



Politecnico di Bari

Repository Istituzionale dei Prodotti della Ricerca del Politecnico di Bari

Multiplicity and Concentration Results for a Magnetic Schrödinger Equation With Exponential Critical Growth in 2

This is a pre-print of the following article

Original Citation:

Multiplicity and Concentration Results for a Magnetic Schrödinger Equation With Exponential Critical Growth in 2 / D'Avenia, Pietro; Ji, Chao. - In: INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICS RESEARCH NOTICES. - ISSN 1073-7928. - STAMPA. - 2022:2(2022), pp. 862-897. [10.1093/imrn/rnaa074]

Availability:

This version is available at <http://hdl.handle.net/11589/174497> since: 2022-05-02

Published version

DOI:10.1093/imrn/rnaa074

Publisher:

Terms of use:

(Article begins on next page)

MULTIPLICITY AND CONCENTRATION RESULTS FOR A MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH EXPONENTIAL CRITICAL GROWTH IN \mathbb{R}^2

PIETRO D'AVENIA AND CHAO JI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation with magnetic field

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{i}\nabla - A(x)\right)^2 u + V(x)u = f(|u|^2)u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $V : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are continuous potentials and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has exponential critical growth. Under a local assumption on the potential V , by variational methods, penalization technique, and Ljusternick-Schnirelmann theory, we prove multiplicity and concentration of solutions for ε small.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
Notation	4
2. The variational framework and the limit problem	4
3. The modified problem	9
4. Multiple solutions for the modified problem	15
5. Proof of Theorem 1.1	20
Acknowledgements	24
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we are concerned with multiplicity and concentration results for the following nonlinear magnetic Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{i}\nabla - A(x)\right)^2 u + V(x)u = f(|u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $V : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the magnetic potential $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Equation (1.1) arises when one looks for standing wave solutions $\psi(x, t) := e^{-iEt/\hbar}u(x)$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$, of

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla - A(x)\right)^2 \psi + U(x)\psi - f(|\psi|^2)\psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

From a physical point of view, the existence of such solutions and the study of their shape in the semiclassical limit, namely, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0^+$, or, equivalently, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in (1.1), is of the greatest importance, since the transition from Quantum Mechanics to Classical Mechanics can be formally performed by sending the Planck constant \hbar to zero.

For equation (1.1), there is a vast literature concerning the existence and multiplicity of bound state solutions, in particular for the case with $A \equiv 0$. The first result in this direction was given by Floer and Weinstein in [28], where the case $N = 1$ and $f = i_{\mathbb{R}}$ is considered. Later, many authors generalized this

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J60, 35B33.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Magnetic field, Exponential critical growth, Trudinger-Moser inequality, Penalization technique.

P. d'Avenia is supported by PRIN project 2017JPCAPN *Qualitative and quantitative aspects of nonlinear PDEs*. C. Ji is partially supported by Shanghai Natural Science Foundation (18ZR1409100).

result to larger values of N , using different methods. In [25], del Pino and Felmer studied existence and concentration of the solutions for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + V(x)u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a possibly unbounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$, the potential V is locally Hölder continuous, bounded from below away from zero, there exists a bounded open set $\Lambda \subset \Omega$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in \Lambda} V(x) < \min_{x \in \partial\Lambda} V(x),$$

and the nonlinearity f satisfies some subcritical growth conditions. For further results about existence, multiplicity and qualitative properties of semiclassical states with various types of concentration behaviors, which have been established under various assumptions on the potential V and on the nonlinearity f , see [1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 16, 18, 19, 24, 26, 35–37] the references therein (see also [2, 7, 29] for the fractional case).

On the other hand, also the magnetic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.1) has been extensively investigated by many authors applying suitable variational and topological methods (see [5, 13–15, 20, 23, 27, 32] and references therein). It is well known that the first result involving the magnetic field was obtained by Esteban and Lions [27]. They used the concentration-compactness principle and minimization arguments to obtain solutions for $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed and $N = 2, 3$. In particular, due to our scope, we want to mention [5] where the authors use the penalization method and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory for subcritical nonlinearities and [15] where the existence of a complex solution in presence of a nonlinearity with exponential critical growth in \mathbb{R}^2 is proved, and the recent contribution [12] where a multiplicity result for a nonlinear fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation with exponential critical growth in the one-dimensional case is given.

In this paper, motivated by [5, 25], we prove multiplicity and concentration of nontrivial solutions for problem (1.1), combining some assumptions on V , the penalization technique by del Pino and Felmer [25] and the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory.

Assume that V verifies the following properties:

- (V₁) there exists $V_0 > 0$ such that $V(x) \geq V_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$;
- (V₂) there exists a bounded open set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$V_0 = \min_{x \in \Lambda} V(x) < \min_{x \in \partial\Lambda} V(x).$$

Observe that

$$M := \{x \in \Lambda : V(x) = V_0\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover, let the nonlinearity f be a C^1 -function satisfying:

- (f₁) $f(t) = 0$ if $t \leq 0$;
- (f₂) there holds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t^2)t}{e^{\alpha t^2}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } \alpha > 4\pi, \\ +\infty, & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 4\pi; \end{cases}$$

- (f₃) there is a positive constant $\theta > 2$ such that

$$0 < \frac{\theta}{2} F(t) \leq t f(t), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \text{where } F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds;$$

- (f₄) there exist two constants $p > 2$ and

$$C_p > \max \left\{ \left[\beta_p \left(\frac{2\theta}{\theta - 2} \right) \frac{1}{\min\{1, V_0\}} \right]^{(p-2)/2}, V_0 \left(\frac{p-2}{p} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} S_p^{p/2} \right\} > 0$$

such that

$$f'(t) \geq \frac{p-2}{2} C_p t^{(p-4)/2} \quad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

where

$$\beta_p = \inf_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_0} \tilde{I}_0(u), \quad \tilde{I}_0(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_0 |u|^2) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^p dx,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_0 := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} : \tilde{I}'_0(u)[u] = 0\},$$

and S_p is the best constant for the Sobolev inequality

$$S_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^p dx \right)^{2/p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx;$$

$$(f_5) \quad f'(t) \leq (e^{4\pi t} - 1) \text{ for any } t \geq 0.$$

Observe that assumptions (f_4) and (f_5) imply that there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 t^{(p-4)/2} \leq f'(t) \leq C_2 t, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+$$

and so $p > 6$.

Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that V satisfies (V_1) , (V_2) and f satisfies (f_1) – (f_5) . Then, for any $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$M_\delta := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{dist}(x, M) < \delta\} \subset \Lambda,$$

there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_\delta$, problem (1.1) has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ nontrivial solutions. Moreover, for every sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ such that $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, if we denote by u_{ε_n} one of these solutions of (1.1) for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$ and $\eta_{\varepsilon_n} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the global maximum point of $|u_{\varepsilon_n}|$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+} V(\eta_{\varepsilon_n}) = V_0.$$

It is well known that, when we want to study by variational methods this type of equations in the whole \mathbb{R}^2 , we meet several difficulties due to the unboundedness of the domain and to the exponential critical growth of the nonlinearity. Moreover, we only know local information on the potential V , and we don't have any condition on V at infinity. Thus we adapt the penalization technique explored in [25]. It consists in making a suitable modification on the nonlinearity f , solving a modified problem and then check that, for ε small enough, the solutions of the modified problem are indeed solutions of the original one. It could be interesting to consider our problem without relying upon condition near 0.

It is worthwhile to remark that in the arguments developed in [25], one of the key points is the existence of estimates involving the L^∞ -norm of the solutions of the modified problem. In the magnetic case, this kind of estimates are more delicate, due also to the fact that we deal with complex valued functions. For subcritical nonlinearities, Alves et al. in [5] obtained L^∞ -estimates of the solutions of the modified problem by a different approach, which is based on Moser's iteration method (see [34]) instead of Kato's inequality. Here the problem we deal with has exponential critical growth in \mathbb{R}^2 , so the method in [5] does not seem fully applicable.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the functional setting, give some preliminaries and study the limit problem. In Section 3, we study the modified problem. We prove the Palais-Smale condition for the modified functional and provide some tools which are useful to establish a multiplicity result. In Section 4, we show a multiplicity result for the modified problem. Finally, in Section 5, we complete the paper with the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Notation.

- C, C_1, C_2, \dots denote positive constants whose exact values are inessential and can change from line to line;
- $B_R(y)$ denotes the open disk centered at $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with radius $R > 0$ and $B_R^c(y)$ denotes the complement of $B_R(y)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 ;
- $\|\cdot\|$, $\|\cdot\|_q$, and $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ denote the usual norms of the spaces $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $L^q(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, and $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, respectively, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and $\|\cdot\|_{V_0} := (\|\nabla \cdot\|_2 + V_0\|\cdot\|_2)^{1/2}$.

2. THE VARIATIONAL FRAMEWORK AND THE LIMIT PROBLEM

In this section, we present the functional spaces that we use, we introduce a *classical* equivalent version of (1.1), we give some useful preliminary remarks, and we study a *limit problem* which will be useful for our arguments.

For $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let us denote by

$$\nabla_A u := \left(\frac{\nabla}{i} - A \right) u,$$

and

$$H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) : |\nabla_A u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})\}.$$

The space $H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is an Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\nabla_A u \overline{\nabla_A v} + u \overline{v} \right) dx, \quad \text{for any } u, v \in H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}),$$

where Re and the bar denote the real part of a complex number and the complex conjugation, respectively. Moreover we denote by $\|u\|_A$ the norm induced by this inner product.

On $H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ we will frequently use the following diamagnetic inequality (see e.g. [33, Theorem 7.21])

$$(2.1) \quad |\nabla_A u(x)| \geq |\nabla |u(x)||.$$

Moreover, making a simple change of variables, we can see that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{i} \nabla - A_\varepsilon(x) \right)^2 u + V_\varepsilon(x)u = f(|u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $A_\varepsilon(x) = A(\varepsilon x)$ and $V_\varepsilon(x) = V(\varepsilon x)$.

Let H_ε be the Hilbert space obtained as the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_\varepsilon := \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u \overline{\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} v} + V_\varepsilon(x)u \overline{v} \right) dx$$

and let us denote by $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ the norm induced by this inner product.

The diamagnetic inequality (2.1) implies that, if $u \in H_{A_\varepsilon}^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, then $|u| \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\|u\| \leq C\|u\|_\varepsilon$. Therefore, the embedding $H_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is continuous for $r \geq 2$ and the embedding $H_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^r(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is compact for $r \geq 1$.

About the nonlinearity, we observe that, by (f₁) and (f₂), fixed $q > 2$, for any $\zeta > 0$ and $\alpha > 4\pi$, there exists a constant $C > 0$, which depends on q, α, ζ , such that

$$(2.3) \quad f(t) \leq \zeta + Ct^{(q-2)/2}(e^{\alpha t} - 1) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

and, using (f₃), we have

$$(2.4) \quad F(t) \leq \zeta t + Ct^{q/2}(e^{\alpha t} - 1) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that, by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$(2.5) \quad f(t^2)t^2 \leq \zeta t^2 + C|t|^q(e^{\alpha t^2} - 1) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad F(t^2) \leq \zeta t^2 + C|t|^q(e^{\alpha t^2} - 1) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Finally, let us recall the following version of Trudinger-Moser inequality as stated e.g. in [1, Lemma 1.2].

