Repository Istituzionale dei Prodotti della Ricerca del Politecnico di Bari

On fractional Choquard equations

This is a pre-print of the following article

Original Citation:
On fractional Choquard equations / D'Avenia, Pietro; Siciliano, Gaetano; Squassina, Marco. - In: MATHEMATICAL MODELS AND METHODS IN APPLIED SCIENCES. - ISSN 0218-2025. - STAMPA. - 25:8(2015), pp. 1447-1476. [10.1142/S0218202515500384]

Availability:
This version is available at http://hdl.handle.net/11589/1413 since: 2018-03-12

Published version
DOI:10.1142/S0218202515500384

Publisher:

Terms of use:

(Article begins on next page)

12 October 2024

ON FRACTIONAL CHOQUARD EQUATIONS

PIETRO D'AVENIA, GAETANO SICILIANO, AND MARCO SQUASSINA

Abstract. We investigate a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a generalized Choquard nonlinearity and fractional diffusion. We obtain regularity, existence, nonexistence, symmetry as well as decays properties.

1. Introduction

Given $\omega > 0$, $N \geq 3$, $\alpha \in (0, N)$, $p > 1$ and $s \in (0, 1)$, we consider the nonlocal problem

$$(\mathcal{P}_\omega) \qquad (-\Delta)^s u + \omega u = (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(x) = |x|^{\alpha-N}$ and the Hilbert space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \big\{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : (-\Delta)^{s/2}u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\big\},$$

with scalar product and norm given by

$$(u, v) = \int (-\Delta)^{s/2}u(-\Delta)^{s/2}v + \omega \int uv, \qquad \|u\|^2 = \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^2 + \omega\|u\|_2^2.$$

The fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^s$ is defined by

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = -\frac{C(N, s)}{2} \int \frac{u(x + y) - u(x - y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $C(N, s)$ is a suitable normalization constant. Thus, problem $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ presents nonlocal characteristics in the nonlinearity as well as in the (fractional) diffusion. We point out that when $s = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\alpha = 2$, then $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ boils down to the so-called Choquard or nonlinear Schrödinger-Newton equation

$$(1.1) \qquad -\Delta u + \omega u = (\mathcal{K}_2 * u^2)u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

This equation was elaborated by Pekar [22] in the framework of quantum mechanics. Subsequently, Choquard [15] adopted the equation as an approximation of the Hartree-Fock theory. More recently, Penrose [23] settled it as a model of self-gravitating matter. The first investigations for existence and symmetry of the solutions to (1.1) go back to the works of Lieb and Lions [15, 17]. On this basis, we will refer to $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ as to the generalized nonlinear Choquard equation. In the last few years, the study of equations involving pseudodifferential operators has steadily grown. In [18, 19] the authors discuss recent developments in the description of anomalous diffusion via fractional dynamics and various fractional equations are derived asymptotically from Lévy random walk models, extending Brownian walk models in a natural way. In particular, in [14], a fractional Schrödinger equation with local power type nonlinearity was studied. This extends to a Lévy framework the classical statement that path integral over Brownian trajectories leads to the standard Schrödinger equation $-\Delta u + \omega u = f(u)$, see

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P15, 35P30, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Laplacian, Choquard equation, existence, nonexistence, multiplicity.

The first author was supported by GNAMPA project "*Aspetti differenziali e geometrici nello studio di problemi ellittici quasilineari*". The second author is supported by CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil. The third author was supported by GNAMPA project "*Problemi al contorno per operatori non locali non lineari*". This work was partially carried out during a stay of P. d'Avenia and M. Squassina at the University of São Paulo, Brazil and of P. d'Avenia at the University of Verona, Italy. They would like to express their gratitude to the departments for the warm hospitality.

e.g. [6] and references therein. In the case $s = 1/2$, problem $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ has been used to model the dynamics of pseudo-relativistic boson stars, see [12]. We shall say that $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ if

$$\int (-\Delta)^{s/2}u\ (-\Delta)^{s/2}v + \omega \int uv = \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}uv, \quad \text{for all } v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Let

$$1 + \frac{\alpha}{N} < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2s}, \tag{1.2}$$

and introduce the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_\omega := \Big\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^2 + \omega\|u\|_2^2 - \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p = 0 \Big\},$$

and the C^1 functional $E_\omega : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$E_\omega(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int |(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2 + \frac{\omega}{2}\int u^2 - \frac{1}{2p}\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p. \tag{1.3}$$

A *ground state* of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ is a solution with minimal energy E_ω and can be characterized as

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\omega} E_\omega(u).$$

The main result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that p satisfies (1.2). Then*

Existence: *there exists a ground state $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to problem $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ which is positive, radially symmetric and decreasing;*

Regularity: *$u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and moreover if $s \le 1/2$, $u \in C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\mu \in (0, 2s)$, if $s > 1/2$, $u \in C^{1,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\mu \in (0, 2s-1)$;*

Asymptotics: *if $p \ge 2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$u(x) = \frac{C}{|x|^{N+2s}} + o(|x|^{-N-2s}), \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty;$$

Morse Index: *if $2 \le p < 1 + (2s+\alpha)/N$ and $s > 1/2$, the Morse index of u is equal to one.*

Under some restrictions on the values of p, there exist different ways of obtaining ground state solutions, via minimization problems which turn out to be equivalent up to a suitable change of scale, as shown in Propositions 4.1 and 4.6. In particular, in the range

$$1 + \frac{\alpha}{N} < p < 1 + \frac{2s+\alpha}{N} \tag{1.4}$$

the ground states can be found by minimizing the functional

$$E_0(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int |(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2 - \frac{1}{2p}\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p \tag{1.5}$$

on L^2-spheres, which allows to obtain the additional information about the Morse index of solutions. The information provided in Proposition 4.6 is also useful when studying the *orbital stability* property of the family of ground states for the equation

$$\mathrm{i}u_t = (-\Delta)^s u + \omega u - (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \quad \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty). \tag{1.6}$$

This topic was recently investigated in [28] in the case $p = 2$ and with $\alpha \in (N-2s, N)$, see the introduction therein for the physical motivations. We plan to investigate (1.6) - in presence of a parameter ε of singular perturbation - from the point of view of *soliton dynamics* by following an approach used in [4] to study the local case $s = 1$ and motivated by the absence of general results about the nondegeneracy of ground states.

We point out that, contrary to the local case $s = 1$, the solutions can only decay at the polynomial rate $|x|^{-N-2s}$. We refer the reader to [21] for sharp results about the exponential decay of ground state solutions in the case $s = 1$.

Moreover, we have the following multiplicity result.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that (1.2) holds. Then ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) admits infinitely many radial solutions with diverging norm and diverging energy levels. If in addition $N = 4$ or $N \geq 6$, then ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) admits infinitely many nonradial solutions with diverging norm and diverging energy levels.*

Next, we have the following nonexistence result.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that either $p \leq 1 + \alpha/N$ or $p \geq (N + \alpha)/(N - 2s)$. Then ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) does not admit nontrivial solutions $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

As a consequence, the range of p detected in (1.2) is optimal for the existence of nontrivial solutions. The first complete study of Pohožaev identities and nonexistence results in star-shaped bounded domains for equations involving the fractional Laplacian and a local nonlinearity was done in [24, 25]. Then, more recently, for fractional equations set on the whole $\mathbb{R}^N$, in [7], the authors obtained a Pohožaev identity for power type nonlinearities. Theorem 1.3 is based upon Pohožaev identity (6.1) which is obtained, as in [7], by the localization procedure due to Caffarelli and Silvestre [5].

Next, we denote by $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the seminorm $\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} \cdot \|_2$, known as Gagliardo seminorm, and consider the problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u = (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{$\mathcal{P}_0$}$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *The following assertions hold:*

(1) Let $p \neq \frac{\alpha+N}{N-2s}$. Then ($\mathcal{P}_0$) does not admit nontrivial solutions $u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\frac{2pN}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(2) Let $p = \frac{\alpha+N}{N-2s} = 2$. Then the problem writes as

$$(-\Delta)^s u = (|x|^{-4s} * |u|^2)u, \quad u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \ \ N > 4s, \tag{1.7}$$

and any of its solutions of fixed sign have the form

$$C\Big(\frac{t}{t^2 + |x - x_0|^2}\Big)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.8}$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $C > 0$ and $t > 0$.

