used. Another study (Farno et al., 2017) demonstrated that from the total energy saved (585 kW), 159 kW energy was produced due to the increase in biogas 547 generation by using thermal pre-treatment, and 82 kW and 344 kW were saved from the mixing and 548 pumping system, respectively. Based on life cycle comparisons, Smith et al. (2014) consider that 549 anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) technology could produce more net energy as biogas 550 than conventional activated sludge with AD. Furthermore, Wei et al. (2014), using AnMBR 551 technology combined with a heat pump and osmosis, obtained a high methane production, energy 552 equivalent being 1.57 kWh/m³ for wastewater with COD of 500 mg/L. 553

Analyzing the improvement of biogas production (by AD) through the concept of circular economy, co-digestion of food waste and wastewater sludge is a feasible solution (Nghiem et al., 2017). This solution not only raises the available carbon concentration and increases digester gas production (improving energy balance), but also provides savings in the overall energy costs of plant operation (Di Maria et al., 2016; Maragkaki et al., 2017). According to Schafer et al. (2013), in Europe the biogas production increased from 2.5 to 4.0 m³ in the WWTPs which have 560 implemented co-digestion. Some exemples of WWTPs that have implamented the AD of sewage 561 sludge with co-digestion of organic waste are presented by Shen et al. (2015). Considering the fact 562 that biogas can be used for electricity generation, production of heat and steam, fuel gas vehicles 563 and others, its recovery and conversion is really essential.

564

565 *5.2. Energy from biofuels*

Since biofuels have the potential to replace the non-renewable petroleums fuels in future, the 566 use of waste sludge as a substrate for their production gained attention in recent years. Hydrogen 567 represents one of the gaseous biofuels that can be recovered from sludge, being a sustainable 568 alternative due to its high energy yield and clean combustion result (water). To recover it and 569 570 moreover, to improve the production of hydrogen-rich fuel gas from sewage sludge, different thermochemical treatments, like drying, pyrolysis and gasification were investigated. Manara and 571 572 Zabaniotou (2012) affirmed that a gaseous product with higher H₂ percentage is produced by 573 pyrolysis rather than by drying of wet sludge. Other two methods used to produce hydrogen from 574 activated sludge are photosynthesis and fermentation, which are more environmental friendly than the chemical processes. Massanet-Nicolau et al. (2010) reported a value of 18.14 L H₂/kg dry 575 solids, produced by fermentation of primary sewage sludge. In the same study, the hydrogen 576 production via mesophilic anaerobic fermentation in a continuously fed bioreactor was 27 L H₂/kg 577 volatile solids. Wang et al. (2010) used UV iradiation as pre-treatment for waste activated sludge 578 and observed a hydrogen production of 138.8 mL/gTS during the batch anaerobic fermentation. 579 Guo et al. (2008) used microwave as pretreatment for waste activated sludge and obtained a 580 hydrogen production during AD of 14.65 mL (11.44 mL/g total COD). The effects of 581 ultrasonification (Elbeshbishy et al., 2010) and combined co-digestion of rice straw and sewage 582 583 sludge (Kim et al., 2012) on hydrogen production were studied, both of them increasing the production process. 584

585 Furthermore, hydrogen in combination with carbon monoxide forms syngas, which can be a clean alternative for fossil fuels in electricity generation or in production of liquid fuels (Lv et al., 586 587 2007). According to Tyagi and Lo (2013), syngas production takes place in two steps: pyrolysis of sewage sludge and gasification of char in the presence of oxygen or air. While investigating the 588 pyrolysis process of sewage sludge, Lv et al. (2007) reported that at a temperature of 1040°C, the 589 production of syngas reached the maximum value (66%). Zuo et al. (2011) stated that the use of 590 591 activated carbon enhanced the concentration of syngas in the pyrolysis gas. Also, through sludge 592 pyrolysis process, at intermediate temperatures bio-oils were produced (Cao and Pawlowski, 2012). A limitation of the process is the presence of PAHs in the oil, which has carcinogenic or mutagenic 593 594 characteristics. A possible solution for this problem can be the microwave-induction pyrolysis;

Tian et al. (2011), reporting a maximum oil yield of 49.8 wt% (time: 6 min), and negligible 595 quantities of PAHs. According to literature data, significant oil yields can be obtained as high as 596 13% by using anaerobically digested sludge or 46% when mixed raw sludge is used (Tyagi and Lo, 597 2013). Another biofuel that can be recovered from sludge is *biodiesel*. Municipal sludge is a lipid 598 599 feedstock for biodiesel production, due to its higher content of lipids (phospholipids, monoglycerides, diglycerides, triglycerides and free fatty acids) (Kargbo, 2010). In order to enhance 600 the biodiesel production, it is important to use the microorganisms that are selected for their oil-601 producing capabilities and to use the pre-treatment methods (ultrasonification, thermal treatment or 602 603 alkaline/acid hydrolisis) (Tyagi and Lo, 2013). Differently, Pastore et al. (2013) proposed a twostep process for the production of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs). The preliminary dewatered 604 605 sludge extraction using hexane in acidic ambient followed by methanolysis allows the yield of FAMEs to be maximized, while minimizing the associated total energy consumption and costs. The 606 607 final purification of biodiesel by vacuum distillation allows biodiesel to be recovered together with 608 sterols, waxes, aliphatic alcohols, carotene and lycopene, increasing the economic gain of the 609 overall process (Pastore et al., 2013).

- 610 The advantages of biofuel obtained from sludge is its availability with low costs and the 611 abundance of sludge supply (Massanet-Nicolau et al., 2010).
- 612

613 5.3. Electricity production from sludge by microbial fuel cells

The use of microbial fuel cells (MFC) for electricity production is considered a sustainable 614 615 solution for different problems such as excess sludge and water-energy crisis (Nikhil et al., 2018). According to Lefebvre et al. (2011), when the fraction of electron charge that contribuies to 616 electricity generation is 40% and the hydraulic retention times is 20 h, the potential of energy that 617 can be recoverd from wastewater by MFC, can reach 0.65 kWh/m³. Furthermore, Plappally and 618 Lienhard (2012) stated that the use of MFC increases the potential to achieve energy efficiency in a 619 WWTP, the energy consumption being between 0.3 and 0.6 kWh/m³. To improve the energy 620 performances, MFCs technologies were combined with membrane treatment processes (Gu et al., 621 622 2017). Tian et al. (2014), using AnMBR system developed with microfiltration membranes which serve as cathodic chamber for MFCs, reported that this combination produced stable electricity for 623 over 600 h operation time. Electricity production can also be increased from 3 W/m^3 to 11.5 W/m^3 624 by combining MFCs and osmotic membrane bioreactor (Hou et al., 2016). Combination of MFCs 625 technologies with fluidized bed membrane bioreactor (MBR) (Li et al., 2014) and aerated biological 626 627 filter system were also investigated (Dong et al., 2015). The literature analysis shows that researchers studied the MFCs technologies with application at pilot and real scale (Feng et al., 628 2014; Dong et al., 2015; Oon et al., 2017). This applicability at full-scale is due to the fact that 629

MFCs can remove pollutants and generate electricity under ambient temperature, neutral pH and normal pressure (Raheem et al., 2018). Also, MFCs can carry out several microbial processes (such as organic matter removal, nitrification and denitrification) for wastewater treatment inside the same bioreactor (Gonzalez-Martínez et al., 2018).

Although the use of MFCs technologies in WWTPs can improve the treatment performances, their application is limited due to the electrode materials that are expensive (Lefebvre et al., 2011). This means that further substantial research in terms of cost and yields increase is needed.

However, there are other energy recovery technologies that can be used such as the 638 Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Anammox), because a significant amount of energy can be 639 recovered during nitrogen removal from WWTPs. Anammox, besides the fact that decreases the 640 aeration rates and reclaims the maximum organics from water, can improve the energy efficiency in 641 642 WWTPs (Gao and Tao, 2011). The partial nitrification/anammox (PN/A) can save energy by 643 reducing the oxygen demand for the nitrification process and minimize the quantity of excess sludge (Bauer et al., 2016). According to Gao et al. (2014), the energy in the anammox reactor can 644 be recovered through a combination of AD and autotrophic nitrogen removal, converting WWTPs 645 in energy-producing systems (Kartal et al., 2010). According to Tyagi and Lo (2013), the heat 646 energy produced from the treatment processes is higher than the required heating energy in the 647 plant. The heat energy in a WWTP can be used as an energy source of heat pumps for heat supply 648 and electricity saving. With all these technologies used for energy recovery or energy saving, many 649 650 challenges still exist and more studies are necessary, in terms of technology, cost and environmental issues. 651

652

653 **6. Materials and energy recovery in urban biorefineries**

The technologies presented until now are aimed at the recovery from sludge of clearly 654 defined resources or energy; however, this approach does not consider the totality of a WWTP. In 655 recent years, for WWTPs, it was observed a radical change of vision of the plant itself, this change 656 being considered as a "paradigm shift" (Puchongkawarin et al., 2015). The WWTP is no longer 657 considered only for its environmental protection and sanitation functions, but also as the starting 658 point for the exploitation of potential resources (including sludge) that are now considered wastes. 659 In this context, the current WWTPs should be understood as self-sufficient systems (energetically 660 and economically) and secondly as a "factory" (a biorefinery) of new compounds for the market. 661