Lemma 2.1. *If $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx < +\infty.$$

Moreover, if $\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq 1$, $\|u\|_2 \leq M < +\infty$, and $0 < \alpha < 4\pi$, then there exists a positive constant $C(M, \alpha)$, which depends only on M and α , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx \leq C(M, \alpha).$$

For our scope, we need also to study the following *limit* problem

$$(2.7) \quad -\Delta u + V_0 u = f(u^2)u, \quad u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

whose associated C^1 -functional, defined in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, is

$$I_{V_0}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_0 u^2) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u^2) dx.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_{V_0} := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\} : I'_{V_0}(u)[u] = 0\}$$

and

$$c_{V_0} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}} I_{V_0}(u).$$

By (f₁) and (f₄), for each $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$, there is a unique $t(u) > 0$ such that

$$I_{V_0}(t(u)u) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_{V_0}(tu) \quad \text{and} \quad t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}.$$

Then, using the assumptions on f , arguing as in [38, Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2] we have that

$$0 < c_{V_0} = \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_{V_0}(tu).$$

Moreover, recalling that a positive ground state solution $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ of (2.7) satisfies $I_{V_0}(\omega) \leq I_{V_0}(v)$ for all positive nontrivial solutions $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ of (2.7), by [9, Corollary 1.5], we get

Lemma 2.2. *Problem (2.7) has a positive ground state solution $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ which is radially symmetric.*

Proof. Let us recall that [9, Corollary 1.5] states that, if $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying:

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t)/t = 0$;
- (ii) there holds

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{h(t)}{e^{\alpha t^2}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } \alpha > 4\pi, \\ +\infty, & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 4\pi; \end{cases}$$

- (iii) there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $p > 2$ such that $h(t) \geq \lambda t^{p-1}$ for $t \geq 0$ and

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda > \left(\frac{p-2}{p} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} S_p^{p/2},$$

where S_p is the best Sobolev constant for $S_p \|v\|_p^2 \leq \|v\|^2$;

then

$$(2.9) \quad -\Delta v + v = h(v)$$

has a nontrivial radial positive solution $\hat{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, namely $\mathcal{I}(\hat{v}) \leq \mathcal{I}(\tilde{v})$ for every nontrivial positive solution $\tilde{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ of (2.9), where

$$\mathcal{I}(v) := \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(v)dx, \quad H(t) := \int_0^t h(s)ds.$$

In particular, condition (2.8) allows to prove that

$$\bar{c} = \inf_{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}(tv) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now, if we take

$$(2.10) \quad h(t) := f(t^2)t/V_0$$

in (2.9), we have that (i) and (ii) are easily satisfied, and, using assumption (f₄) we get that (iii) is satisfied for $\lambda = C_p/V_0$. Thus (2.9) with h as in (2.10) admits a positive radial nontrivial ground state solution $\hat{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$.

Observe now that, if $\hat{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (2.9) where h is given by (2.10), then $\hat{u} := \hat{v}(\sqrt{V_0}\cdot) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (2.7) and, since by (2.10),

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{V_0} \int_0^t f(s^2)sds = \frac{1}{2V_0}F(t^2),$$

we have $I_{V_0}(\hat{u}) = \mathcal{I}(\hat{v})$. Analogously, if $\tilde{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is an arbitrary solution of (2.7) and $\tilde{v} := \tilde{u}(\cdot/\sqrt{V_0})$, then $\tilde{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (2.9) and $I_{V_0}(\tilde{u}) = \mathcal{I}(\tilde{v})$.

Hence, if $\hat{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is a positive radial nontrivial ground state of (2.9), then, if $\omega = \hat{v}(\sqrt{V_0}\cdot)$, $\tilde{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is an arbitrary solution of (2.7) and $\tilde{v} := \tilde{u}(\cdot/\sqrt{V_0})$, we have

$$I_{V_0}(\omega) = \mathcal{I}(\hat{v}) \leq \mathcal{I}(\tilde{v}) = I_{V_0}(\tilde{u})$$

and we conclude. \square

Note that, by [39, Proposition 2.1], every radially symmetric ground state solution of (2.7) decays exponentially at infinity with its gradient, and is $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$.

The elements of \mathcal{N}_{V_0} satisfy the following property.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists $K > 0$ such that, for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, $\|u\|_{V_0} \geq K$.*

Proof. By (2.5), for any $0 < \zeta < V_0/2$ and $\alpha > 4\pi$, we have that there exists $C > 0$ such that, for every $u \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$,

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_0|u|^2)dx \leq \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^q (e^{\alpha|u|^2} - 1)dx.$$

Moreover, by the Hölder inequality it follows

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^q (e^{\alpha|u|^2} - 1)dx \leq \|u\|_{2q}^q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha|u|^2} - 1)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|u\|_{V_0}^q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{2\alpha|u|^2} - 1)dx \right)^{1/2}$$

where we have used the inequality

$$(2.13) \quad (e^t - 1)^s \leq e^{ts} - 1, \text{ for } s > 1 \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

Now assume by contradiction that there exist a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ such that $\|u_n\|_{V_0} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, for n large enough and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 4\pi)$, using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$(2.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{2\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{2\alpha\|u_n\|_{V_0}^2 \left(\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{V_0}} \right)^2} - 1)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\bar{\alpha} \left(\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{V_0}} \right)^2} - 1)dx \leq C.$$

Thus, combining (2.11), (2.12), and (2.14), we reach the contradiction. \square

The following lemma is the upper bound estimate of the ground state energy which is important for our arguments.