The classification of the solutions to problem (1.7) is reminiscent of that for the fixed-sign solutions to

$$(-\Delta)^s u = u^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In [8] the authors proved that any positive to this problem has the form of (1.8).

The plan of the paper is as follows.
In Section 2, we collect some preliminary notions and results.
In Section 3 we investigate the Hölder regularity and the asymptotic behaviour of weak solutions.
In Section 4 we prove the existence of least energy solutions (ground states) determining equivalent ways of characterizing them. Here we also get their symmetry and monotonicity properties and we investigate the Morse index of ground states in the particular ranges $2 \leq p < 1 + (2s + \alpha)/N$ and $s \geq 1/2$.
In Section 5 we get the existence of infinitely many solutions, symmetric under the action of some group.
In Section 6, we obtain a general Pohǒzaev identity and we prove Theorem 1.4.

In the paper, C will always denote a generic constant which may vary from line to line. Unless expressly specified, the integral are meant to be extended to $\mathbb{R}^N$.

2. Preliminaries

First of all, let us recall the following properties which follow from the fractional Sobolev embedding

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N), \qquad r \in [2, 2_s^*], \text{ where } 2_s^* := \frac{2N}{N - 2s},$$

the Hardy-Littlewood inequality and the fractional version of the Gagliardo-Niremberg inequality

$$\|u\|_q \leq C\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^\beta \|u\|_2^{(1-\beta)} \tag{2.1}$$

for $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$ and β satisfying $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\beta}{2_s^*} + \frac{1-\beta}{2}$. Notice that by [10, Proposition 3.6]),

$$\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^2 = \frac{C(N,s)}{2} \iint \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let p satisfy (1.2). We have that*

(i) $2Np/(N+\alpha) \in (2, 2_s^*)$ and for every $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p \le C\|u\|^{2p}_{2Np/(N+\alpha)}. \tag{2.3}$$

(ii) If

$$\frac{N(2p-1)}{N+\alpha} \le q < \frac{Np}{\alpha} \tag{2.4}$$

and $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then

$$(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{2p-1}{q} - \frac{\alpha}{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular (2.5) defines a function $r = r(q)$ which is strictly increasing and maps $[N(2p-1)/(N+\alpha), Np/\alpha)$ onto $[1, Np/(\alpha(p-1)))$.

(iii) For every $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p \le C\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^{2\beta p}\|u\|_2^{2(1-\beta)p}, \qquad \beta = \frac{Np-N-\alpha}{2sp}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Property (i) is trivial. In order to prove (2.5), let q be as in (2.4) and $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality we have that

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{t} = \frac{p}{q} - \frac{\alpha}{N}.$$

Since $q < Np/\alpha$, then $t > 0$. Moreover, since $p > 1$, then

$$\frac{Np}{N+\alpha} < \frac{N(2p-1)}{N+\alpha}$$

and so $t > 1$. Hence, since for $p > 1$,

$$\frac{Np}{\alpha} < \frac{N(2p-1)}{\alpha},$$

by using Hölder inequality we get (2.5). Finally (iii) easily follows from by (2.3) and (2.1). □

The next result is an adaptation of a classical lemma of Lions and it is crucial in the proofs of the existence theorems.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$. For every $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have that*

$$\|u\|_q^q \le C\left(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(x)} |u|^q\right)^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \|u\|^2.$$

Proof. If $q = 2$ it is obvious. Let now $q \in (2, 2_s^*]$. Since $r := N(q-2)/2s \le q$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, by [10, Theorem 6.7], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_1(x)} |u|^q &\le \left(\int_{B_1(x)} |u|^r\right)^{\frac{q}{r}\left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right)} \left(\int_{B_1(x)} |u|^{2_s^*}\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\
&\le C\left(\int_{B_1(x)} |u|^q\right)^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \|u\|^2_{H^s(B_1(x))} \\
&\le C\left(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(x)} |u|^q\right)^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \|u\|^2_{H^s(B_1(x))}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|u\|^2_{H^s(B_1(x))}$ is defined in [10, Equation (2.2)]. Hence, we cover $\mathbb{R}^N$ with balls of radius 1 in such a way that each point of $\mathbb{R}^N$ is contained in at most $N+1$ balls. This procedure works even if in the $H^s(B_1(x))$-norm there is a nonlocal term (the Gagliardo seminorm) and so we conclude. □

With the same procedure of Lemma 2.2, one proves that, for all $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \le q < 2^*_s$, and $\sigma > 0$,

$$\|u\|_t^t \le C \left(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_\sigma(x)} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{\beta t}{q}} \|u\|^2$$

where $t = q + 2(2^*_s - q)/2^*_s$ and $\beta = q(2^*_s - 2)/[q(2^*_s - 2) + 2 \cdot 2^*_s]$ and so one obtains

Lemma 2.3. *If $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and for some $\sigma > 0$ and $2 \le q < 2^*_s$ we have*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_\sigma(x)} |u_n|^q \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

then $u_n \to 0$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < r < 2^*_s$.

3. Regularity and asymptotics

In this section we want to show that any $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$-solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ is indeed regular as well as the asymptotic profile. Let us recall the definition of the fractional Sobolev spaces for $q \ge 1$ and $\beta \ge 0$:

$$\mathcal{W}^{\beta,q} = \{u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) | \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1+|\xi|^\beta)\mathcal{F}u] \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)\} \tag{3.1}$$

(see [27] for more details) and the following results [11, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 3.1. *We have:*

(i) If $\beta \ge 0$ and either $1 < r \le q \le r^*_\beta := Nr/(N-\beta r) < +\infty$ or $r = 1$ and $1 \le q < N/(N-\beta)$, we have that $\mathcal{W}^{\beta,r}$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(ii) Assume that $0 \le \beta \le 2$ and $\beta > N/r$. If $\beta - N/r < 1$ and $0 < \mu \le \beta - N/r$ then $\mathcal{W}^{\beta,r}$ is continuously embedded in $C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $\beta - N/r > 1$ and $0 < \mu \le \beta - N/r - 1$ then $\mathcal{W}^{\beta,r}$ is continuously embedded in $C^{1,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We prove the following

Theorem 3.2. *Let u be a solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$. If $s \le 1/2$, then $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\mu \in (0, 2s)$. If $s > 1/2$, then $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\mu \in (0, 2s-1)$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$. Then for every $q \ge 1$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{q} > \frac{\alpha}{N}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{2s}{N}$$

we have that $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, for every $r > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{r} > \frac{\alpha}{N}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

we have that $u \in \mathcal{W}^{2s,r}$.

Proof. Let us consider $q_0 = 2Np/(N+\alpha)$. Since $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Sobolev embeddings we have that $u \in L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover by (ii) of Lemma 2.1 we have that $(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \in L^{r_0}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $1/r_0 = (2p-1)/q_0 - \alpha/N$. Thus, since the Bessel operator preserves the Lebesgue spaces (see [27]) and by (3.1) we have that $u \in \mathcal{W}^{2s,r_0}$. Then, by Sobolev embedding in (i) of Theorem 3.1, $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $q \in [r_0, (r_0)^*_{2s}]$, i.e. for every q such that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{N}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{2s}{N} <\right) \frac{1}{r_0} - \frac{2s}{N} \le \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{1}{r_0} (< 1).$$

Hence let us define

$$q_1 := \max\left\{r_0, \frac{N(2p-1)}{N+\alpha}\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad q^1 := \min\left\{(r_0)^*_{2s}, \frac{Np}{\alpha}\right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $q_0 \in [q_1, q^1[$. Moreover, since for every $q \in [q_1, q^1[$ we have $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and so $u \in \mathcal{W}^{2s,r}$ for every $r \in [r(q_1), r(q^1)[$, where the map $r = r(q)$ has been defined in (ii) of Lemma 2.1. Hence by Sobolev embeddings and again by (ii) of Lemma 2.1, $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $q \in [r(q_1), (r(q^1))^*_{2s}[$. If $r(q_1) = 1$, namely $q_1 = N(2p-1)/(N+\alpha)$, we stop here from the left hand side of the interval of q's. Analogously, if $1/(r(q^1))^*_{2s} = \alpha/N(1-1/p) - 2s/N$, namely $q^1 = Np/\alpha$ we stop here from the right hand side of the interval of q's. Otherwise we iterate the procedure. We take

$$q_i := \max\left\{r(q_{i-1}), \frac{N(2p-1)}{N+\alpha}\right\} = \max\left\{r(r(q_{i-2})), \frac{N(2p-1)}{N+\alpha}\right\}$$

and

$$q^i := \min\left\{(r(q^{i-1}))^*_{2s}, \frac{Np}{\alpha}\right\} = \min\left\{(r((r(q^{i-2}))^*_{2s}))^*_{2s}, \frac{Np}{\alpha}\right\}.$$