662 An "urban" biorefinery involves the recovery of primary cellulosic sludge (PCS) as a 663 starting point. By introducing a fine sieving phase (< 0.35 mm) downstream of the coarse sieving, it

is possible to recover the cellulose from PCS. Present in urban wastewaters due to the discharge of 664 toilet paper, cellulose is an important polysaccharide consisting of a large number of glucose 665 molecules joined together by a β (1 \rightarrow 4) glycoside bond. Ruiken et al. (2013) showed that the 666 introduction of such a sieve allows to obtain removals efficiencies of: TSS = 50%; COD = 35%; 667 668 $N_{TOT} = 1\%$; $P_{TOT} < 1\%$, higher than those achievable with primary sedimentation. In addition, the cellulose content in the removed suspended solids is equal to 79%, which is very high. 669 Furthermore, since cellulose is not completely biodegradable in a conventional WWTP, its removal 670 by fine sieving would allow an improvement of biological processes (i.e., activated sludge and AD), 671 as highlighted by Ruiken et al. (2013). The cellulosic sludge thus removed can be enhanced with 672 different solutions, such as those presented in Fig. 4. 673





Fig. 4. Process diagram for the valorisation (a) of the "primary cellulosic sludge" for phosphorus
recovery via struvite production (amended from Crutchik et al., 2018) and (b) of the mixed sludge
(primary + secondary) – for bioplastics recovery (amended from Frison et al., 2015).

678

In the first solution (Fig. 4a), the solid part of the previously thickened primary sludge is 679 sent to the acidogenic fermenter. This is a SBR reactor operating under temperature and pH 680 conditions that maximize the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), including acetic and 681 propionic acid. Under optimal conditions of temperature (37°C, mesophilic regime) and pH (pH=8 682 in the inlet sludge), Crutchik et al. (2018) estimated a per capita SCFA production of 2.92 kg COD/ 683 year. In addition, the fermentation process allows the release of nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) 684 present in the inlet sludge. Subsequently, the sludge coming out of the fermenter is subjected to 685 686 solid/liquid separation, where the solid part is sent to AD. In terms of biogas production, Crutchik et

al. (2018) estimated a per capita value of 3 m^3 /year. Instead, with reference to the liquid part, the 687 high concentrations of SCFA and nutrients suggest a material recovery; through propionic acid 688 (>30%), the post-fermentation liquid can be used both for phosphorus recovery in EBPR (Enhanced 689 Biological Phosphorus Removal) or chemical-physical processes (through struvite production) and 690 691 both for high added value compounds production such as PHAs used in the production of bioplastics (Frison et al., 2015). In the specific case of Fig. 4a, the fermentation liquid was used for 692 the recovery of phosphorus through the struvite production; Crutchik et al. (2018) estimated a per 693 capita struvite production of 0.15 kg/ year having adopted a molar ratio (PO_4^{3-} : Mg²⁺) of (1.0:1.5), a 694 dosage of 5 g/L of magnesium hydroxide, Mg(OH)₂ as well as by adjusting the initial pH to 8.5 695 with NaOH (0.1 M). 696

697 In the second solution (Fig. 4b), the mixed "primary and secondary" sludge, previously thickened, is sent to the acidogenic fermenter. Also in this case, fermentation allows obtaining 698 699 fermented sludge rich in SCFA and nutrients. Differently from Fig. 4a, this scheme allows PHAs 700 recovery as well as nutrients removal from the anaerobic supernatant. For the scope, Frison et al. (2015) tested a new process in which the alternation of aerobic-feast (of abundance) and anoxic-701 famine (of famine) conditions allows selecting the biomass containing PHA and the removal of 702 nitrogen by nitritation/denitritation. Another way of removing nitrogen, different from the 703 conventional denitrification/nitrification, nitritation/denitritation involves the removal of nitrogen 704 by oxidation of ammonia (NH_4^+) into nitrite (NO_2^-) and its subsequent reduction into gaseous 705 nitrogen (N_2) ; in these processes, the amount of carbon that must be supplied to the microorganisms 706 707 is lower than that needed in the conventional treatment (Malamis et al., 2014). Since the anaerobic supernatant is usually hot (mesophilic, 30-40°C) and the high temperatures favour the growth of 708 709 ammonium oxidising bacteria over nitrite-oxidising bacteria (Hellinga et al., 1998), 710 nitritation/denitritation is the ideal treatment to remove nitrogen from the anaerobic supernatant (Malpei et al., 2008). Consequently, nitrogen removal is facilitated and a reduced route (a shortcut), 711 712 as compared to conventional denitrification/nitrification, can be used.

In terms of equipment, the layout of Fig. 4b includes two SBR reactors. The first (N-SBR) is 713 714 dedicated almost exclusively to the nitritation process (aerobic). The second SBR (indicated as S-SBR) is intended for the denitritation process, as well as for the selection of biomass containing 715 716 PHA. In particular, the S-SBR operating cycle consists of 50 minutes of aerobic conditions and 250 minutes of anoxic conditions, for a total of 300 minutes, excluding the feeding, settling and 717 discharge phases typical of SBR. In addition, the liquid effluent from the N-SBR reactor (with high 718 719 nitrite concentrations as compared to ammonium) is sent to the S-SBR reactor during the first 10-12 minutes of the anoxic phase (famine). Frison et al. (2015) showed that during aerobic conditions 720 721 ammonium is oxidized to nitrite and volatile fatty acids (VFA), from fermented sludge, are

converted to PHA; during conditions of anoxic famine, nitrite is reduced to N₂. Microorganisms 722 leading to this reduction use PHA stored in the reactor's internal biomass (representing the carbon 723 source); the external source of carbon (VFA) is therefore added only at the beginning of the aerobic 724 phase. Following sedimentation in the S-SBR reactor, the biomass containing PHA is separated 725 726 from the treated supernatant; the latter, which is nitrogen-free, is recirculated in the WWTP water line. Instead, the biomass thus selected is sent to the third reactor (indicated in Figure 4b as A-727 PHAs), which makes it possible the PHAs accumulation. By maintaining a concentration of 728 dissolved oxygen constantly equal to 2 mg/L, the reactor requires the addition of a carbonaceous 729 730 substrate. Considering, for example, the addition of sludge fermentation liquid with wollastonite (a very common mineral in metamorphic contact rocks from dolomite and impure limestone), Frison 731 732 et al. (2015) show that A-PHAs reactor biomass can accumulate up to 21±5% PHA (gPHA/gVSS x100), with a COD/N/P ratio of (100:7.8:0.06) and after 8 hours of operation, the observed yields in 733 734 PHA production were about 0.40 g COD PHA/g COD VFA.

735 The biopolymers produced in this way are characterized by a prevalent presence of 3HB (3hydroxybutyrate) and 3HV (3-hydroxyvalerate); 3HB represents the majority of the PHA produced 736 (57%) while the percentage of 3HV is equal to 41%. The composition of the PHAs suggests their 737 recovery during thermoplastic processing. The characterization of the material revealed that the 738 biopolymer is composed of long molecular chains with a mean molecular weight (M_W) of 7.4 x 10⁵ 739 g/mol and a similar distribution of the chain length (polydispersion index of 1.25 M_W/M_n where M_n 740 is the number of moles). In general, low crystallinity in combination with a low Tg (glass transition 741 742 temperature, equal to -1.6°C) is an index of amorphous biopolymers (Frison et al., 2015).

According to Frison et al. (2015), there will be a real revolution in the coming years, the WWTPsused today will be real biorefineries.

745

746 7. Conclusions and future research directions

The excess sewage sludge production is a serious concern for WWTPs due to environmental and socio-economic factors. The use of conventional sludge disposal methods such as landfilling, disposal in oceans, land application or incineration is limited due to stingent legislation and pressure from environmental authorities and public domain. Solutions that can replace with success the sludge landfill and incineration from the ecological and economical points of view are reuse and recycling of sewage sludge and sludge residues ash into new marketable materials. This application can bring major economic benefits and are consistent with the concept of circular economy.

Many treatment technologies are applied at pilot or full scale to recover resources and energy from wastewater sludge systems. Considering the circular economy principles, the development and regular update of information databases with new advanced treatment and recovery technologies, or with the evolution of economic, environmental and social-culturalcontexts should be realized.