Lemma 2.4. *The minimax level c_{V_0} verifies*

$$0 < c_{V_0} < \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \min\{1, V_0\}.$$

Proof. Arguing as in [38], we can find that there exists $\omega^* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\tilde{I}_0(\omega^*) = \beta_p$ and $\tilde{I}'_0(\omega^*) = 0$ (see (f₄) for the definitions of \tilde{I}_0 and β_p). By the characterization of c_{V_0} given before and by (f₄) we have

$$0 < c_{V_0} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_{V_0}(t\omega^*) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{2} \|\omega^*\|_{V_0}^2 - \frac{C_p t^p}{p} \|\omega^*\|_p^p \right\} = C_p^{2/(2-p)} \beta_p < \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \min\{1, V_0\}.$$

\square

Finally we prove the following useful result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(\omega_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ be a sequence satisfying $I_{V_0}(\omega_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$. Then (ω_n) is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and, up to a subsequence, $\omega_n \rightharpoonup \omega$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, if $\omega \neq 0$, then $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and ω is a ground state for problem (2.7). If $\omega = 0$, then there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\tilde{y}_n| \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\omega_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\omega}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is a ground state for problem (2.7).*

Proof. By (f₃) and Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \limsup_n \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 &\leq \limsup_n \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega_n^2) \right) dx \right\} \\ &= \limsup_n \left\{ I_{V_0}(\omega_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{V_0}(\omega_n)[\omega_n] \right\} \\ &= c_{V_0} < \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \min\{1, V_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(2.15) \quad \limsup_n \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 < 1,$$

and for some subsequence, still denoted by (ω_n) , we can assume that there exists $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\omega_n \rightharpoonup \omega$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$ in $L^r_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, for any $r \geq 1$ and $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$ a.e. in $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Now we divide our study into two cases.

Case 1: $\omega \neq 0$.

Observe that, for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$,

$$f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n \phi \rightarrow f(\omega^2) \omega \phi \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and that, by (2.3), we have that for any $\zeta > 0$, $q > 2$, and $\alpha > 4\pi$, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$,

$$|f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n \phi| \leq \zeta |\omega_n| |\phi| + C |\omega_n|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha \omega_n^2} - 1) |\phi|$$

with

$$\zeta |\omega_n| |\phi| + C |\omega_n|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha \omega_n^2} - 1) |\phi| \rightarrow \zeta |\omega| |\phi| + C |\omega|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha \omega^2} - 1) |\phi| \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, by the Hölder inequality, (2.13), Sobolev inequality, (2.15), and Lemma 2.1, for suitable $r > 1$, $q > 2$, $\alpha > 4\pi$, and $p > 1$, we have that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[|\omega_n|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha\omega_n^2} - 1) \right]^r dx &\leq \|\omega_n\|_{pr(q-1)}^{r(q-1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha r p' \omega_n^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq C \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^{r(q-1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha r p' \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 (\|\omega_n\|_{V_0})^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\omega_n|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha|\omega_n|^2} - 1) \rightharpoonup |\omega|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha|\omega|^2} - 1) \text{ in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$$

and, since $|\omega_n| \rightharpoonup |\omega|$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ we have that

$$\zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega_n| |\phi| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega_n|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha\omega_n^2} - 1) |\phi| dx \rightarrow \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega| |\phi| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha\omega^2} - 1) |\phi| dx$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Hence, a variant of the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n \phi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\omega^2) \omega \phi dx,$$

and so ω is a nontrivial critical point for I_{V_0} .

Since, by the Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega^2) \omega^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega^2) \right) dx \leq \liminf_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega_n^2) \right) dx,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} &\leq I_{V_0}(\omega) = I_{V_0}(\omega) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{V_0}(\omega)[\omega] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|\omega\|_{V_0}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega^2) \omega^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega^2) \right) dx \\ &\leq \liminf_n \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega_n^2) \right) dx \right\} \\ &= \liminf_n \left\{ I_{V_0}(\omega_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} I'_{V_0}(\omega_n)[\omega_n] \right\} \\ &= c_{V_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using again the Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \liminf_n \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) (\|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 - \|\omega\|_{V_0}^2) \right] \\ &\leq \limsup_n \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) (\|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 - \|\omega\|_{V_0}^2) \right] \\ &= \limsup_n \left[I_{V_0}(\omega_n) - c_{V_0} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega^2) \omega^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega^2) \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega_n^2) \right) dx \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega^2) \omega^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega^2) \right) dx - \liminf_n \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} f(\omega_n^2) \omega_n^2 - \frac{1}{2} F(\omega_n^2) \right) dx \right] \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude.

Case 2: $\omega = 0$.

We claim that, in this case, there exist $R, \eta > 0$, and $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_n \int_{B_R(\tilde{y}_n)} \omega_n^2 dx \geq \eta.$$

Indeed, if this does not hold, for any $R > 0$, one has

$$\lim_n \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_R(y)} \omega_n^2 dx = 0,$$

and by [30, Chapter 6, Lemma 8.4], for every $\tau > 2$,

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_n \|\omega_n\|_\tau = 0.$$

By (2.5) and the fact that $(\omega_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, for $0 < \zeta < V_0/2$ and $\alpha > 4\pi$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \omega_n|^2 + V_0 \omega_n^2) dx \leq \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega_n^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega_n|^q (e^{\alpha \omega_n^2} - 1) dx.$$

In virtue of (2.15), we may choose $r > 1$ and $\alpha > 4\pi$ such that $r\alpha \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 < 4\pi$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. Thus, by the Hölder inequality, inequality (2.13), Lemma 2.1, and (2.17), it follows that

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\omega_n|^q (e^{\alpha \omega_n^2} - 1) dx &\leq \|\omega_n\|_{qr'}^q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{r\alpha \omega_n^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq \|\omega_n\|_{qr'}^q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{r\alpha \|\omega_n\|_{V_0}^2 (\omega_n / \|\omega_n\|_{V_0})^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/r} = o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

where r' is the conjugate exponent of r .