We have that

$$q_{i+1} < q_i < \ldots < q_0 < \ldots < q^i < q^{i+1}.$$

Indeed, by induction, if we assume that $q_i < q_{i-1}$ then

$$\frac{1}{q_i} = \frac{1}{r(q_{i-1})} < \frac{1}{r(q_i)} = \frac{1}{q_{i+1}}$$

and, analogously, if $q^{i-1} < q^i$ then

$$\frac{1}{q^{i+1}} = \frac{1}{r(q^i)} - \frac{2s}{N} < \frac{1}{r(q^{i-1})} - \frac{2s}{N} = \frac{1}{q^i}.$$

We can conclude this procedure after a finite number of steps; indeed,

$$\frac{1}{q_i} = (2p-1)^i\left(\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2N(p-1)}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{2N(p-1)} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2N(p-1)} > 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{q^i} = (2p-1)^i\left(\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{\alpha+2s}{2N(p-1)}\right) + \frac{\alpha+2s}{2N(p-1)} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{\alpha}{2N(p-1)} < 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *For every $r > 1$, the solution u of ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) is in $\mathcal{W}^{2s,r}$.*

Proof. Let r_0 be such that $1/r_0 = \alpha(1-1/p)/N$. By Lemma 3.3 we have that $u \in \mathcal{W}^{2s,r}$ for every $r \in (1, r_0)$. Then by Sobolev embeddings, $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $q \in [1, (r_0)^*_{2s})$. Hence, since $p < (N+\alpha)/(N-2s)$, then

$$\frac{1}{(r_0)^*_{2s}} = \frac{\alpha}{N}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{2s}{N} < \frac{\alpha}{N}\left(1 - \frac{N-2s}{N+\alpha}\right) - \frac{2s}{N} < \frac{\alpha}{N}\frac{N-2s}{N+\alpha} < \frac{\alpha}{Np}.$$

Thus by $\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and so $(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $r \in (\max\{1/(p-1), 1\}, (r_0)^*_{2s}/(p-1))$. Thus $u \in \mathcal{W}^{2s,r}$ for every $r \in (\max\{1/(p-1), 1\}, (r_0)^*_{2s}/(p-1))$ and so for every $r \in (1, (r_0)^*_{2s}/(p-1))$. If $r_0 \geq N/(2s)$ we conclude. Otherwise we take $r_1 := (r_0)^*_{2s}/(p-1)$ and we iterate the procedure. If $p < 2$, then $r_1 > r_0$ and the procedure stops in a finite number of steps since

$$\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{p-1}{(r_{i-1})^*_{2s}} = \frac{(p-1)^i}{r_0} - \frac{2s(p-1)}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(p-1)^j = \frac{(p-1)^i}{r_0} - \frac{2s(p-1)(1-(p-1)^i)}{N(2-p)}.$$

If $p = 2$, then $r_1 > r_0$ and the procedure stops in a finite number of steps since

$$\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1}{(r_{i-1})^*_{2s}} = \frac{1}{r_0} - \frac{2si}{N}.$$

If $p > 2$, then, since

$$\frac{1}{r_i} < \frac{2s(p-1)}{N(p-2)},$$

we have that

$$\frac{1}{r_{i+1}} = (p-1)\left(\frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{2s}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{r_i} + \frac{p-2}{r_i} - \frac{2s(p-1)}{N} < \frac{1}{r_i}$$

and the procedure stops in a finite number of steps since

$$\frac{1}{r_i} = (p-1)^i\left(\frac{1}{r_0} - \frac{2s(p-1)}{N(p-2)}\right) + \frac{2s(p-1)}{N(p-2)}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The conclusions follow from Lemma 3.3 and combining Lemma 3.4 and (ii) of Theorem 3.1. □

The proof of the regularity in Theorem 1.1 is thereby completed.
We note also the following result on the summability property of the fixed sign solutions which we will need in studying the Morse index. In this context we need the functional to be C^2, and this is achieved for $p \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $s > 1/2$ and $p \geq 2$. If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) with $|u| > 0$, then $u \in H^{2s+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In particular $\nabla u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Before to proceed with the proof, we show the following general fact.

Lemma 3.6. *Let u be a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. Let $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be the unit ball centered in 0 and write $\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \mathbf{1}_{B_1}\mathcal{K}_\alpha + \mathbf{1}_{B_1^c}\mathcal{K}_\alpha$, with

$$\mathbf{1}_{B_1}\mathcal{K}_\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for every } r \in [1, N/(N-\alpha))$$
$$\mathbf{1}_{B_1^c}\mathcal{K}_\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for every } r \in (N/(N-\alpha), +\infty].$$

Since $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it is possible to choose a small positive ε in such a way that $\mathbf{1}_{B_1}\mathcal{K}_\alpha \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|u|^p \in L^{1+1/\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and we conclude that

$$(\mathbf{1}_{B_1}\mathcal{K}_\alpha) * |u|^p \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.2}$$

Here $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity. Analogously, we can choose a small positive ε such that $|u|^p \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\mathbf{1}_{B_1^c}\mathcal{K}_\alpha \in L^{1+1/\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and we have

$$(\mathbf{1}_{B_1^c}\mathcal{K}_\alpha) * |u|^p \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.2) and (3.3) we conclude. □

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Let us assume $u > 0$. By Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, it is $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We will show that $\|(-\Delta)^{s+1/2}u\|_2 < \infty$. By Lemma 3.6 we know that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We observe now that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Indeed, consider $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\eta) \subset B_1(0)$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{1/2}(0)$. Then

- $\eta\mathcal{K}_\alpha \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N), u^p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with bounded first order derivatives;
- $(1-\eta)\mathcal{K}_\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with bounded derivatives, $u^p \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Hence, by the usual properties of the convolution, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p$ is C^1 with derivatives given by

$$\partial_i(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p) = \eta\mathcal{K}_\alpha * \partial_i u^p + ((1-\eta)\mathcal{K}_\alpha) * \partial_i u^p.$$

Now, since $u \in C^{1,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\eta\mathcal{K}_\alpha * \partial_i u^p = \eta\mathcal{K}_\alpha * (pu^{p-1}\partial_i u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) * L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$
$$((1-\eta)\mathcal{K}_\alpha) * \partial_i u^p = ((1-\eta)\mathcal{K}_\alpha) * (pu^{p-1}\partial_i u) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) * L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which prove that $\partial_i(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Set $v := (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p)u^{p-1}$; since $p \geq 2$ we have

$$\partial_i v = u^{p-1}\partial_i(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p) + (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p)\partial_i u^{p-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{3.4}$$

and then

$$\|(-\Delta)^{s+1/2}u\|_2 = \|(-\Delta)^s[(-\Delta)^s + \omega I]^{-1}(-\Delta)^{1/2}v\|_2 \leq C\|\nabla v\|_2 < \infty.$$

The proof is thereby complete. □

Remark 3.7. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.5, we have $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Indeed by Theorem 3.2 and (3.4) we know that $u \in C^{1,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\partial_i(-\omega u + (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p)u^{p-1}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus $\partial_i u$ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s \partial_i u = \partial_i(-\omega u + (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * u^p)u^{p-1})$$

and, by [26, Proposition 2.1.11], we conclude that $\partial_i u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We conclude this section by showing the asymptotic profile of the solutions of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$. For the sake of simplicity we set

$$V := -(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}.$$

We get the following

Theorem 3.8. *Let $p \geq 2$ and u be a solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$. Then there exist two positive constants C_1, C_2 such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,*

$$|u(x)| \leq C_1 \langle x \rangle^{-N-2s}, \quad \text{where } \langle x \rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$$

and

$$u(x) = -C_2\Big(\int Vu\Big)\frac{1}{|x|^{N+2s}} + o(|x|^{-N-2s}) \quad \text{for } |x| \to +\infty.$$

Proof. For a solution u of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$, we have

$$(-\Delta)^s u + Vu = -\omega u.$$

By Lemma 3.6 we have $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $V(x) \to 0$ for $|x| \to \infty$. Then, for every $\tau \in (0,1)$ there exists $R > 0$ such that $V(x) \geq -\tau$, whenever $|x| \geq R$. Then, we are in a position to apply [13, Lemma C.2] to obtain the conclusion. □

As it can be seen in [13, Lemma C.2], the constants C_1, C_2 depend on the solutions by their L^2-norm. The decay estimate in Theorem 1.1 is proved.