Currently, most of the WWTPs use biogas from the sludge anaerobic digestion for digester 759 heating and electricity generation. To improve energy production, co-digestion was adopted by 760 761 adding external organic waste into the digester. Although energy self-sufficient WWTPs are definitely feasible, many challenges still exist, particularly in developing countries and future 762 efforts are needed in terms of addressing technology, costs and environmental protection issues (Gu 763 et al., 2017). The selection of the best sludge management scenario for a particular area needs the 764 usage of decision-making tools, like LCA (Life Cycle Assessment) that allow for the assessment of 765 a probable environmental impact of proposed strategies. 766

Even if the products obtained from sludge increase the profitability, the high-volume fuels support to fulfill national energy demands, and the power generation decrease the costs and sidesteps greenhouse-gas releases, the major issue with the resources recovered from sludge being related to the manufacturing cost of value added products versus the market price. In other words, the succes of the resources derivated from sludge and utilization of recovery technologies, will depend mainly upon the technical and economical feasibility (catching investors' interest in developing such technologies), environmental sustainability, market aspects and public acceptance.

774

775 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation, CCCDIUEFISCDI, project number 26PCCDI/01.03.2018, "Integrated and sustainable processes for
environmental clean-up, wastewater reuse and waste valorization" (*SUSTENVPRO*), within PNCDI
III.

780 **References**

 Abelleira, J., Perez-Elvira, S.I., Sanchez-Oneto, J., Portela, J.R., Nebot, E., 2012. Advanced thermal hydrolysis of secondary sewage sludge: a novel process combining thermal hydrolysis and hydrogen peroxide addition. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 59, 52–57. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.03.008

Abelleira-Peraira, J., Perez-Elvira, S., Sanchez-Oneto, J., Cruz, R., Portela, J., Nebot, E., 2015.
Enhancement of methane production inmesophilic anaerobic digestion of secondary sewage sludge by
advanced thermal hydrolysis pretreatment. Water Research 71, 330–340. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2014.12.027

3. Abma, W., Driessen, W., Haarhuis, R., Van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2010. Upgrading of sewage treatment
plant by sustainable and cost-effective separate treatment of industrial wastewater. Water Science and
Technology 61 (7), 1715–1722. doi:10.2166/wst.2010.977

792

4. Akaraonye, E., Keshavarz, T., Roy, I., 2010. Production of polyhydroxyalkanoates: the future green
materials of choice. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology 85 (6), 732–743.
doi:10.1002/jctb.2392

796

5. Alvarez, J., Amutio, M., Lopez, G., Barbarias, I., Bilbao, J., Olazar, M., 2015. Sewage sludge
valorization by flash pyrolysis in a conical spouted bed reactor. Chemical Engineering Journal 273, 173183. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2015.03.047

800

6. Alvarez, J., Lopez, G., Amutio, M., Bilbao, J., Olazar, M., 2016. Preparation of adsorbents from sewage
sludge pyrolytic char by carbon dioxide activation. Process Safety and Environmental Protection 103, 76-86.
doi:10.1016/j.psep.2016.06.035

804

7. Amin, S.K., Abdel Hamid, E.M., El-Sherbiny, S.A., Sibak, H.A., Abadir, M.F., 2017. The use of sewage
sludge in the production of ceramic floor tiles. Housing and Building National Research Center Journal.
doi:10.1016/j.hbrcj.2017.02.002

808

809 8. Anjum, M., Al-Makishah, N.A., Barakat, M.A., 2016. Wastewater sludge stabilization using pre-treatment
810 methods. Process Safety and Environmental Protection 102, 615–632. doi:10.1016/j.psep.2016.05.022

811

812 9. Balasubramanian, S., Tyagi, R.D., 2017. Value-Added Bio-products From Sewage Sludge, in: Wong,
813 J.W.C., Tyagi, R.D., Pandey, A. (Eds.), Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering- Solid
814 Waste Management. Elsevier, pp. 27–42.

815

816 10. Bauer, H., Johnson, T.D., Johnson, B.R., Oerke, D., Graziano, S., 2016. Comparison of sidestream
817 treatment technologies: post aerobic digestion and Anammox. Water Science and Technology 73 (11), 2789–
818 2803. doi:10.2166/wst.2016.079

819

820 11. Bhuiyan, M.H.I., Mavinic, D.S., Koch, F.A., 2008. Thermal decomposition of struvite and its phase
821 transition. Chemosphere 70 (8), 1347–1356. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.09.056

822

823 12. Bolzonella, D., Cavinato, C., Fatone, F., Pavan, P., Cecchi, F., 2012. High rate mesophilic, thermophilic,
824 and temperature phased anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge: a pilot scale study. Waste
825 Management 32 (6), 1196–1201. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2012.01.006

- 827 13. Bougrier, C., Delgenès, J.P., Carrère, H., 2008. Effects of thermal treatments on five different waste
 828 activated sludge samples solubilisation, physical properties and anaerobic digestion. Chemical Engineering
 829 Journal 139 (2), 236–244. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2007.07.099
- 830
- 14. Brar, S.K., Verma, M., Tyagi, R.D., Surampalli, R.Y., 2009. Value addition of wastewater sludge: future
 course in sludge reutilization. Practice Periodical of Hazardous Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Management
 13 (1), 59–74. doi:10.1061/(asce)1090-025x(2009)13:1(59)
- 834
- 835 15. Brown, S., Beecher, N., Carpenter, A., 2010. Calculator tool for determining greenhouse gas emissions
 836 for biosolids processing and end use. Environmental Science and Technology 44 (24), 9509–9515.
 837 doi:10.1021/es101210k
- 838
- 839 16. Cano, R., Perez-Elvira, S., Fdz-Polanco, F., 2015. Energy feasibility study of sludge pretreatment:a
 840 review. Applied Energy 149, 176–185. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.132
- 841
- 17. Cao, Y., Pawłowski, A., 2012. Sewage sludge-to-energy approaches based on anaerobic digestion and
 pyrolysis: brief overview and energy efficiency assessment. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 16
 (3), 1657–65. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2011.12.014
- 845
- 18. Carlsson, M., Lagerkvist, A., Morgan-Sagastume, F., 2016. Energy balance performance of municipal
 wastewater treatment systems considering sludge anaerobic biodegradability and biogas utilisation routes.
 Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 4 (4), 4680-4689. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2016.10.030
- 849
- 19. Carrere, H., Dumas, C., Battimelli, A., Batstone, D.J., Delgenes, J.P., Steyer, J.P., Ferrer, I., 2010.
 Pretreatment methods to improve sludge anaerobic degradability: a review. Journal of Hazardous Materials
 183 (1-3), 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.06.129
- 853
- 20. Chang, T.C., You, S.J, Damodar, R.A., Chen, Y.Y., 2011. Ultrasound pre-treatment step for performance
 enhancement in an aerobic sludge digestion process. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers
 42 (5), 801–808. doi:10.1016/j.jtice.2011.01.003
- 857
- 21. Chen, Y., Jiang, S., Yuan, H., Zhou, Q., Gu, G., 2007. Hydrolysis and acidification of waste activated
 sludge at different pHs. Water Research 41 (3), 683–689. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2006.07.030
- 22. Chen, P., Zhan, L., Wilson, W., 2014. Experimental investigation on shear strength and permeability of a
 deeply dewatered sewage sludge for use in landfill covers. Environmental Earth Sciences 71(10), 4593–
 4602. doi:10.1007/s12665-013-2851-8
- 864

23. Cheng, F., Luo, H., Hu, L., Yu, B., Luo, Z., Fidalgo de Cortalezzi, M., 2016. Sludge carbonization and
activation:From hazardous waste to functional materials for water treatment. Journal of Environmental
Chemical Engineering 4 (4), 4574–4586. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2016.11.013

868

- 24. Cokgor, E.U., Oktay, S., Tas, D.O., Zengin, G.E., Orhon, D., 2009. Influence of pH and temperature on
 soluble substrate generation with primary sludge fermentation. Bioresource Technology 100 (1), 380–386.
 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.05.025
- 872
- 25. Collivignarelli, M.C., Castagnola, F., Sordi, M., Bertanza, G., 2015. Treatment of sewage sludge in a
 thermophilic memebrane reactor (TMR) with alternate aeration cycles. Journal of Environmental
 Management 162, 132-138. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.07.031
- 876
- 26. Commission, 2008. Environmental, economic and social impacts of the use of sewage sludge on land;
 Final Report; Part II: Report on Options and Impacts, prepared by RPA, Milieu Ltd and WRc for the
 European Commission, DG Environment under Study Contract DG
 ENV.G.4/ETU/2008/0076r.http://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/waste/sludge/pdf/part_ii_report.pdf.
- 881
- 27. Crutchik, D., Frison, N., Eusebi, A.L., Fatone, F. 2018. Biorefinery of cellulosic primary sludge towards
 targeted Short Chain Fatty Acids, phosphorus and methane recovery. Water Research 136, 112–119.
 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.02.047
- 885
- 28. Desmidt, E., Ghyselbrecht, K., Zhang, Y., Pinoy, L., Van der Bruggen, B., Verstraete, W., Rabaey, K., 886 887 Meesschaert, B., 2014. Global Phosphorus Scarcity and Full-Scale P-Recovery Techniques: A Review. 45 888 Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology (4), 336-384. 889 doi:10.1080/10643389.2013.866531
- 890
- 29. Devi, P., Saroha, A.K., 2017. Utilization of sludge based adsorbents for the removal of various
 pollutants: A review. Science of the Total Environment 578, 16–33. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.220
- 893
- 30. Di Iaconi, C., De Sanctis, M., Rossetti, S., Ramadori, R., 2010. SBBGR technology for minimising 894 895 excess sludge production in biological processes. Water Research 44 (6), 1825-1832. 896 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2009.12.007
- 897