Using (2.18) and (2.19), we have that $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, and, consequently, $I_{V_0}(\omega_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, which is in contradiction with $I_{V_0}(\omega_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0} > 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

By (2.16), we have that $|\tilde{y}_n| \rightarrow +\infty$. Otherwise, there exists $\bar{R} > 0$ such that

$$\lim_n \int_{B_{\bar{R}}(0)} \omega_n^2 dx \geq \eta$$

and so $\omega \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

Since I_{V_0} and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{V_0}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ are invariant by translation, we have

$$I_{V_0}(\omega_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)) \rightarrow c_{V_0}.$$

Moreover $\omega_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ and, by (2.15),

$$\limsup_n \|\omega_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)\|_{V_0}^2 < 1.$$

Thus, there exists $\tilde{\omega} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ with, by (2.16), $\tilde{\omega} \neq 0$, such that

$$\omega_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \rightharpoonup \tilde{\omega} \quad \text{in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}).$$

Repeating the same arguments used in Case 1, it is easy to obtain that $\omega_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is a ground state for problem (2.7). \square

3. THE MODIFIED PROBLEM

In this section we introduce a modified problem for (2.2) and we show some properties of its functional. As in [25], to study (1.1), or equivalently, (2.2) by variational methods, we modify suitably the nonlinearity f so that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, the solutions of such modified problem are also solutions of the original one. More precisely, we fix $k > 0$ such that

$$0 < c_{V_0} < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) \min\{1, V_0\} < \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \min\{1, V_0\}.$$

By the assumptions on f there exists a unique number $a > 0$ verifying $kf(a) = V_0$, where V_0 is given in (V₁). Hence we consider the function

$$\hat{f}(t) := \begin{cases} f(t), & t \leq a, \\ V_0/k, & t > a. \end{cases}$$

As, for instance, in [5], we take $0 < t_a < a < T_a$ and $\vartheta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

- (ϑ_1) $\vartheta(t) \leq \hat{f}(t)$ for all $t \in [t_a, T_a]$;
- (ϑ_2) $\vartheta(t_a) = \hat{f}(t_a)$, $\vartheta(T_a) = \hat{f}(T_a)$, $\vartheta'(t_a) = \hat{f}'(t_a)$, and $\vartheta'(T_a) = \hat{f}'(T_a)$;
- (ϑ_3) the map ϑ is increasing in $[t_a, T_a]$.

Using the above functions we can define $\tilde{f} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ as follows

$$\tilde{f}(t) := \begin{cases} \hat{f}(t) & \text{if } t \notin [t_a, T_a], \\ \vartheta(t) & \text{if } t \in [t_a, T_a]. \end{cases}$$

Now we introduce the penalized nonlinearity $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(3.1) \quad g(x, t) := \chi_\Lambda(x)f(t) + (1 - \chi_\Lambda(x))\tilde{f}(t),$$

where χ_Λ is the characteristic function on Λ and $G(x, t) := \int_0^t g(x, s)ds$.

In view of (f_1)–(f_5) and (ϑ_1)–(ϑ_3), we have that g is a Carathéodory function satisfying the following properties:

- (g_1) $g(x, t) = 0$ for each $t \leq 0$;
- (g_2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(x, t) = 0$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$;
- (g_3) $g(x, t) \leq f(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$;
- (g_4) $0 < \theta G(x, t) \leq 2g(x, t)t$, for each $x \in \Lambda$, $t > 0$;
- (g_5) $0 < g(x, t) \leq V_0/k$, for each $x \in \Lambda^c$, $t > 0$;
- (g_6) for each $x \in \Lambda$, the function $t \mapsto g(x, t)$ is strictly increasing in $t \in (0, +\infty)$ and for each $x \in \Lambda^c$, the function $t \mapsto g(x, t)$ strictly is increasing in $(0, t_a)$.

Then we consider the *modified* problem

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{i} \nabla - A_\varepsilon(x)\right)^2 u + V_\varepsilon(x)u = g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Note that, if u is a solution of problem (3.2) with

$$|u(x)|^2 \leq t_a \quad \text{for all } x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon^c, \quad \Lambda_\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varepsilon x \in \Lambda\},$$

then u is a solution of problem (2.2).

The functional associated to problem (3.2) is

$$J_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x)|u|^2) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) dx,$$

defined in H_ε . It is standard to prove that $J_\varepsilon \in C^1(H_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R})$ and its critical points are nontrivial weak solutions of the modified problem (3.2).

Now we show that the functional J_ε satisfies the Mountain Pass Geometry.

Lemma 3.1. *For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the functional J_ε satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *there exist $\beta, r > 0$ such that $J_\varepsilon(u) \geq \beta$ if $\|u\|_\varepsilon = r$;*
- (ii) *there exists $e \in H_\varepsilon$ with $\|e\|_\varepsilon > r$ such that $J_\varepsilon(e) < 0$.*

Proof. Let us prove (i).

By (g_3) and (2.6), fixed $q > 2$ and $\alpha > 4\pi$, for any $\zeta > 0$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad G(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) \leq \zeta |u|^2 + C |u|^q (e^{\alpha|u|^2} - 1) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

By the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities and (2.13) it follows

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^q (e^{\alpha|u|^2} - 1) dx \leq \|u\|_{2q}^q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\alpha|u|^2} - 1)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|u\|_\varepsilon^q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{2\alpha|u|^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now, let us observe that, by the diamagnetic inequality (2.1), if $u \in H_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that

$$\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_\varepsilon} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}), \quad \left\| \frac{|u|}{\|u\|_\varepsilon} \right\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{V_0}, \quad \left\| \nabla \frac{|u|}{\|u\|_\varepsilon} \right\|_2^2 \leq 1.$$

Therefore, if we consider $\|u\|_\varepsilon = r > 0$, for $\alpha r^2 < \pi$, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{2\alpha|u|^2} - 1)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{2\alpha r^2 \left(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_\varepsilon}\right)^2} - 1)dx < \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{2\pi \left(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_\varepsilon}\right)^2} - 1)dx \leq C.$$

Then, by (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5), for any $\zeta > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$J_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta}{V_0}\right) r^2 - C r^q$$

for any $u \in H_\varepsilon$ with $\|u\|_\varepsilon = r$ small enough and we can conclude easily since $q > 2$.