4. Ground states

Ground states solutions for $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ can be found minimizing E_0, defined in (1.5), on the sphere $\Sigma_\rho = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_2 = \rho\}$ with $\rho > 0$, or

$$S(u) := \frac{\|u\|^2}{\big(\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p\big)^{1/p}}$$

on $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2Np/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \setminus \{0\}$, or considering

$$W(u) := \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^{\frac{N(p-1)-\alpha}{sp}}(\omega\|u\|_2^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2s)p}{2sp}}}{\big(\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p\big)^{1/p}}.$$

Indeed, straightforward calculations show the following relationships between these three functionals

Proposition 4.1. *For every $p > 1$ and $u \in (H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2Np/(N+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$\max_{\tau>0} E_\omega(\tau u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}\right) S(u)^{p/(p-1)}.$$

Moreover let $u_\tau(\cdot) = u(\tau\cdot)$. We have that

(i) if p satisfies (1.2) then

$$\min_{\tau>0} S(u_\tau) = \frac{2sp}{N+\alpha-(N-2s)p}\left(\frac{N+\alpha-(N-2s)p}{Np-(N+\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{Np-(N+\alpha)}{2sp}} W(u);$$

(ii) if p satisfies (1.4) then

$$\min_{\tau>0} E_0(\tau^{N/2}u_\tau) = -\mathfrak{a}\left(\frac{(\omega\|u\|_2^2)^{N+\alpha-(N-2s)p}}{W(u)^{2sp}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(2s+\alpha)-N(p-1)}}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{a} = \frac{(\alpha+2s)-N(p-1)}{4sp}\left(\frac{N(p-1)-\alpha}{2sp}\right)^{\frac{N(p-1)-\alpha}{(\alpha+2s)-N(p-1)}};$$

(iii) if $p = 1+(2s+\alpha)/N$ then $E_0(\tau^{N/2}u_\tau) = \tau^{2s}E_0(u)$;

(iv) if $p > 1+(2s+\alpha)/N$ then

$$\lim_{\tau\to+\infty} E_0(\tau^{N/2}u_\tau) = -\infty$$

and

$$\max_{\tau>0} E_0(\tau^{N/2}u_\tau) = \mathfrak{b}\left(\frac{W(u)^{2sp}}{(\omega\|u\|_2^2)^{N+\alpha-(N-2s)p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N(p-1)-(2s+\alpha)}}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{b} = \frac{N(p-1)-(\alpha+2s)}{2[N(p-1)-\alpha]}\left(\frac{2sp}{N(p-1)-\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2s}{N(p-1)-(\alpha+2s)}}.$$

Hence, arguing as in [21, Proof of Proposition 2.2] and applying Lemma 2.2, we obtain

Theorem 4.2. *If p satisfyies (1.2), then S achieves the minimum on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}$.*

This proves the existence part of Theorem 1.1. Concerning the symmetry of these ground states, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a ground state of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$. Then u has fixed sign and there exist $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a monotone function $v : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with fixed sign such that $u(x) = v(|x-x_0|)$.*

Proof. Given a ground state u of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$, $u \neq 0$ and u is a solution of

$$S(u) = \inf_{\varphi\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\setminus\{0\}} S(\varphi).$$

Taking into account $\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}|u|\|_2 \le \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2$ also $|u|$ is a ground state. Then

$$(-\Delta)^s|u| + \omega|u| = (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-1}.$$

By arguing as in [11, end of Section 3], if $u(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then one obtains

$$\int \frac{|u(x_0+y)|+|u(x_0-y)|}{|x_0-y|^{N+2s}} = 0,$$

yielding $u = 0$, a contradiction. Whence $|u| > 0$ and u does not change sign. We shall assume $u > 0$. Given $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $v \ge 0$ and any half-space $H \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, the polarization v^H is defined as

$$v^H(x) = \begin{cases} \max\{v(x), v(\sigma_H(x))\} & \text{if } x \in H, \\ \min\{v(x), v(\sigma_H(x))\} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N\setminus H, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma^H(x)$ is the reflected of x with respect to ∂H. Then, $\|v^H\|_2^2 = \|v\|_2^2$ and, by (2.2) and [1, Theorem 2], $\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}v^H\|_2^2 \le \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}v\|_2^2$. In turn, since $S(u) \le S(u^H)$, we conclude that

$$\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p = \frac{\|u\|^{2p}}{[S(u)]^p} \ge \frac{\|u^H\|^{2p}}{[S(u^H)]^p} = \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u^H|^p)|u^H|^p.$$

Then, by combining [21, Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4], we conclude the proof. □

As we said in the Introduction, here we are particularly interested into the precompactness properties of the minimizing sequences of E_0 on Σ_ρ. In this case we have to assume that p satisfies (1.4). Indeed, if $p \ge 1+\frac{2s+\alpha}{N}$, using the same rescaling $\tau^{N/2}u_\tau$ as in Proposition 4.1, we deduce that E_0 is unbounded from below. In the following lemma we collect some basic facts.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\rho > 0$ be fixed. Then*

(i) E_0 is coercive and bounded from below on Σ_ρ;
(ii) $m_{\rho^2} := \inf_{u\in\Sigma_\rho} E_0(u) < 0$;
(iii) every minimizing sequence for E_0 in Σ_ρ is bounded and can be assumed non-negative, radially symmetric and decreasing.

Proof. Let $u \in \Sigma_\rho$. By (2.6) we have

$$E_0(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^2 - C\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2^{2\beta p}\rho^{2(1-\beta)p}.$$

Since p satisfies (1.4), then $0 < \beta p < 1$ we get (i). To show (ii), fix $u \in \Sigma_\rho$ and observe that the rescaling $\tau^{N/2}u_\tau$ preserves L^2-norm. We have that $E_0(\tau^{N/2}u_\tau)$ becomes negative for small τ. Finally, the statements in (iii) easily follow from the coercivity of E_0 and from the fact that $\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u^*\|_2 \leq \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2$, where u^* is the symmetric radial decreasing rearrangement of u (see [1, Theorem 3]). □

Hence we have the following compactness result.

Theorem 4.5. *For every $\rho > 0$, every minimizing sequence for E_0 in Σ_ρ is relatively compact in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ up to a translation. In particular E_0 has a minimum point on Σ_ρ, that can be assumed non-negative, radially symmetric and decreasing.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for E_0 on Σ_ρ satisfying $E_0'(u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. In view of Lemma 4.4 it is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and then there exists $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$. Now let $R > 0$. If it were

$$\lim_n \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} u_n^2 = 0,$$

then, by Lemma 2.3, we would have $u_n \to 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and then, by (i) of Lemma 2.1,

$$\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^p \to 0,$$

implying that $\lim_n E_0(u_n) \geq 0$, which is a contradiction with (ii) in Lemma 4.4. Then, possibly passing to a subsequence, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^2 \geq \delta.$$

We infer that there exists $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^2 \geq \delta.$$

Hence, defining $v_n = u_n(\cdot + y_n)$ and by the compact embedding of $H^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see e.g. [10, Corollary 7.2]) we get a bounded minimizing sequence whose weak limit v is nontrivial, $\|v\|_2 \leq \rho$,

$$\|v_n - v\|_2^2 + \|v\|_2^2 = \|v_n\|_2^2 + o_n(1), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}(v_n - v)\|_2^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}v\|_2^2 = \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}v_n\|_2^2 + o_n(1) \tag{4.2}$$

and, by [21, Lemma 2.4],

$$\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |v_n - v|^p)|v_n - v|^p + \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |v|^p)|v|^p = \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |v_n|^p)|v_n|^p + o_n(1). \tag{4.3}$$