31. Di Maria, F., Micale, C., Contini, S., 2016. Energetic and environmental sustainability of the codigestion of sludge with bio-waste in a life cycle perspective. Applied Energy 171, 67-76.
doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.03.036

32. Donatello, S., Tong, D., Cheeseman, C..R., 2010. Production of technical grade phosphoric acid from
incinerator sewage sludge ash (ISSA). Waste Management 30 (8), 1634–1642.
doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2010.04.009

905

33. Dong, Y., Qu, Y., He, W., Du, Y., Liu, J., Han, X., Feng, Y., 2015. A 90-liter stackable baffled
microbial fuel cell for brewery wastewater treatment based on energy selfsufficient mode. Bioresource
Technology 195, 66–72. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2015.06.026

909

910 34. Donoso-Bravo, A., Perez-Elvira, S.I., Fdz-Polanco, F., 2010. Application of simplified models for
911 anaerobic biodegradability tests. Evaluation of pre-treatment processes. Chemical Engineering Journal 160
912 (2), 607–614. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2010.03.082

913

35. Driessen, W., Abma, W., Van Zessen, E., Reitsma, G., Haarhuis, R., 2009. Sustainable treatment of
reject water and industrial effluent by producing valuable by products. In: Proceedings of 14th European
Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference, Leeds, UK.

917

36. Egle, L., Rechberger, H., Krampe, J., Zessner, M., 2016. Phosphorus recovery from municipal
wastewater: An integrated comparative technological, environmental and economic assessment of P recovery
technologies. Science of the Total Environment 571, 522-542. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.019

921

37. Elbeshbishy, E., Hafez, H., Nakhla, G., 2010. Enhancement of bio-hydrogen producing using
ultrasonication. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 35 (12), 6184–6193.
doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.03.119

925

926 38. Ellen MacArthur Foundation, SUN, McKinsey Center for Business and Environment, 2015. Growth 927 within: а Circular Economy Vision for а Competitive Europe. 928 https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/publications/EllenMacArthurFoundation_Grow 929 th-Within_July15.pdf

930

39. Elia Ruda, E., Mercedes Ocampo, E., Acosta, A., Mongiello, A., Olmos, G., 2013. Stabilization of
industry sludge by composting for use as an organic fertilizer. In EGU General Assembly Conference
Abstracts (Vol. 15, p. 2313)

934

40. Eliche-Quesada, D., Martínez-García, C., Martínez-Cartas, M.L., Cotes-Palomino, M.T., Pérez-Villarejo,
L., Cruz-Pérez, N., Corpas-Iglesias, F.A., 2011. The use of different forms of waste in the manufacture of
ceramic bricks. Applied Clay Science 52 (3), 270–276. doi:10.1016/j.clay.2011.03.003

- 938
- 41. Erden, G., Filibeli, A., 2011. Ozone oxidation of biological sludge: effects on disintegration, anaerobic
 biodegradability, and filterability. Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy 30 (3), 377–383.
 doi:10.1002/ep.10494
- 942

943 42. European Commission, 2011. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the 944 Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Roadmap to a 20.9.2011 945 Resource Efficient Europe. Brussels, COM (2011)571 final.http://www.europarl.europa.eu/meetdocs/2009_2014/documents/com/com_com(2011)0571_/com_com(946 947 2011)0571_en.pdf

948

43. Eurostat, 2015. Sewage Sludge Disposal from Urban Wastewater Treatment, by Type of Treatment, 2013
(% of total mass). http://ec.europa.eu/ eurostat/statisticsexplained/index.php/File:Sewage_sludge_disposal_from_urban_wastewater_treatment,_by_type_of_treatme
nt,_2013_(%C2% B9)_(%25_of_total_mass)_YB16.png. (accessed 3 August 2017)

953

44. Ezzariai, A., Hafidi, M., Khadra, A., Aemig, Q., El Fels, L., Barret, M., Merlina, G., Patureau, D.,
Pinelli, E., 2018. Human and veterinary antibiotics during composting of sludge or manure: Global
perspectives on persistence, degradation, and resistance genes. Journal of Hazardous Materials 359, 465481.doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.07.092

958

45. Farno, E., Baudez, J.C., Parthasarathy, R., Eshtiaghi, N., 2017. Net positive energy wastewater treatment
plant via thermal pre-treatment of sludge: a theoretical case study. Journal of Environmental Science and
Health, Part A, 52 (5), 429–432. doi:10.1080/10934529.2016.1270674

962

46. Feng, Y., He, W., Liu, J., Wang, X., Qu, Y., Ren, N., 2014. A horizontal plug flow and stackable pilot
microbial fuel cell for municipal wastewater treatment. Bioresource Technology 156, 132–138.
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.12.104

966

967 47. Fijalkowski, K., Rorat, A., Grobelak, A., Kacprzak, M. J., 2017. The presence of contaminations in
968 sewage sludge – The current situation. Journal of Environmental Management 203, 1126–1136.
969 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.05.068

970

48. Frison, N., Katsou, E., Malamis, S., Oehmen, A., Fatone, F., 2015. Development of a Novel Process
Integrating the Treatment of Sludge Reject Water and the Production of Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs).
Environmental Science and Technology 49 (18), 10877–10885. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b01776

- 49. Gao, D.W., Tao, Y., 2011. Versatility and application of anaerobic ammonium oxidizing bacteria.
 Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 91 (4), 887–894. doi:10.1007/s00253-011-3411-3
 977
- 50. Gao, D.W., Lu, J.C., Liang, H., 2014. Simultaneous energy recovery and autotrophic nitrogen removal
 from sewage at moderately low temperatures. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 98 (6), 2637–2645.
 doi:10.1007/s00253-013-5237-7
- 981
- 51. García, M., Urrea, J.L., Collado, S., Oulego, P., Díaz, M., 2017. Protein recovery from solubilized sludge
 by hydrothermal treatments. Waste Management 67, 278–287. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2017.05.051
- 984

52. Gardoni, D., Ficara, E., Fornarelli, R., Parolini, M., Canziani, R., 2011. Long-term effects of the
ozonation of the sludge recycling stream on excess sludge reduction and biomass activity at full-scale. Water
Science and Technology 63 (9), 2032–2038. doi:10.2166/wst.2011.456

- 988
- 53. Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N.M.P., Hultink, E.J., 2017. The Circular Economy A New
 Sustainability Paradigm?. Journal of Cleaner Production 143, 757-768. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048
- 991

54. Gianico, A., Bertanza, G., Braguglia, C.M., Canato, M., Laera, G., Heimersson, S., Svanström, M.,
Mininni, G., 2015. Upgrading a wastewater plant with thermophilic digestion of thermally pre-treated
secondary sludge: tehno-economic and anvirnomental assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production 102,
353–361. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.051

996

55. Gong, M.,. Zhu, W., Xu, Z.R., Zhang, H.W., Yang, H.P., 2014. Influence of sludge properties on the
direct gasification of dewatered sewage sludge in supercritical water. Renewable Energy 66, 605–611.
doi:10.1016/j.renene.2014.01.006

1000

56. Gonzalez-Martínez, A., Chengyuan, S., Rodriguez-Sanchez, A., Pozo, C., Gonzalez-Lopez, J., Vahala,
R., 2018. Application of microbial fuel cell technology for wastewater treatment and electricity generation
under Nordic countries climate conditions: study of performance and microbial communities. Bioresource
Technology 270, 1-10. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2018.09.014

- 1005
- 57. Gu, Y., Li, Y., Li, X., Luo, P., Wang, H., Robinson, Z.P., Wang, X., Wu, J., Li, F., 2017. The feasibility
 and challenges of energy self-sufficient wastewater treatment plants. Applied Energy 204, 1463–1475.
 doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.02.069
- 1009
- 1010 58. Guadie, A., Xia, S., Jiang, W., Zhou, L., Zhang, Z., Hermanowicz, S.W., Xu, X., Shen, S., 2014.
 1011 Enhanced struvite recovery from wastewater using a novel cone-inserted fluidized bed reactor. Journal of
 1012 Environmental Sciences 26 (4), 765–774. doi:10.1016/s1001-0742(13)60469-6

- 59. Guanghui, Y.U., Pinjing, E.H., Liming, S.H.A.O., Yishu, Z.H.U., 2009. Enzyme extraction by ultrasound
 from sludge flocs. Journal of Environmental Sciences 21 (2), 204–210. doi:10.1016/s1001-0742(08)62252-4
- 1017 60. Guo, L., Li, X.M., Bo, X., Yang, Q., Zeng, G.M., Liao, D., Liu, J.J., 2008. Impacts of sterilization,
 1018 microwave and ultrasonication pretreatment on hydrogen producing using waste sludge. Bioresource
 1019 Technology 99 (9), 3651–3658. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.07.026
- 1020