To prove (ii), let us fix $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \Lambda_\varepsilon$. By (3.1) and (f₄) we get

$$J_\varepsilon(t\varphi) \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{C_p}{p} t^p \|\varphi\|_p^p$$

and we can conclude passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, being $p > 2$. \square

Hence we can define the minimax level

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\varepsilon} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\varepsilon(\gamma(t))$$

where

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H_\varepsilon) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } J_\varepsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0\}.$$

The following results are important to prove the $(PS)_{c_\varepsilon}$ condition for the functional J_ε .

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $(u_n) \subset H_\varepsilon$ is a $(PS)_d$ sequence for the functional J_ε . If*

$$(3.6) \quad 0 < d < \min\{1, V_0\} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right),$$

then (u_n) is bounded in H_ε and

$$\limsup_n \|u_n\|^2 < 1.$$

Proof. By (g₄) and (g₅) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d + o_n(1) + o_n(1)\|u_n\|_\varepsilon &\geq J_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} J'_\varepsilon(u_n)[u_n] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} G(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) \right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} \left(\frac{1}{\theta} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} G(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) \right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} G(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2 dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (u_n) is bounded in H_ε and

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq d + o_n(1).$$

Hence, by (3.6) and the diamagnetic inequality (2.1) we have

$$\min\{1, V_0\} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) \limsup_n \|u_n\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) \limsup_n \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq d < \min\{1, V_0\} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right)$$

and we can conclude. \square

The next result is a version of the celebrated Lions Lemma (see e.g. [38]), which is useful in our arguments.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $d > 0$ and $(u_n) \subset H_\varepsilon$ be a $(PS)_d$ sequence for J_ε such that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in H_ε as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\limsup_n \|u_n\| < 1$. Then, one of the following alternatives occurs:*

- (i) $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in H_ε as $n \rightarrow +\infty$;
- (ii) *there are a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and constants $R, \beta > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_n \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^2 dx \geq \beta.$$

Proof. Assume that (ii) does not hold. Then, for every $R > 0$, we have

$$\limsup_n \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^2 dx = 0.$$

Being $(|u_n|)$ bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, by [30, Chapter 6, Lemma 8.4], it follows that $\|u_n\|_\tau \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, for any $\tau > 2$.

Since, by Lemma 3.2, (u_n) is a bounded $(PS)_d$ sequence for J_ε , then, using (g₃) and (2.5) we have that for any $\zeta > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx + o_n(1) \\ &\leq \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^q (e^{\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1) dx + o_n(1) \\ &\leq \frac{\zeta}{V_0} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^q (e^{\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1) dx + o_n(1) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha > 4\pi$.

Since $\limsup_n \|u_n\| < 1$, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have that $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in H_ε and we conclude. \square

The following lemma provides a range of levels in which the functional J_ε verifies the Palais-Smale condition.

Lemma 3.4. *The functional J_ε satisfies the $(PS)_d$ condition at any level $0 < d < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) \min\{1, V_0\}$.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset H_\varepsilon$ be a $(PS)_d$ for J_ε . By Lemma 3.2, (u_n) is bounded in H_ε and $\limsup_n \|u_n\| < 1$. Thus, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in H_ε and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ for all $q \geq 1$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, by (g₃) and (2.3), it follows that, fixed $q > 2$, for any $\zeta > 0$ and $\alpha > 4\pi$, there exists a constant $C > 0$, which depends on q, α, ζ , such that for every $\phi \in H_\varepsilon$,

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) u_n \bar{\phi} dx \right| \leq \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n| |\bar{\phi}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\bar{\phi}| |u_n|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1) dx.$$

Arguing as in Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) u_n \bar{\phi} dx \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) u \bar{\phi} dx.$$

Thus, u is a critical point of J_ε .

Let $R > 0$ be such that $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset B_{R/2}(0)$. We show that for any given $\zeta > 0$, for R large enough,

$$(3.7) \quad \limsup_n \int_{B_R^c(0)} (|\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x)|u_n|^2) dx \leq \zeta.$$

Let $\phi_R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off function such that

$$\phi_R = 0 \quad x \in B_{R/2}(0), \quad \phi_R = 1 \quad x \in B_R^c(0), \quad 0 \leq \phi_R \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \phi_R| \leq C/R$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of R . Since the sequence $(\phi_R u_n)$ is bounded in H_ε , we have

$$J'_\varepsilon(u_n)(\phi_R u_n) = o_n(1),$$

that is

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n \overline{\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} (\phi_R u_n)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_\varepsilon(x) |u_n|^2 \phi_R dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 \phi_R dx + o_n(1).$$

Since $\overline{\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} (u_n \phi_R)} = i \overline{u_n} \nabla \phi_R + \phi_R \overline{\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n}$, using (g5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x) |u_n|^2) \phi_R dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 \phi_R dx - \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} i \overline{u_n} \nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n \nabla \phi_R dx + o_n(1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_\varepsilon(x) |u_n|^2 \phi_R dx - \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} i \overline{u_n} \nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n \nabla \phi_R dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of ϕ_R , the Hölder inequality and the boundedness of (u_n) in H_ε , we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x) |u_n|^2) \phi_R dx \leq \frac{C}{R} \|u_n\|_2 \|\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n\|_2 + o_n(1) \leq \frac{C_1}{R} + o_n(1)$$

and so we can reach our claim.