Assume by contradiction that $\|v\|_2 = \mu < \rho$. Since, by (4.1),

$$a_n = \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \mu^2}}{\|v_n - v\|_2} \to 1$$

and, by (4.2) and (4.3),

$$E_0(v_n - v) + E_0(v) = m_{\rho^2} + o_n(1),$$

and then

$$E_0(a_n(v_n - v)) + E_0(v) = E_0(v_n - v) + E_0(v) + o_n(1) = m_{\rho^2} + o_n(1).$$

Then, since $\|a_n(v_n - v)\|_2^2 = \rho^2 - \mu^2$, we get

$$m_{\rho^2-\mu^2} + m_{\mu^2} \le m_\rho + o_n(1). \tag{4.4}$$

Now let us define for $\nu > 0$, $\Sigma_\rho^\nu = \left\{w \in \Sigma_\rho : \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |w|^p)|w|^p \ge \nu\right\}$. We show that there exists $\nu > 0$ such that

$$m_{\rho^2} = \inf_{w \in \Sigma_\rho^\nu} E_0(w). \tag{4.5}$$

Of course $m_{\rho^2} \le \inf_{u\in\Sigma_\rho^\nu} E_0(u)$. Assuming by contradiction that, for every $\nu > 0$, $m_{\rho^2} < \inf_{w\in\Sigma_\rho^\nu} E_0(w)$, we can find a minimizing sequence $\{w_n\}$ such that

$$E_0(w_n) \to m_{\rho^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |w_n|^p)|w_n|^p \to 0.$$

Thus

$$0 \le \frac{1}{2}\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} w_n\|_2^2 = E_0(w_n) + \frac{1}{p}\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |w_n|^p)|w_n|^p \to m_{\rho^2} < 0.$$

Then, by (4.5), we easily get $m_{\tau^2\rho^2} < \tau^2 m_{\rho^2}$ for every $\tau > 1$. Thus, for all $\mu \in (0, \rho)$

$$m_{\rho^2} < m_{\mu^2} + m_{\rho^2-\mu^2}$$

which is in contradiction with (4.4). Hence $v \in \Sigma_\rho$, $\|v_n - v\|_2 = o_n(1)$ and, by applying (2.1),

$$\|v_n - v\|_{2Np/(N+\alpha)} = o_n(1). \tag{4.6}$$

It remains to show that $\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}(v_n - v)\|_2 = o_n(1)$. Since $\{v_n\}$ is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence, there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$E_0'(v_n)[w] - \lambda_n \int v_n w = o_n(1) \quad \text{and} \quad E_0'(v_n)[v_n] - \lambda_n \|v_n\|_2^2 = o_n(1).$$

Then we obtain that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded and

$$(E_0'(v_n) - E_0'(v_m))[v_n - v_m] - \lambda_n \int v_n(v_n - v_m) + \lambda_m \int v_m(v_n - v_m) \to 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \to \infty.$$

Since, by Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and (4.6)

$$\left|\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |v_n|^p)|v_n|^{p-2} v_n(v_n - v_m)\right| \le C\|v_n\|_{2Np/(N+\alpha)}^{2p-1} \|v_n - v_m\|_{2Np/(N+\alpha)} \to 0$$

and

$$\lambda_n \int v_n(v_n - v_m) \to 0$$

as $m, n \to \infty$, we have that $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and we get that $\{v_n\}$ is relatively compact. The last statement of the Theorem is achieved by taking into account (iii) of Lemma 4.4. □

Finally, following step by step [9, Proof of Lemma 2.6], we get the following relations between the ground states (as minima of E_ω on $\mathcal{N}_\omega$) and the minima of E_0 on Σ_ρ.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $\rho > 0$, the minimization problems*

$$\min_{u\in\Sigma_\rho} E_0(u) \quad \textit{and} \quad \min_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\omega} E_\omega(u)$$

are equivalent. Moreover the L^2-norm ρ of any ground state u of $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$ satisfies

$$\rho^2 = \frac{N + \alpha - (N - 2s)p}{\omega s(p-1)} \min_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\omega} E_\omega(u)$$

and

$$\min_{u\in\Sigma_\rho} E_\omega(u) = \min_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\omega} E_\omega(u).$$

Proof. Let $\rho, \omega > 0$,

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\Sigma_\rho} = \left\{ m \in \mathbb{R}_- : \exists u \in \Sigma_\rho \text{ s.t. } E_0'|_{\Sigma_\rho}(u) = 0 \text{ and } E_0(u) = m \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{K}_{\mathcal{N}_\omega} = \{ c \in \mathbb{R} : \exists u \in \mathcal{N}_\omega \text{ s.t. } E_\omega'(u) = 0 \text{ and } E_\omega(u) = c \}$$

where, for all $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$E_\omega'(u)[v] = \int (-\Delta)^{s/2} u \, (-\Delta)^{s/2} v + \omega \int uv - \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2} uv.$$

First of all we observe that, by Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.5, $\mathfrak{K}_{\Sigma_\nu}$ is well defined.
Let now $u \in \Sigma_\rho$ such that $E_0'|_{\Sigma_\rho}(u) = 0$ and $E_0(u) = m$ with $m < 0$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(-\Delta)^s u - (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2} u = -\lambda u \tag{4.7}$$

and so

$$\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u\|_2^2 - \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p = -\lambda \rho^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Then, since $E_0(u) = m$, by (4.8) we get

$$\frac{p-1}{2p} \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u\|_2^2 = m + \frac{\lambda \rho^2}{2p} \tag{4.9}$$

and so $\lambda > 0$. Now let

$$w(x) := \tau^{\frac{\alpha+2s}{2(p-1)}} u(\tau x) \quad \text{with } \tau = \left(\frac{\omega}{\lambda} \right)^{1/(2s)}.$$

We have that w solves

$$(-\Delta)^s w + \omega w - (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |w|^p)|w|^{p-2} w = 0$$

and so $w \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$, $E_\omega'(w) = 0$ and $c = E_\omega(w) \in \mathfrak{K}_{\mathcal{N}_\omega}$.
Viceversa, if $w \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$ such that $E_\omega'(w) = 0$ and $c = E_\omega(w)$, we consider

$$u(x) := \tau^{\frac{\alpha+2s}{2(p-1)}} w(\tau x) \quad \text{with } \tau = \left(\frac{\rho}{\|w\|_2} \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{\alpha+2s-N(p-1)}}.$$

We have that $u \in \Sigma_\rho$, (4.7) holds for

$$\lambda = \omega \tau^{2s} = \omega \left(\frac{\rho}{\|w\|_2} \right)^{\frac{4s(p-1)}{\alpha+2s-N(p-1)}}$$

and

$$m = \tau^{\frac{\alpha+2sp-N(p-1)}{p-1}} \left(c - \frac{\omega}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \right) = \left(\frac{\rho}{\|w\|_2} \right)^{\frac{2(\alpha+2sp-N(p-1))}{\alpha+2s-N(p-1)}} \left(c - \frac{\omega}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \right). \tag{4.10}$$