- 1021 61. Guo, X., Yang, J., Liang, Y., Liu, J., Xiao, B., 2014. Evaluation of sludge reduction by an
 1022 environmentally friendly chemical uncoupler in a pilot-scale anaerobic/anoxic/oxic process. Bioprocess and
 1023 Biosystems Engineering 37 (3), 553–560. doi:10.1007/s00449-013-1022-3
- 1024

1025 62. He, M., Wei, C., 2010. Performance of membrane bioreactor (MBR) system with sludge Fenton
1026 oxidation process for minimization of excess sludge production. Journal of Hazardous Materials 176 (1-3),
1027 597–601. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.11.071

1028

1029 63. He, Y.D., Zhai, Y.B., Li, C.T., Yang, F., Chen, L., Fan, X.P., Peng, W.F., Fu, Z.M., 2010. The fate of
1030 Cu, Zn, Pb and Cd during the pyrolysis of sewage sludge at different temperatures. Environmental
1031 Technology 31 (5), 567–574. doi:10.1080/09593330903514466

1032

1033 64. He, J.,Wan, T., Zhang, G., Yang, J., 2011. Ultrasonic reduction of excess sludge fromactivated sludge
1034 system: energy efficiency improvement via operation optimization. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry 18 (1), 99–
1035 103. doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2010.03.006

1036

1037 65. Heinz, 2007. Scaling up of electrical route, in: Ginestet, P. (ed), Comparative Evaluation of Sludge1038 Reduction Routes. IWA Publishing, London, UK.

- 1039
- 66. Hellinga, C., Schellen, A.A.J.C., Mulder, J.W., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Heijnen, J.J., 1998. The Sharon
 process: an innovative method for nitrogen removal from ammonium-rich waste water. Water Science and
 Technology 37 (9). doi:10.1016/s0273-1223(98)00281-9
- 1043
- 1044 67. Herzel, H., Krüger, O., Hermann, L., Adam, C., 2016. Sewage sludge ash-a promising secondary
 1045 phosphorus source for fertilizer production. Science of the Total Environment 542, 1136–1143.
 1046 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.059
- 1047
- 1048 68. Hou, D., Lu, L., Ren, Z.J., 2016. Microbial fuel cells and osmotic membrane bioreactors have mutual
 1049 benefits for wastewater treatment and energy production. Water Research 98, 183–189.
 1050 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.04.017

- 1051 1052 69. Hwang, J., Zhang, L., Seo, S., Lee, Y.W., Jhang, D., 2008. Protein recovery from excess sludge for its 1053 use as animal feed. Bioresource Technology 99 (18), 8949–8954. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.05.001 1054 1055 70. Hwang, C.L., Chiang, C.H., Huynh, T.P., Vo, D.H., Jhang, B.J., Ngo, S.H., 2017. Properties of alkali-1056 activated controlled low-strength material produced with waste water treatment sludge, fly ash, and slag. 1057 Construction and Building Materials 135, 459-471. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.01.014 1058 1059 71. International Solid Waste Association (ISWA), 2015. Circular Economy: Energy and Fuels. 1060 Taks Force Report, prepared by the ISWA Task Force on Resource Management. https://www.iswa.org/iswa/iswa-groups/task-forces/task-force-resource-management/ (accessed September 1061 1062 2015) 1063 1064 72. Jamali, M.K., Kazi, T.G., Arain, M.B., Afridi, H.I., Jalbani, N., Kandhro, G.A., Shah, A,D., Baig, J.A., 1065 2009. Speciation of heavy metals in untreated sewage sludge by using microwave assisted sequential 1066 extraction procedure. Journal of Hazardous Materials 163 (2-3),1157-1164. 1067 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.07.071 1068 73. Jin, N., Jin, B., Zhu, N., Yuan, H., Ruan, J., 2015. Disinhibition of excessive volatile fatty acids to 1069 1070 improve the efficiency of autothermal thermophilic aerobic sludge digestion by chemical approach. 1071 Bioresource Technology 175, 120-127. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.073 1072 1073 74. Jing, G., Luan, M., Du, W., Han, C., 2012. Treatment of oily sludge by advanced oxidation process. 1074 Environmental Earth Sciences 67 (8), 2217–2221. doi:10.1007/s12665-012-1662-7 1075 1076 75. Kacprzak, M., Neczaja, E., Fijałkowskia, K., Grobelaka, A., Grossera, A., Worwaga, M., Rorata, A., 1077 H., Almåsc, Å., Singhc, B.R., 2017. Sewage sludge disposal strategies for sustainable Brattebob. development. Environmental Research 156, 39-46. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2017.03.010 1078 76. Kargbo, D.M., 2010. Biodiesel production from municipal sewage sludges. Energy and Fuels 24 (5), 1079 1080 2791-2794. doi:10.1021/ef1001106 1081 1082 77. Kartal, B., Kuenen, J., Van Loosdrecht, M., 2010. Sewage treatment with anammox. Science 328, 702-1083 703. doi:10.1126/science.1185941 1084 1085 78. Kelessidis, A., Stasinakis, A.S., 2012. Comparative study of the methods used for treatment and final
- 1085 /8. Kelessidis, A., Stasinakis, A.S., 2012. Comparative study of the methods used for treatment and final
 1086 disposal of sewage sludge in European countries. Waste Management 32 (6), 1186–1195.
 1087 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2012.01.012

1088 1089 79. Khardenavis, A.A., Kumar, S.M., Mudliar, S.N., Chakrabarti, T., 2007. Biotechnology conversion of 1090 agro-industrial wastewaters into biodegradable plastics, poly β-hydroxybutyrate . Bioresource Technology 1091 98 (18), 3579-3584. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2006.11.024 1092 1093 80. Khursheed, A., Kazmi, A.A., 2011. Retrospective of ecological approaches to excess sludge reduction. 1094 Water Research 45, 4287-4310. doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.05.018 1095 1096 81. Kim, M., Yang, Y., Morikawa-Sakura, M.S., Wang, Q., Lee, M.V., Lee, D.Y., Feng, C., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Z., 2012. Hydrogen production by anaerobic co-digestion of rice straw and sewage sludge. 1097 International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 37 (4), 3142–3149. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.10.116 1098 1099 1100 82. Kleemann, R., Chenoweth, J., Clift, R., Morse, S., Pearce, P., Saroj, D., 2015. Evaluation of local and national effects of recovering phosphorus at wastewater treatment plants: Lessons learned from the UK. 1101 1102 Resources, Conservation and Recycling 105, 347-359. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.09.007 1103 1104 83. Kumar, R., Pal, P., 2013. Turning hazardous waste into value-added products: production and 1105 characterization of struvite from ammoniacal waste with new approaches. Journal of Cleaner Production 1106 43, 59-70.doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.01.001 1107 1108 84. Lau, P.C., Teo, D.C.L., Mannan, M.A., 2017. Characteristics of lightweight aggregate produced from lime-treated sewage sludge and palm oil fuel ash. Construction and Building Materials 152, 558-567. 1109 1110 doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.07.022 1111 1112 85. Le Corre, K.S., Valsami-Jone, E., Hobbs P., Parsons S.A., 2007. Impact of reactor operation on success 1113 of struvite precipitation from synthetic liquors. Environmental Technology 28 (11), 1245–1256. 1114 doi:10.1080/09593332808618885 1115 86. Lee, I. S., Parameswaran, P., Alder, J.M., Rittmann, B.E., 2010. Feasibility of Focused-Pulsed Treated 1116 1117 Waste Activated Sludge as a Supplemental Electron Donor for Denitrification. Water Environmental Research 82 (12), 2316-232. doi:10.2175/106143010x126097369672 1118 1119 87. Lefebvre, O., Uzabiaga, A., Chang, I.S., Kim, B.H., Ng, H.Y., 2011. Microbial fuel cells for energy self-1120 1121 sufficient domestic wastewater treatment—a review and discussion from energetic consideration. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 89 (2), 259–270. doi:10.1007/s00253-010-2881-z 1122 1123