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$, for all $r \geq 1$, up to a subsequence, we have that

$$|u_n| \rightarrow |u| \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then

$$g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 \rightarrow g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) |u|^2 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, $|u_n| \rightarrow |u|$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $r \geq 1$.

Let

$$P(x, t) := g(\varepsilon x, t^2) t \quad \text{and} \quad Q(t) := e^{\alpha t^2} - 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\alpha > 4\pi$ with $\alpha \|u_n\| < 4\pi$ for n large. Using (g3) and (f2), it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{P(x, t)}{Q(t)} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q(|u_n|) dx \leq C.$$

Then [17, Theorem A.I] implies

$$\lim_n \int_{B_R(0)} \left| g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 - g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) |u|^2 \right| dx = 0.$$

Moreover, by (g5) and (3.7) we have

$$\limsup_n \int_{B_R^c(0)} \left| g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 - g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) |u|^2 \right| dx \leq \limsup_n \frac{2}{k} \int_{B_R^c(0)} (|\nabla_{A_\varepsilon} u_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2) dx < \frac{2\zeta}{k}$$

for every $\zeta > 0$.

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) |u|^2 dx \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Finally, since $J'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$, we have

$$o_n(1) = J'_\varepsilon(u_n)[u_n] = \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx = \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 - \|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + o_n(1).$$

Thus, the sequence (u_n) strong converges to u in H_ε . \square

Since we would like to find multiple solutions of the functional J_ε , it is natural to consider it constrained to the Nehari manifold associated to our problem, that is

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon := \{u \in H_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} : J'_\varepsilon(u)[u] = 0\}.$$

In virtue of (g6), it can be shown that for any $u \in H_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(tu) = J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon u)$$

and $t_\varepsilon u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. Thus, c_ε can be characterized as follows

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in H_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} J_\varepsilon(u).$$

Moreover, arguing as in Lemma 2.3, we also have that there exists $\gamma > 0$, which is independent of $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$(3.8) \quad \|u\|_\varepsilon \geq \gamma > 0, \quad \text{for each } u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon.$$

Now we show that \mathcal{N}_ε is a natural constraint, namely that the constrained critical points of the functional J_ε on \mathcal{N}_ε are the critical points of J_ε in H_ε . First we prove the following property.

Proposition 3.5. *The functional J_ε restricted to \mathcal{N}_ε satisfies the $(PS)_d$ condition at any level $0 < d < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{2k}\right) \min\{1, V_0\}$.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be a $(PS)_d$ sequence of J_ε restricted to \mathcal{N}_ε . Then, $J_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow d$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and there exists $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad J'_\varepsilon(u_n) = \lambda_n T'_\varepsilon(u_n) + o_n(1),$$

where $T_\varepsilon : H_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$T_\varepsilon(u) := \|u\|_\varepsilon^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u|^2) |u|^2 dx.$$

Observe that, arguing as in Lemma 3.2, we get that (u_n) is bounded in H_ε and $\limsup_n \|u_n\|^2 < 1$. Note that, using the definition of g , the monotonicity of ϑ , and (f4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T'_\varepsilon(u_n)[u_n] &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g'(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^4 dx \leq -2 \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon \cup \{|u_n|^2 < t_a\}} f'(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^4 dx \\ &\leq -(p-2)C_p \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon \cup \{|u_n|^2 < t_a\}} |u_n|^p dx \leq -(p-2)C_p \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |u_n|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $T'_\varepsilon(u_n)[u_n] \rightarrow \varsigma \leq 0$.

Let us prove that $\varsigma \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\varsigma = 0$, then

$$o_n(1) = |T'_\varepsilon(u_n)[u_n]| \geq C \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |u_n|^p dx.$$

Thus we obtain that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\Lambda_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C})$, and by interpolation, we also have $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^\tau(\Lambda_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C})$, for all $\tau \geq 1$. Moreover, arguing as in Lemma 3.2, we have that $\|u_n\| < 1$ for n large. Hence, from $J'_\varepsilon(u_n)[u_n] = 0$, (g3), (g5), (2.5), the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} f(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2 dx \\ &\leq \varsigma \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |u_n|^2 dx + C \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |u_n|^q (e^{\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1) dx + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^2 dx + o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in H_ε . This is a contradiction with (3.8). Therefore, $\varsigma < 0$ and by (3.9) we deduce that $\lambda_n = o_n(1)$.

On the other hand, since, by the definition of g and (f5), for every $\phi \in H_\varepsilon$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g'(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} f'(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} \tilde{f}'(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} (e^{4\pi|u_n|^2} - 1) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c \cap \{|u_n|^2 \leq T_a\}} \tilde{f}'(|u_n|^2) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi|u_n|^2} - 1) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx, \end{aligned}$$

using (g3), (2.3), the fact that $\limsup_n \|u_n\| < 1$, the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, for every $\phi \in H_\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T'_\varepsilon(u_n)[\phi]| &\leq 2\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \|\phi\|_\varepsilon + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n| |\phi| dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g'(\varepsilon x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx \\ &\leq C \left[\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \|\phi\|_\varepsilon + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^{q-1} (e^{\alpha|u_n|^2} - 1) |\phi| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi|u_n|^2} - 1) |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^3 |\phi| dx \right] \\ &\leq C(\|u_n\|_\varepsilon + \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^{q-1} + \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^3) \|\phi\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the boundedness of (u_n) implies the boundedness of $T'_\varepsilon(u_n)$ and so, by (3.9), we can infer that $J'_\varepsilon(u_n) = o_n(1)$, that is (u_n) is a $(PS)_d$ sequence for J_ε . Hence, we apply Lemma 3.4 to conclude. \square

As a consequence we get

Corollary 3.6. *The constrained critical points of the functional J_ε on \mathcal{N}_ε are critical points of J_ε in H_ε .*

4. MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR THE MODIFIED PROBLEM

In this section, we prove a multiplicity result for the modified problem (3.2) using the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory. In order to get it, we first provide some useful preliminaries.