By Pohozaev identity (6.1) and since $w \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$ and $E_\omega(w) = c$ we get the system

$$\begin{cases} (N-2s)\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} w\|_2^2 + \omega N \|w\|_2^2 - \dfrac{\alpha+N}{p} \displaystyle\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |w|^p)|w|^p = 0 \\ \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} w\|_2^2 + \omega \|w\|_2^2 - \displaystyle\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |w|^p)|w|^p = 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{2}\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} w\|_2^2 + \dfrac{\omega}{2}\|w\|_2^2 - \dfrac{1}{2p} \displaystyle\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |w|^p)|w|^p = c \end{cases}$$

from which

$$\|w\|_2^2 = \frac{N + \alpha - (N-2s)p}{\omega s(p-1)} c.$$

Thus (4.10) becomes

$$m = -\frac{\alpha + 2s - N(p-1)}{2} \left(\frac{\omega \rho^2}{N + \alpha - (N-2s)p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha+2sp-N(p-1)}{\alpha+2s-N(p-1)}} \left(\frac{s(p-1)}{c} \right)^{\frac{2s(p-1)}{\alpha+2s-N(p-1)}} \tag{4.11}$$

and, for

$$\rho^2 = \frac{N+\alpha-(N-2s)p}{\omega s(p-1)}c,$$

(4.11) implies

$$m + \frac{\omega}{2}\rho^2 = c.$$

Hence the conclusions easily follow. □

Finally we study the Morse index of the ground state. In the last part of this Section we assume $2 \le p < 1 + (2s+\alpha)/N$ to have that the functional E_ω is C^2 and $s > 1/2$. If u is the minimum of E_0 on Σ_ρ we have

$$\int |(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2 - \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p = -\lambda\rho^2 \tag{4.12}$$

with $\lambda > 0$ (by (4.9)). Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} E''_\lambda(u)[\xi,\eta] = &\int (-\Delta)^{s/2}\xi(-\Delta)^{s/2}\eta + \lambda\int \xi\eta \\ &- p\int \left(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^{p-2}u\eta\right)|u|^{p-2}u\xi - (p-1)\int \left(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p\right)|u|^{p-2}\xi\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

To obtain information on the Morse index, we need to study $\ker E''_\lambda(u)$.
Since the problem is invariant for the group of translations, the solutions of ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) will never be isolated: in other words $\ker E''_\lambda(u) \neq \{0\}$ and in particular

$$\operatorname{span}\{\nabla u\} \subset \ker E''_\lambda(u). \tag{4.14}$$

Indeed, for every $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$, consider the action of the group of the translations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ induced on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is

$$\mathfrak{t}_a : u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \longmapsto u(\cdot + a) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

which is linear and isometric. Since $E_\lambda \circ \mathfrak{t}_a = E_\lambda$, we have $E'_\lambda(\mathfrak{t}_a u)[v] = E'_\lambda(u)[\mathfrak{t}_{-a}v]$, for every $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For every $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ it is also convenient to introduce the following map

$$\mathfrak{s}_u : a \in \mathbb{R}^N \longmapsto u(\cdot + a) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Of course, for a generic fixed $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the map $\mathfrak{s}_u$ does not need to be differentiable but (for example) whenever $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) as in Proposition 3.5 it does, and the differential in 0 given by

$$\mathfrak{s}'_u(0)[b] = \nabla u \cdot b \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \qquad \text{for all } b \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence, in this case, by differentiating in 0 the map

$$a \in \mathbb{R}^N \longmapsto E'_\lambda(\mathfrak{s}_u(a)) \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

we get $E''_\lambda(\mathfrak{s}_u(0))[\mathfrak{s}'_u(0)[b], \cdot] = 0$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and this gives (4.14).

It would be interesting to understand if the ground state is nondegenerate in the sense that

$$\operatorname{span}\{\nabla u\} = \ker E''_\lambda(u).$$

We define the Morse index $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathrm{Morse}}(u)$ as the maximal dimension of subspaces of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ on which $E''_\lambda(u)$ is negative definite. We have the following result which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $u \in \Sigma_\rho$ be a ground state and $T_u\Sigma_\rho = \{w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int uw = 0\}$. Then*

(i) $E''_\lambda(u)$ *is positive semidefinite on* $T_u\Sigma_\rho$,
(ii) $\inf_{w \in T_u\Sigma_\rho} E''_\lambda(u)[w,w] = 0$.
(iii) $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathrm{Morse}}(u) = 1$.

Proof. Let v any element of $T_u\Sigma_\rho$ and $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \Sigma_\rho$ a smooth curve such that $\gamma(0) = u$ and $\gamma'(0) = v$. Since u is the minimum of E_0 on Σ_ρ, it is

$$\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} E_0(\gamma(\tau))\Big|_{\tau=0} \geq 0$$

which explicitly reads as

$$0 \leq E_0''(u)[v,v] + E_0'(u)[\gamma''(0)] = E_0''(u)[v,v] - \lambda \int u\gamma''(0). \tag{4.15}$$

Of course, $0 = \frac{d}{d\tau}\int |\gamma(\tau)|^2 = 2\int \gamma(\tau)\gamma'(\tau)$ implies

$$\int |v|^2 + \int u\gamma''(0) = 0,$$

which, plugged into (4.15) gives (i). Property (ii) follows by Proposition 3.5 and the translation invariance of Σ_ρ: indeed $\partial_{x_i} u \in T_u\Sigma_\rho$ and we know $E_\lambda''(u)[\partial_{x_i} u, \partial_{x_i} u] = 0$. Finally, to prove (iii), note that by (4.13) and (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda''(u)[u,u] &= \int |(-\Delta)^{s/2} u| + \lambda\rho^2 + (1-2p)\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p \\ &= 2(1-p)\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows from (i) and the direct sum decomposition (see [2] for the general setting): $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = T_u\Sigma_\rho \oplus \mathrm{span}\{u\}$. □

5. Multiplicity

We begin with some geometric properties of the functional E_ω in (1.3). The assumption (1.2) will be tacitly assumed in the whole section.

Proposition 5.1. *The functional E_ω satisfies the following geometric assumptions of the Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem:*

(i) it is even, that is $E_\omega(u) = E_\omega(-u)$,
(ii) it has has a strict local minimum in 0 with $E_\omega(0) = 0$,
(iii) there exist a nested sequence $\{V_k\}$ of finite dimensional subspaces of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\{R_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $E_\omega(u) \leq 0$ for every $u \in V_k$ with $\|u\| \geq R_k$.

Proof. Property (i) is immediate. By (2.3) it holds

$$E_\omega(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - C\|u\|^{2p}$$

getting (ii). Finally, if $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$ is an orthogonal basis of a $k-$dimensional subspace V_k of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then, writing $u = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i e_i$, it is $E_\omega(u) \to -\infty$ for $\|u\| \to \infty$, proving (iii). □

To ensure existence of critical points of E_ω, a compactness condition is necessary. To this aim some preliminaries are in order.

Firstly, let $\ell > 1$, $N_i \geq 2, i = 1, ..., \ell$, or $\ell = 1$ and $N \geq 3$, and $N = \sum_{i=1}^\ell N_i$. A point in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is now denoted with $x = (x_1, ..., x_\ell)$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i}$. Let $\mathscr{O}(N_i)$ be the orthogonal group on $\mathbb{R}^{N_i}$ and consider the product group

$$G := \mathscr{O}(N_1) \times \cdots \times \mathscr{O}(N_\ell)$$

acting on $\mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$g \cdot x = (g_1 x_1, ..., g_\ell x_\ell), \quad g = (g_1, ..., g_\ell) \in G$$

and whose representation in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is given by the linear and isometric action

$$(T_g u)(x) = u(g^{-1} \cdot x). \tag{5.1}$$

Set

$$X := \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : T_g u = u \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

In particular for $\ell = 1$ we have the radial functions, $u(x) = u(|x|)$. In this we say that the functions in X are "symmetric". Then X is exactly the closed and infinite dimensional subspace of fixed points for the action (5.1). The importance of this setting is twofold. Indeed the functional E_ω is $G-$invariant, i.e. for every $g \in G$, $E_\omega \circ T_g = E_\omega$ and the space X has compact embedding into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), q \in (2, 2_s^*)$, see [16].