- 1124 88. Li, C., Xie, F., Ma, Y., Cai, T., Li, H., Huang Z., 2010. Multiple heavy metals extraction and recovery 1125 from hazardous electroplating sludge waste via ultrasonically enhanced two-stage acid leaching. Journal of 1126 Hazardous Materials 178 (1-3), 823-833. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.02.013
- 1127
- 89. Li, W., Feng, X., Yan, Y., Sparks, D.L., Phillips, B.L., 2013. Solid-state NMR spectroscopic study of 1128 1129 phosphate sorption mechanisms on aluminum (hydr)oxides. Environmental Science and Technology 1130 47 (15), 8308-8315. doi:10.1021/es400874s
- 1131
- 1132 90. Li, J., Ge, Z., He, Z., 2014. A fluidized bed membrane bioelectrochemical reactor for energy efficient wastewater treatment. Bioresource Technology 167, 310-315. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.06.034 1133
- 1134
- 91. Lin, O.H., Cheng, H., Chen, G.Y., 2012. Preparation and characterization of carbonaceous adsorbents 1135 1136 from sewage sludge using a pilot-scale microwave heating equipment. Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 93, 113-119. doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2011.10.006 1137
- 1138
- 1139 92. Liu, S., Zhu, N., Li, L.Y., 2012. The one-stage autothermal thermophilic aerobic digestion for sewage sludge treatmente: stabilization process and mechanism. Bioresource Technology 104, 266-273. 1140 1141 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.041
- 1142
- 93. Liu, C., Shi, W., Kim, M., Yang, Y., Lei, Z., Zhang, Z., 2013. Photocatalytic pretreatment for the redox 1143 1144 conversion of waste activated sludge to enhance biohydrogen production. International Journal of Hydrogen 1145 Energy 38 (18), 7246–7252. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.03.147
- 1146
- 1147 94. Lotito, A.M., Di Iaconi, C., Lotito, V., 2012. Physical characterisation of the sludge produced in a biofilter 1148 sequencing batch Water Research 46 5316-5326. granular reactor. (16),doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.053 1149
- 1150
- 95. Lv, P, Yuan, Z., Wu, C., Ma, L., Chen, Y., Tsubaki, N., 2007. Bio-syngas production from biomass 1151 1152 gasification. Conversion Management 48 (4), 1132–1139. catalytic Energy and 1153 doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2006.10.014
- 1154
- 1155 96. Malamis, S., Katsou, E., Di Fabio, S., Bolzonella, D., Fatone, F., 2014. Biological nutrients removal from the supernatant originating from the anaerobic digestion of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste. 1156 Critical Reviews in Biotechnology 34 (3), 244-257.doi:10.3109/07388551.2013.791246
- 1157
- 1158

- 97. Malpei, F., Ficara, E., Canziani, R., 2008. Nitrogen removal from digestate: conventional and advanced
 technologies, in: Adani, F., Schievano, A., Boccasile, G., (Eds), Anaerobic Digestion: Opportunities for
 Agriculture and Environment. Milan: University of Milan, pp. 113–127.
- 1162
- 98. Manara, P., Zabaniotou, A., 2012. Towards sewage sludge based biofuels via thermochemical conversion
 A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 16 (5), 2566–2582. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.01.074
- 99. Maragkaki, A.E., Fountoulakis, M., Gypakis, A., Kyriakou, A., Lasaridi, K., Manios, T., 2017. Pilotscale anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge with agro-industrial by-products for increased biogas
 production of existing digesters at wastewater treatment plants. Waste Management 59, 362–370.
 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2016.10.043
- 1170
- 1171 100. Martinez-Guerra, E., Gude, V., 2015. Continuous and pulse sonication effects on transesterification of
 1172 used vegetable oil. Energy Conversion and Management 96, 268–276. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2015.02.073
 1173
- 101. Massanet-Nicolau, J., Guwy, A., Dinsdale, R., Premier, G., Esteves, S., 2010. Production of hydrogen
 from sewage biosolids in a continuously fed bioreactor: effect of hydraulic retention time and sparging.
 International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 35 (2), 469–478. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.10.076
- 1177
- 102. Mohammadi, A., Mehrdadi, N., Bidhendi, G., Torabian, A., 2011. Excess sludge reduction using
 ultrasonic waves in biological wastewater treatment. Desalination 275 (1–3), 67–73.
 doi:10.1016/j.desal.2011.02.030
- 1181
- 103. Moran, S., 2018. Sludge characterization and treatment objectives, in: Moran, S., (Ed), An Applied
 Guide to Water and Effluent Treatment Plant Design. Elsevier Inc., pp. 255–263.
- 1184
- 104. Morgan-Sagastume, F., Valentino, F., Hjort, M., Cirne, D., Karabegovic, L., Gerardin, F., Johansson,
 P., Karlsson, A., Magnusson, P., Alexandersson, T., Bengtsson, S., Majone, M., Werker, A., 2014.
 Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) production from sludge and municipal wastewater treatment. Water Science
 and Technology 69 (1), 177–184. doi:10.2166/wst.2013.643
- 1189
- 105. Nabarlatz, D., Vondrysova, J., Jenicek, P., Stüber, F., Font, J., Fortuny, A., Fabregat, A., Bengoa, C.,
 2010. Hydrolytic enzymes in activated sludge: extraction of protease and lipase by stirring and
 ultrasonication. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry 17 (5), 923–931. doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2010.02.006
- 1193
- 106. Nazari, L., Yuan, Z., Santoro, D., Sarathy, S., Ho, D., Batstone, D., Xu, C.C., Ray, M.B., 2017. Lowtemterature thermal pre-treatmente of municipal wastewater sludge: Process optimization and effects on
 solubilization and anaerobic degradation. Water Research 113, 111–123. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.11.055

- 1197
- 107. Nghiem, D.L., Koch, K., Bolzonella, D., Drewes, E.J., 2017. Full scale co-digestion of wastewater
 sludge and food waste: bottlenecks and possibilities. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 72, 354–
 362. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.062
- 1201
- 108. Nikhil, G.N., Krishna, Chaitanya, D.N.S., Srikanth, S., Swamy, Y.V., Venkata Mohan, S., 2018.
 Applied resistance for power generation and energy distribution in microbial fuel cells with rationale for
 maximum power point. Chemical Engineering Journal 335, 267–274. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2017.10.139
- 1205
- 109. Oon, Y.L., Ong, S.A., Ho, L.N., Wong, Y.S., Dahalan, F.A., Oon, Y.S., Lehl, H.K., Thung, W.E.,
 Nordin, N., 2017. Role of macrophyte and effect of supplementary aeration in up-flow constructed wetlandmicrobial fuel cell for simultaneous wastewater treatment and energy recovery. Bioresource Technology
 224, 265–275. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.079
- 1210

1211 110. Paris, J.M., Roessler, J.G., Ferraro, C.C., DeFord, H.D., Townsend, T.G., 2016. A review of waste
1212 products utilized as supplements to Portland cement in concrete. Journal of Cleaner Production 121, 1–18.
1213 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.02.013

- 1214
- 1215 111. Pastor, L., Mangin, D., Barat, R., Seco, A., 2008. A pilot-scale study of struvite precipitation in a stirred
 1216 tank reactor: Conditions influencing the process. Bioresource Technology 99 (14), 6285–6291.
 1217 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.12.003
- 1218
- 1219 112. Pastor, L., Mangin, D., Ferrer, J., Seco, A., 2010. Struvite formation from the supernatants of an
 1220 anaerobic digestion pilot plant. Bioresource Technology 101 (1), 118–125.
 1221 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.08.002
- 1222
- 1223 113. Pastore, C., Lopez, A., Lotito, V., Mascolo, G., 2013. Biodiesel from dewatered wastewater sludge: A 1224 process for more advantageous production. Chemosphere 92 (6), 667two-step a 673.doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.03.046 1225
- 1226
- 1227 114. Perance, D., Turner, R., 1989. Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment. Johns Hopkins1228 University Press, Baltimore
- 1229
- 1230 115. Perez-Elvira, S., Fdz-Polanco, F., 2012. Continuous thermal hydrolysis and anaerobic digestion of
 1231 sludge. Energy integration study. Water Science and Technology 65 (10), 1839–1846.
 1232 doi:10.2166/wst.2012.863
- 1233

- 116. Pijuan, M., Wang, Q., Ye, L., Yuan, Z., 2012. Improving secondary sludge biodegradability using free
 nitrous acid treatment. Bioresource Technology 116, 92–98. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.016
- 1236
- 117. Pilli, S., Yan, S., Tyagi, R.D., Surampall, R.Y., 2015. Overview of Fenton pre-treatment of sludge
 aiming to enhance anaerobic digestion. Reviews Environmental Science and Biotechnology 14 (3), 453–472.
 doi:10.1007/s11157-015-9368-4
- 1240
- 118. Plappally, A.K., Lienhard, V.J.H., 2012. Energy requirements for water production, treatment, end use,
 reclamation, and disposal. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 16 (7), 4818–4848.
 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.05.022
- 1244
- 119. Plattes, M., Koehler, C., Gallé, T., 2017. Purely ultrasonic enzyme extraction from activated sludge in
 an ultrasonic cleaning bath. Methods X 4, 214–217. doi:10.1016/j.mex.2017.07.003
- 1247
- 1248 120. Praspaliauskas, M., Pedišius, N., 2017. A review of sludge characteristics in Lithuania's wastewater
 1249 treatment plants and perspectives of its usage in thermal processes. Renewable and Sustainable Energy
 1250 Reviews 67, 899-907. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.041
- 1251
- 1252 121. Puchongkawarin, C., Gomez-Mont, C., Stuckey, D. C., Chachuat, B., 2015. Optimization-based
 1253 methodology for the development of wastewater facilities for energy and nutrient recovery. Chemosphere
 1254 140, 150–158. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.08.061
- 1255
- 122. Qian, L., Wang, S., Xu, D., Guo, Y., Tang, X., Wang, L., 2016. Treatment of municipal sewage sludge
 in supercritical water: A review. Water Research 89, 118-131. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2015.11.047
- 1258
- 1259 123. Raheem, A., Sikarwar, V.S., He, J., Dastyar, W., Dionysiou, D.D., Wang, W., Zhao, M., 2018.
 1260 Opportunities and challenges in sustainable treatment and resource reuse of sewage sludge: A review.
 1261 Chemical Engineering Journal 337, 616–641. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2017.12.149
- 1262
- 1263 124. Roccaro, P., Franco, A., Contrafatto, L., Vagliasindi, F.G.A., 2015. Use sludge from water and
 1264 wastewater treatment plants in the production of concrete: an effective end-of waste alternative, in:
 1265 Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology.
- 1266
- 125. Romero, P., Coello, M., Aragon, C., Battistoni, P., Eusebi, A., 2015. Sludge reduction through
 ozonation: effects of different specific dosages and operative management aspects in a full-scale study.
 Journal of Environmental Engineering 141 (12), 04015043. doi:10.1061/(asce)ee.1943-7870.0001006
- 1270