Secondly, for every fixed $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\varphi = \gamma(\alpha)|u|^p, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma(\alpha) := \frac{\pi^{N/2}2^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha/2)}{\Gamma(N/2-\alpha/2)}, \\ \varphi \in \dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

(where Γ is the gamma function) whose weak formulation is the following one: we say that $\varphi \in \dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution if for every $\xi \in \dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int (-\Delta)^{\alpha/4}\varphi(-\Delta)^{\alpha/4}\xi = \gamma(\alpha)\int \xi|u|^p. \tag{5.3}$$

Recall that for every $\alpha \in (0, N), (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u$ is defined via the Fourier transform and $\dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the associated Gagliardo seminorm (these notions coincide with that given in the Introduction for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$). Observe now that, under the assumption on p, the right hand side in (5.3) defines the map

$$L : v \in \dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mapsto \int v|u|^p \in \mathbb{R}$$

which is linear and continuous; indeed

$$|Lv| \le C\|u\|^p_{2Np/(N+\alpha)}\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha/2}} \le C\|u\|^p\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha/2}}.$$

By the Riesz Representation Theorem there exists a unique weak solution φ of (5.2), represented as a convolution with the kernel $\mathcal{K}_\alpha/\gamma(\alpha)$, i.e. $\varphi = \mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p$ and

$$\|\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha/2}} = \|L\| \le C\|u\|^p.$$

As a consequence of the above setting we can prove the following result, which will help us to recover compactness.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\{u_n\}, u \in X$ be such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then*

(i) $\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p \to \mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p$ *in* $\dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$*;*
(ii) $\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^p \to \int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p$*;*
(iii) $\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^{p-2}u_n u \to \int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p$*.*

Proof. Define the linear and continuous maps $L_n, L : \dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$L_n v = \int v|u_n|^p, \quad Lv = \int v|u|^p \qquad v \in \dot{H}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

By the compact embedding we may assume

$$\|u_n - u\|_q \to 0, \quad \text{for all } q \in (2, 2_s^*).$$

Then, denoting with $(2^*_{\alpha/2})'$ the conjugate exponent of $2^*_{\alpha/2}$,

$$|L_n v - Lv| \le \int |v||u_n|^p - |u|^p| \le \|v\|_{2^*_{\alpha/2}}\||u_n|^p - |u|^p\|_{(2^*_{\alpha/2})'} \le \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha/2}}\varepsilon_n \to 0,$$

which proves the convergence of L_n to L in the operator norm, yielding (i).

We now observe that

$$|\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p| \le \xi \in L^{2^*_{\alpha/2}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad |u_n|^p \le \mu \in L^{(2^*_{\alpha/2})'}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad |u_n|^{p-1} \le \eta \in L^{\frac{2Np}{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Hence by Young Inequality we have

$$(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^p \le \frac{1}{2^*_{\alpha/2}}\xi^{2^*_{\alpha/2}} + \frac{1}{(2^*_{\alpha/2})'}\mu^{(2^*_{\alpha/2})'} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

as well as

$$(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^{p-1}|u| \le \frac{1}{2^*_{\alpha/2}}\xi^{2^*_{\alpha/2}} + \frac{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}{2Np}\eta^{\frac{2Np}{(N+\alpha)(p-1)}} + \frac{N+\alpha}{2Np}|u|^{\frac{2Np}{N+\alpha}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The Dominated Convergence Theorem allows to obtain (ii) and (iii). □

Theorem 5.3. *The functional E_ω satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in X.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset X$ be a Palais-Smale sequence, that is,

$$|E_\omega(u_n)| \le M\,, \quad E'_\omega(u_n) \to 0 \ \text{ in } \ H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then we deduce in a standard way the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, there exists $u \in X$ such that, up to subsequences, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Lemma 5.2 we have the convergences

$$0 \longleftarrow E'_\omega(u_n)[u] = (u_n, u) - \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^{p-2}u_n u \longrightarrow \|u\|^2 - \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p,$$

$$E'_\omega(u_n)[u_n] = \|u_n\|^2 - \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^p \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|^p)|u_n|^p \longrightarrow \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p,$$

from which we deduce that $\|u_n\| \to \|u\|$. This gives the desired conclusion. □

It follows

Theorem 5.4. *The functional E_ω possesses infinitely many critical points $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that $E_\omega(u_n) \to \infty$, and $\|u_n\| \to \infty$. In paticular, problem ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) has infinitely many solutions in X.*

Proof. All the hypotheses (geometry and compactness) of the Symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem on the space X are satisfied, so that the existence of infinitely many critical points $\{u_n\} \subset X$ with $E_\omega(u_n) \to \infty$ is guaranteed. Then, since $\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u_n|)|u_n|^p \le C\|u_n\|^{2p}$, it has to be $\|u_n\| \to \infty$. By the Palais Principle of Symmetric Criticality, the constrained critical points $\{u_n\} \subset X$ for E_ω are indeed "true" critical points and hence solutions of ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$). □

Observe that Proposition 5.1 holds also in the limit cases $p = 1 + \alpha/N$ and $p = (N+\alpha)/(N-2s)$. Due to the nonexistence result (see Section 6), we see that the Palais-Smale condition cannot be satisfied for these values.

To obtain nonradial solutions we need a slight modification in the above setting, as introduced in [3]. Let $N = 4$ or $N \ge 6$ and choose an integer $m \ne (N-1)/2$ such that $2 \le m \le N/2$. Let us define

$$G := \mathscr{O}(m) \times \mathscr{O}(m) \times \mathscr{O}(N-2m)$$

whose induced action on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is as usual

$$(T_g u)(x) = u(g_1^{-1}x_1, g_2^{-1}x_2, g_3^{-1}x_3), \quad g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in G, \tag{5.4}$$

where, now $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^m \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N-2m}$. We know that X, associated to the action (5.4), has compact embedding into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), q \in (2, 2^*_s)$. Consider the involution in $\mathbb{R}^N$

$$\tau \cdot x = (x_2, x_1, x_3)$$

and the action

$$(\mathcal{I}u)(x) = u(x)\,, \qquad (\mathcal{T}u)(x) = -u(\tau^{-1} \cdot x)$$

induced by $H = \{\iota_H, \tau\}$ on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Define also the group

$$K := G \rtimes_\psi H \subset \mathscr{O}(N)$$

via the group homomorphism $\psi : H \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ given by

$$\psi(\iota_H)g = g, \quad \psi(\tau)g = g^{-1}, \quad g \in G.$$

Moreover, if

$$\pi : K \to \{+1, -1\} \ \text{ such that } \ \pi(g, \iota_H) = 1, \ \ \pi(g, \tau) = -1$$

denotes the canonical epimorphism, we define the action of K on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$(T_k u)(x) := \pi(k)u(k^{-1}\cdot x)\,,\ k\in K.$$

Of course, this action is linear and isometric and in particular if, $k=(g,\iota_H)$ then $(T_k u)(x)=u(g^{-1}\cdot x)$, if, $k=(\iota_G,\tau)$ then $(T_k u)(x)=-u(\tau^{-1}\cdot x)$. Set

$$Y := \{u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : T_k u = u \text{ for all } k\in K\}$$

and note that the unique radial function in Y is $u\equiv 0$. Since E_ω is $K-$invariant and $Y\subset X$ is closed and infinite dimensional, we can argue as before obtaining the following multiplicity result.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume $N=4$ or $N\geq 6$. The functional E_ω possesses infinitely many critical points $\{u_n\}\subset Y$ such that $E_\omega(u_n)\to\infty$ and $\|u_n\|\to\infty$. In particular, problem ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) has infinitely many solutions in Y.*

Hence the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

6. Nonexistence

As known, in order to formally deduce a Pohožaev identity, one can compute

$$\frac{d}{d\vartheta}J(\gamma_u(\vartheta))\Big|_{\vartheta=1}=0,$$

where $\gamma_u(\vartheta):=u(\vartheta x)$ and u is a solution to problem ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$). We find

$$(N-2s)\int|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2+\omega N\int|u|^2=\frac{\alpha+N}{p}\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha*|u|^p)|u|^p. \tag{6.1}$$

We shall rigorously justify this identity. We follow the localization argument developed in [7] by defining the space $X^s(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ for the norm

$$\|w\|_{X^s(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)}:=\Big(\varpi_s^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+}y^{1-2s}|\nabla w|^2dxdy\Big)^{1/2},\qquad \varpi_s:=2^{1-2s}\frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)}.$$

For a given $u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the solution $w\in X^s(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ of the minimization problem

$$\min\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+}y^{1-2s}|\nabla w|^2dxdy : w(x,0)=u(x)\ \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N\Big\}$$

is the solution to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}-\mathrm{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)=0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+\\ w(x,0)=u(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N,\end{cases}$$

and it is usully called the s-harmonic extension of w, and

$$\|w\|_{X^s(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)}=\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_2.$$

As known, the fractional Laplacian can be defined as the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x)=-\frac{1}{\varpi_s}\lim_{y\to 0^+}y^{1-2s}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y),\qquad u\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Therefore, our nonlocal equation ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$) can be restated into a local form as

$$\begin{cases}-\mathrm{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)=0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+\\ \partial^s_\nu w(x,0)=-\omega u+(\mathcal{K}_\alpha*|u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N,\end{cases}$$

where we have set

$$\partial^s_\nu w(x,0):=-\frac{1}{\varpi_s}\lim_{y\to 0^+}y^{1-2s}\partial_y w(x,y).$$

Without loss of generality, we shall set $\varpi_s=1$. Of course, if w is a weak solution to this problem, then $u(x)=w(x,0)$ is a weak solution to ($\mathcal{P}_\omega$).

We have the following result.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\frac{2Np}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to $(\mathcal{P}_\omega)$. Then (6.1) holds.*

Taking into account Remark 3.7, it is not restrictive to assume $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Since $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have $w \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$. Set $\mathbb{D} = \{z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, +\infty) : |z| \le 1\}$ and consider a cut-off function $\varphi \in C^1_c(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, +\infty))$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on $\mathbb{D}$, and $\varphi_R(x, y) := \varphi(x/R, y/R)$. A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)[\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w)] &= \operatorname{div}[(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w)] - y^{1-2s}\nabla w\cdot\nabla[\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w)] \\
&= \operatorname{div}[(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w)] - y^{1-2s}(\nabla\varphi_R\cdot\nabla w)(z\cdot\nabla w) \\
&\quad - y^{1-2s}\varphi_R|\nabla w|^2 - \frac{1}{2}y^{1-2s}\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla(|\nabla w|^2)) \\
&= \operatorname{div}\left[(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w) - \frac{1}{2}y^{1-2s}\varphi_R z|\nabla w|^2\right] \\
&\quad - y^{1-2s}(\nabla\varphi_R\cdot\nabla w)(z\cdot\nabla w) + \frac{N-2s}{2}y^{1-2s}\varphi_R|\nabla w|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}y^{1-2s}(z\cdot\nabla\varphi_R)|\nabla w|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and, integrating on $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+}\operatorname{div}[(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w)] &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\times[\varepsilon,+\infty[}\operatorname{div}[(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w)] \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{\partial(\mathbb{R}^N\times[\varepsilon,+\infty[)}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w)\cdot\nu\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w) \\
&= -\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{\partial(\mathbb{R}^N\times[\varepsilon,+\infty[)}(y^{1-2s}\partial_y w)\varphi_R(z\cdot\nabla w) \\
&= \int(-\omega u + (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u)\varphi_R(x,0)(x\cdot\nabla u)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nu(x) = (0, \cdots, 0, -1)$. Now following [21, Proof of Proposition 3.1] we get

$$\omega\int u\varphi_R(x,0)(x\cdot\nabla u) \to -\frac{\omega N}{2}\|u\|_2^2 \text{ as } R\to+\infty$$

and

$$\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u)\varphi_R(x,0)(x\cdot\nabla u) \to -\frac{N+\alpha}{2p}\int(\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p \text{ as } R\to+\infty.$$

Moreover by the Dominated Convergence Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+}\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\varphi_R z|\nabla w|^2) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\times[\varepsilon,+\infty[}\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\varphi_R z|\nabla w|^2) \\
&= -\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\varepsilon^{2-2s}\int_{\partial(\mathbb{R}^N\times[\varepsilon,+\infty[)}\varphi_R|\nabla w|^2 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2s}(\nabla\varphi_R\cdot\nabla w)(z\cdot\nabla w) \to 0 \text{ as } R\to+\infty,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2s}(z\cdot\nabla\varphi_R)|\nabla w|^2 \to 0 \text{ as } R\to+\infty,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2s}\varphi_R|\nabla w|^2 \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2s}|\nabla w|^2 \text{ as } R\to+\infty$$

which concludes the proof. □

By combining the Pohozaev Identity (6.1) with

$$\int |(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2 + \omega \int |u|^2 = \int (\mathcal{K}_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^p$$

we get

$$\Big(N - 2s - \frac{\alpha + N}{p}\Big) \int |(-\Delta)^{s/2}u|^2 + \omega\Big(N - \frac{\alpha + N}{p}\Big) \int |u|^2 = 0.$$

Now, since $\omega > 0$, if both the coefficients are positive, that is $p \geq \alpha + N/(N - 2s)$, the unique solution is the trivial one. Analogously, if they are are negative, that is $p \leq 1 + \alpha/N$, nontrivial solutions cannot exist. Thus we conclude the proof Theorem 6.1.

Finally the first statement of Theorem 1.4 follows by Pohožaev identity (6.1), while the second assertion follows by [20, Proposition A.1 and (3) of Remark A.2].

References

[1] A. Baernstein, A unified approach to symmetrization, Partial differential equations of elliptic type (Cortona, 1992), Sympos. Math., XXXV, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994. 9, 10

[2] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar fields equations, II. Existence of infinitely many solutions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **82** (1983), 347–375. 14

[3] T. Bartsch, M. Willem, Infinitely many non-radial solutions of an Euclidean scalar field equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **117** (1993), 447–460. 16

[4] C. Bonanno, P. d'Avenia, M. Ghimenti, M. Squassina, Soliton dynamics for the generalized Choquard equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **417** (2014), 180–199. 2

[5] L.A. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32** (2007), 1245–1260. 3

[6] T. Cazenave, An introduction to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Textos de Métodos Matemáticos **26**, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro 1996. 2

[7] X. Chang, Z-Q. Wang, Ground state of scalar field equations involving a fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity, *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), 479–494. 3, 17

[8] W. Chen, C. Li, B. Ou, Classification of solutions for an integral equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **59** (2006), 330–343. 3

[9] S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, M. Squassina, Semi-classical limit for Schrödinger equations with magnetic field and Hartree-type nonlinearities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **140** (2010), 973–1009. 11

[10] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136** (2012), 512–573. 4, 5, 10

[11] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, J. Tan, Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schr$\ddot{\text{o}}$dinger equation with the fractional Laplacian, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **142** (2012), 1237–1262. 5, 9

[12] R. Frank, E. Lenzmann, On ground states for the L^2-critical boson star equation, arXiv:0910.2721. 2

[13] R.L. Frank, E. Lenzmann, L. Silvestre, Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional laplacian, preprint. 8

[14] N. Laskin, Fractional Schrödinger equations, *Phys. Rev. E* **66** (2002), 056108. 1

[15] E.H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **57** (1977), 93–105. 1

[16] P.-L. Lions, Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev, *J. Funct. Anal.* **49** (1982), 315–334. 15

[17] P.-L. Lions, The Choquard equation and related questions, *Nonlinear Anal.* **4** (1980), 1063–1072. 1

[18] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, The random walks guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach, *Phys. Rep.* **339** (2000), 1–77. 1

[19] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, The restaurant at the random walk: recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics, *J. Phys. A* **37** (2004), 161–208. 1

[20] C. Miao, Y. Wu, G. Xu, Dynamics for the focusing, energy critical nonlinear Hartree equation, *Forum Mathematicum*, to appear. 19

[21] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties, and decay estimates, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), 153–184. 2, 9, 10, 18

[22] S. Pekar, Untersuchung uber die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954. 1

[23] R. Penrose, Quantum computation, entanglement and state reduction, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* **356** (1998), 1–13. 1

[24] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, The Pohožaev identity for the fractional laplacian, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **213** (2014), 587–628. 3

[25] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, Nonexistence results for nonlocal equations with critical and supercritical nonlinearities, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, to appear. 3

[26] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator, Ph.D. Thesis, Austin University (2005). 8

[27] E.M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Mathematical Series, **30** Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. 5

[28] D. Wu, Existence and stability of standing waves for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations with Hartree type nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **411** (2014), 530–542. 2

(P. d'Avenia)
Dipartimento di Meccanica, Matematica e Management
Politecnico di Bari
Via Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy
Email address: pietro.davenia@poliba.it

(G. Siciliano)
Departamento de Matemática
Universidade de São Paulo
Rua do Matão, 1010 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil
Email address: sicilian@ime.usp.br

(M. Squassina)
Dipartimento di Informatica
Università degli Studi di Verona
Cá Vignal 2, Strada Le Grazie 15, I-37134 Verona, Italy
Email address: marco.squassina@univr.it