- 1271 126. Ruffino, B., Campo, G., Genon, G., Lorenzi, E., Novarino, D., Scibilia, G., Zanetti, M., 2015.
 1272 Improvement of anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge in a wastewater treatment plant by means of
 1273 mechanical and thermal pre-treatments: Performance, energy and economical assessment. Bioresource
 1274 Technology 175, 298–308. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.071
- 1275

1276 127. Ruiken, C.J., Breuer, G., Klaversma, E., Santiago, T., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2013. Sieving
1277 wastewater - Cellulose recovery, economic and energy evaluation. Water Research 47 (1), 43–48.
1278 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.08.023

1279

1280 128. Saveyn, H., Ferrasse, J.H., Hernandez, A.B., Rose, J., Meeren, P.V., Roche, N., 2010. The distribution
of heavy metals following sewage sludge gasification, in: 2nd European conference on sludge management
ECSM.

1283

1284 129. Schafer, P., Muller, C., Willis, J., 2013. Improving the Performance and Economics of Co-Digestion
1285 and Energy Production. Proceeding of the Water Environmental Federation 793–803.
1286 doi:10.2175/193864713813668367

- 1287
- 1288 130. Semblante, G.U., Hai, F.I., Ngo, H.H., Guo, W., You, S.J., Price, W.E., Nghiem, L.D., 2014. Sludge
 1289 cycling between aerobic, anoxic and anaerobic regimes to reduce sludge production during wastewater
 1290 treatment: Performance, mechanisms, and implications. Bioresource Technology 155, 395–409.
 1291 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.029
- 1292

1293 131. Semblante, G.U., Haia, F.I., Huangb, X., Ball, A.S., Price, W.E., Nghiema, L.D., 2015. Trace organic
1294 contaminants in biosolids: impact of conventional wastewater and sludge processing technologies and
1295 emerging alternatives. Journal of Hazardous Materials 300, 1–17. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.06.037

1296

1297 132. Sethupathy, A., Sivashanmugam, P., 2017. Extraction of consortium of hydrolytic enzymes from waste
1298 activated sludge using ultrasonication and stirring with surfactants. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry 40, 874–880.
1299 doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2017.08.034

1300

1301 133. Shen, Y., Linville, J.L., Urgun-Demirtas, M., Mintz, M.M., Snyder, S.W., 2015. An overview of biogas
production and utilization at full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in the United States:
challenges and opportunities towards energy-neutral WWTPs. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews
50, 346–362.doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.129

- 1305
- 1306 134. Silvestre, G., Ruiz, B., Fiter, M., Ferrer, C., Berlanga, J. G., Alonso, S., Canut, A., 2014. Ozonation as a
 1307 Pre-treatment for Anaerobic Digestion of Waste-Activated Sludge: Effect of the Ozone Doses. Ozone:
 1308 Science and Engineering 37 (4), 316–322. doi:10.1080/01919512.2014.985817

1310 135. Silvestre, G., Fernández, B., Bonmatí, A., 2015. Significance of anaerobic digestion as a source of
1311 clean energy in wastewater treatment plants. Energy Conversion and Management 101, 255–262.
1312 doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2015.05.033

1313

1314 136. Smith, S.R., 2002. Management, use and disposal of sewage sludge, in: Smith, S.R., Cheeseman, C.,
1315 Blakey, N., (Eds.), Waste Management and Minimization. EOLSS Publications, pp.110-136

1316

1317 137. Smith, K.M., Fowler, G.D., Pullket, S., Graham, N.J.D., 2009. Sewage sludge-based adsorbents: a
1318 review of their production, properties and use in water treatment applications. Water Research 43 (10),
1319 2569–2594. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2009.02.038

1320

1321 138. Smith, A.L., Stadler, L.B., Cao, L., Love, N.G., Raskin, L., Skerlos, S.J., 2014. Navigating wastewater
1322 energy recovery strategies: a life cycle comparison of anaerobic membrane bioreactor and conventional
1323 treatment systems with anaerobic digestion. Environmental Science and Technology 48 (10), 5972–5981.
1324 doi:10.1021/es5006169

1325

1326 139. Smol, M., Kulczycka, J., Henclik, A., Gorazda, K., Wzorek, Z., 2015. The possible use of sewage
1327 sludge ash (SSA) in the construction industry as a way towards a circular economy. Journal of Cleaner
1328 Production 95, 45-54. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.02.051

1329

140. Suárez-Iglesias, O., Urrea, J.L., Oulego, P., Collado, S., Díaz, M., 2017. Valuable compounds from
sewage sludge by thermal hydrolysis and wet oxidation. A review. Science of the Total Environment 584–
585, 921–934. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.140

1333

1334 141. Suchorab, Z., Barnat-Hunek, D., Franus, M., Łagód, G., 2016. Mechanical and Physical Properties of
1335 Hydrophobized Lightweight Aggregate Concrete with Sewage Sludge. Materials 9 (5), 317.
1336 doi:10.3390/ma9050317

1337

1338 142. Świerczek, L., Cieślik, B. M., Konieczka, P., 2018. The potential of raw sewage sludge in construction
1339 industry – A review. Journal of Cleaner Production 200, 342–356. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.188

1340

1341 143. Tantawy, M.A., El-Roudi, A.M., Abdalla, E.M., Abdelzaher, M.A., 2012. Evaluation of the Pozzolanic
1342 Activity of Sewage Sludge Ash. ISRN Chemical Enginnering 2012, 1–8. doi:10.5402/2012/487037

1343

1344 144. Tezel, U., Tandukar, M., Pavlostathis, S.G., 2011. Anaerobic biotreatment of municipal sewage sludge,

in: Moo-Young, M., (Editor-in-Chief), Comprehensive biotechnology, in: Agathos, S., (Ed.), Environmental

1346 biotechnology and safety, second ed., vol. 6. Elsevier. Amsterdam, The Netherlands

1348 145. Tian, Y., Zuo, W., Ren, Z., Chen, D., 2011. Estimation of a novel method to produce bio- oil from
1349 sewage sludge by microwave pyrolysis with the consideration of efficiency and safety. Bioresource
1350 Technology 102, 2053–2061. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.09.082

1351

1352 146. Tian, Y., Ji, C., Wang, K., Le-Clech, P., 2014. Assessment of an anaerobic membrane
1353 bioelectrochemical reactor (AnMBER) for wastewater treatment and energy recovery. Journal of Membrane
1354 Science 450, 242–248. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2013.09.013

1355

147. Tyagi, R.D., Surampalli, R.Y., Yan, S., Zhang, T.C., Kao, C.M., Lohan, B.N., 2009. Sustainable
sludge management: production of value added products. American Society of Civil Engineers. Reston, VA,
USA

1359

148. Tyagi, V.K., Lo, S.L., 2011. Application of physico-chemical pretreatment methods to enhance the
sludge disintegration and subsequent anaerobic digestion: an up to date review. Reviews in Environmental
Science and Biotechnology 10 (3), 215–242. doi:10.1007/s11157-011-9244-9

1363

1364 149. Tyagi, V.K., Lo, S.L., 2013. Sludge: a waste or renewable source for energy and resources recovery?
1365 Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 25, 708-728.doi:10.1016/j.rser.2013.05.029

1366

1367 150. Uma Rani, R., Adish Kumar, S., Kaliappan, S., Yeom, I., Rajesh Banu, J., 2013. Impacts of microwave
1368 pretreatments on the semi-continuous anaerobic digestion of dairy waste activated sludge. Waste
1369 Management 33 (5), 1119–1127. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2013.01.016

1370

1371 151. Urrea, J.L., Sergio, C., Laca, A., Diaz, M., 2014. Wet oxidation of activated sludge: transformations and
1372 mechanisms. Journal of Environmental Management 146, 251–259. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.043

1373

1374 152. USEPA, 2012. Opportunities for Combined Heat and Power at Wastewater Treatment Facilities: Market 1375 Analysis and Lessons from the Field. Proceedings of the Water Environment 1376 Federation.https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/201507/documents/opportunities_for_combined_heat_ and_power_at_wastewater_treatment_facilities_market_analysis_and_lessons_from_the_field.pdf 1377

1378

1379 153. Villamil, J.A., Monsalvo, V.M., Lopez, J., Mohedano, A.F., Rodriguez, J.J., 2016. Fouling control in
1380 membrane with sewage-sludge based adsorbents. Water Research 105, 65-75.
1381 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2016.08.059

- 1383 154. Vogel, C., Krüger, O., Herzel, H., Amidani, L., Adam, C., 2016. Chemical state of mercury and
 1384 selenium in sewage sludge ash based P-fertilizers. Journal of Hazardous Materials 313, 179–184.
 1385 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.03.079
- 1386
- 1387 155. Wang, H., Brown, S.L., Magesan, G.N., Slade, A.H., Quintern, M., Clinton, P.W., Payn, T. W., 2008.
 1388 Technological options for the management of biosolids. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 15,
 1389 308–17. doi:10.1007/s11356-008-0012-5
- 1390
- 1391 156. Wang, H., Fang, M., Fang, Z., Bu, H., 2010. Effects of sludge pretreatments and organic acids on
 1392 hydrogen production by anaerobic fermentation. Bioresource Technology 101, 8731–8735.
 1393 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.06.131
- 1394
- 1395 157. Wang, H.B., Lin, Z.Z., He, Z.Y., 2011. A New Brick Prepared from Municipal Sewage Sludge and
 1396 Shale. Advanced Materials Research 374-377, 18–23. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.374-377.18
- 1398 158. Wang, Q., Ye, L., Jiang, G., Yuan, Z., 2013. A free nitrous acid (FNA) based technology for reducing
 1399 sludge production. Water Research 47 (11), 3663–3672. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2013.04.016
- 1400

- 1401 159. Wang, Q., Wei, W., Gong, Y., Yu, Q., Li, Q., Sun, J., Yuan Z., 2017. Technologies for reducing sludge
 production in wastewater treatment plants: State of the art. Science of the Total Environment
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.203
- 1404
- 1405 160. Webster, K., 2015. The Circular Economy: a Wealth of Flows. Ellen MacArthur Foundation, Isle of1406 Wight
- 1407
- 1408 161. Wei, C.H., Harb, M., Amy, G., Hong, P.Y., Leiknes, T., 2014. Sustainable organic loading rate and
 1409 energy recovery potential of mesophilic anaerobic membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment.
 1410 Bioresource Technology 166, 326–334. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.05.053
- 1411
- 1412 162. Wu, C.H., Kuo, C.Y., Lo, S.L., 2009. From waste to resource: a case study of heavy-metal sludge by
 1413 microwave treatment. Journal of Environmental Engineering and Management 19 (3):119–25
- 1414
- 1415 163. Xiao, K., Chen, Y., Jiang, X., Seow, W.Y., He, C., Yin, Y., Zhou, Y., 2017. Comparison of different
 1416 treatment methods for protein solubilisation from waste activated sludge. Water Research 122, 492–
 1417 502.doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.024
- 1418
- 1419 164. Xie, B., Liu, H., Yan, Y., 2009. Improvement of the activity of anaerobic sludge by low- intensity
 1420 ultrasound. Journal of Environmental Management 90, 260–264. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.09.004

1421			
1422	165. Xie, C., Zhao, J., Tang, J., Xu, J., Lin, X., Xu, X., 2011. The phosphorus fractions and alkaline		
1423	phosphatase activities in sludge. Bioresource Technology 102 (3), 2455-2461.		
1424	doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.011		
1425			
1426	166. Xu, J., Yuan, H., Lin, J., Yuan, W., 2014. Evaluation of thermal, thermal-alkaline, alkaline and		
1427	electrochemical pretreatments on sludge to enhance anaerobic biogas production. Journal of the Taiwar		
1428	Institute of Chemical Engineers 45 (5), 2531–2536. doi:10.1016/j.jtice.2014.05.029		
1429			
1430	167. Xu, G., Yang, X., Spinosa, L., 2015. Development of sludge-based adsorbents: Preparation,		
1431	characterization, utilization and its feasibility assessment. Journal of Environmental Management 151, 221-		
1432	232. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.08.001		
1433			
1434	168. Yamuna Rani, M., Bhagawan, D., Himabindu, V., Venkateswara Reddy, V., Saritha, P., 2015.		
1435	Preparation and characterization of green bricks using pharmaceutical industrial wastes. Environmental		
1436	Science and Pollution Research 23 (10), 9323–9333. doi:10.1007/s11356-015-5191-2		
1437			
1438	169. Yan, S., BalaSubramanian, S., Tyagi, R.D., Surampalli, R.Y., 2008. Bioplastics from waste activated		
1439	sludge batch process. Practice Periodical of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Management 12 (4),		
1440	239-48. doi:10.1061/(asce)1090-025x(2008)12:4(239)		
1441			
1442	170. Yang, J., Shi, Y., Yang, X., Liang, M., Li, Y., Li, Y., Ye, N., 2013. Durability of autoclaved		
1443	construction materials of sewage sludge-cement-fly ash-furnace slag. Construction and Building Materials		
1444	48, 398–405. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.07.018		
1445			
1446	171. Ye, Y., Ngo, H.H., Guo, W., Liu, Y., Li, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, X., Jia, H., 2017. Insight into chemical		
1447	phosphate recovery from municipal wastewater. Science of the Total Environment 576, 159-		
1448	171.doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.078		
1449			
1450	172. Yeneneh, A., Kayaalp, A., Sen, T., Ang, H., 2015. Effect of microwave and combinedmicrowave-		
1451	ultrasonic pretreatment on anaerobic digestion of mixed real sludge. Journal of Environmental Chemical		
1452	Engineering 3 (4), 2514–2521. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2015.09.003		
1453			
1454	173. Yuan, H., Xu, C., Zhu, N., 2014. Disinhibition of the ammonium nitrogen in autothermal thermophilic		
1455	aerobic digestion for sewage sludge by chemical precipitation. Bioresource Technology 169, 686-691.		
1456	doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.07.016		

- 1458 174. Yuen, F.K., Hameed, B.H., 2009. Recent developments in the preparation and regeneration of activated
 1459 carbons by microwaves. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 149 (1-2), 19–27.
 1460 doi:10.1016/j.cis.2008.12.005
- 1461

1462 175. Zahedi, S., Icaran, P., Yuan, Z., Pijuan, M., 2016. Assessment of free nitrous acid pretreatment on a
1463 mixture of primary sludge and waste activated sludge: effect of exposure time and concentration.
1464 Bioresource Technology 216, 870–875. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.038

- 1465
- 1466 176. Zhang, D., Chen, Y., Zhao, Y., Zhu, X., 2010. New sludge pretreatment method to improve methane
 production in waste activated sludge digestion. Environmental Science and Technology 44 (12), 4802–4808.
 doi:10.1021/es1000209
- 1469

1470 177. Zhang, S., Zhang, P., Zhang, G., Fan, J., Zhang, Y., 2012. Enhancement of anaerobic sludge digestion
1471 by high-pressure homogenization. Bioresource Technology 118, 496–501.
1472 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.089

- 1473
- 1474 178. Zhang, X., Tian, Y., Wang, Q., Lin, H., 2013. Waste sludge reduction using Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri:
 1475 growth, development and sludge predation potential of aquatic worm correlate with process conditions.
 1476 Ecological Engineering 58, 406–413. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.07.003
- 1477

1478 179. Zhang, Y.M., Jia, L.T., Mei, H., Cui, Q., Zhang, P.G., Sun. Z.M., 2016. Fabrication, microstructure and
properties of bricks fired from lake sediment, cinder and sewage sludge. Construction and Building Materials
121, 154–160. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.05.155

- 1481
- 1482 180. Zhen, G., Lu, X., Kato, H., Zhao, Y., Li, Y-Y., 2017. Overview of pretreatment strategies for enhancing
 1483 sewage sludge disintegration and subsequent anaerobic digestion: current advances, full-scale application
 1484 and future perspectives. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 69, 559–577.
 1485 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.187
- 1486
- 1487 181. Zhou, K., Barjenbruch, M., Kabbe, C., Inial, G., Remy, C., 2016. Phosphorus recovery from municipal
 1488 and fertilizer wastewater: China's potential and perspective. Journal of Environmental Sciences 52, 151–
 1489 159.doi:10.1016/j.jes.2016.04.010
- 1490

1491 182. Zuo, W., Tian, Y., Ren, N., 2011. The important role of microwave receptors in bio-fuel production by
1492 microwave-induced pyrolysis of sewage sludge. Waste Management 31 (6), 1321–1326.
1493 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2011.02.001

- 1495 183. Zuriaga-Agustí, E., Mendoza-Roca, J.A., Bes-Piá, A., Alonso-Molina, J.L., Amorós-Muñoz, I., 2016.
- 1496 Sludge reduction by uncoupling metabolism: SBR tests with Para-nitrophenol and a commercial uncoupler.
- 1497 Journal of Environmental Management 182, 406–411. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.07.100