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset \Lambda$, $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ be a positive ground state solution of the limit problem (2.7), and $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, [0, 1])$ be a nonincreasing cut-off function defined in $[0, +\infty)$ such that $\eta(t) = 1$ if $0 \leq t \leq \delta/2$ and $\eta(t) = 0$ if $t \geq \delta$.

For any $y \in M$, let us introduce the function

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon, y}(x) := \eta(|\varepsilon x - y|) \omega\left(\frac{\varepsilon x - y}{\varepsilon}\right) \exp\left(i\tau_y\left(\frac{\varepsilon x - y}{\varepsilon}\right)\right),$$

where

$$\tau_y(x) := A_1(y)x_1 + A_2(y)x_2.$$

Let $t_\varepsilon > 0$ be the unique positive number such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\varepsilon(t\Psi_{\varepsilon,y}) = J_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon\Psi_{\varepsilon,y}).$$

Note that $t_\varepsilon\Psi_{\varepsilon,y} \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$.

Let us define $\Phi_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ as

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(y) := t_\varepsilon\Psi_{\varepsilon,y}.$$

By construction, $\Phi_\varepsilon(y)$ has compact support for any $y \in M$.

Moreover, the energy of the above functions has the following behavior as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Lemma 4.1. *The limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} J_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = c_{V_0}$$

holds uniformly in $y \in M$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that the statement is false. Then there exist $\delta_0 > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ satisfying

$$\left| J_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - c_{V_0} \right| \geq \delta_0.$$

For simplicity, we write Φ_n , Ψ_n and t_n for $\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)$, Ψ_{ε_n,y_n} and t_{ε_n} , respectively.

We can check that

$$(4.1) \quad \|\Psi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \omega|^2 + V_0 \omega^2) dx \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Indeed, by a change of variable of $z = (\varepsilon_n x - y_n)/\varepsilon_n$, the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, the continuity of V and $y_n \in M \subset \Lambda$ (which is bounded), we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(\varepsilon_n x) |\Psi_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) |\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega(z)|^2 dz \rightarrow V_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega^2 dz \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, by the same change of variable $z = (\varepsilon_n x - y_n)/\varepsilon_n$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_{A_{\varepsilon_n}} \Psi_n|^2 dx &= \varepsilon_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta'(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega(z)|^2 dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) \nabla \omega(z)|^2 dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) \left(A(y_n) - A(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) \right) \omega(z) \right|^2 dz \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) \eta'(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega(z) \nabla \omega(z) \cdot \frac{z}{|z|} dz. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) \nabla \omega(z)|^2 dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \omega(z)|^2 dz.$$

Moreover, using the definition of η , the Hölder continuity with exponent $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ of A , the exponential decay of ω , and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can infer

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta'(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega(z)|^2 dz = o_n(1),$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) \eta'(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega(z) \nabla \omega(z)| dz = o_n(1),$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) \left(A(y_n) - A(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) \right) \omega(z) \right|^2 dz \leq C \varepsilon_n^{2\alpha} \int_{|\varepsilon_n z| \leq \delta} \omega^2(z) |z|^{2\alpha} dz = o_n(1),$$

obtaining (4.1).

On the other hand, since $J'_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n \Psi_n)(t_n \Psi_n) = 0$, by the change of variables $z = (\varepsilon_n x - y_n)/\varepsilon_n$, observe that, if $z \in B_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}(0)$, then $\varepsilon_n z + y_n \in B_\delta(y_n) \subset M_\delta \subset \Lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon_n z + y_n, t_n^2 \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z)) \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t_n^2 \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z)) \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z) dz \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\delta/(2\varepsilon_n)}(0)} f(t_n^2 \omega^2(z)) \omega^2(z) dz \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\delta/2}(0)} f(t_n^2 \omega^2(z)) \omega^2(z) dz \\ &\geq f(t_n^2 \gamma^2) \int_{B_{\delta/2}(0)} \omega^2(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

for all n large enough and where $\gamma = \min\{\omega(z) : |z| \leq \delta/2\}$.

If $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$, by (f₄) we deduce that $\|\Psi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 \rightarrow +\infty$ which contradicts (4.1).

Therefore, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$.

If $t_n \rightarrow 0$, using the fact that f is increasing and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain that

$$\|\Psi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t_n^2 \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z)) \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z) dz \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which contradicts (4.1). Thus, we have $t_0 > 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \omega|^2 + V_0 \omega^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t_0 \omega^2) \omega^2 dx,$$

so that $t_0 \omega \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$. Since $\omega \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, we obtain that $t_0 = 1$ and so, using the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(|t_n \Psi_n|^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(\omega^2) dx.$$

Hence

$$\lim_n J_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = I_{V_0}(\omega) = c_{V_0}$$

which is a contradiction and conclude. \square

Now we define the barycenter map.

Let $\rho > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset B_\rho$ and consider $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by setting

$$\Upsilon(x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } |x| < \rho, \\ \rho x/|x|, & \text{if } |x| \geq \rho. \end{cases}$$

The barycenter map $\beta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by

$$\beta_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{1}{\|u\|_2^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Upsilon(\varepsilon x) |u(x)|^2 dx.$$

We have

Lemma 4.2. *The limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y$$

holds uniformly in $y \in M$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exists $\kappa > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| \geq \kappa.$$

Using the change of variable $z = (\varepsilon_n x - y_n)/\varepsilon_n$, we can see that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) - y_n) \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2(|\varepsilon_n z|) \omega^2(z) dz}.$$

Taking into account $(y_n) \subset M \subset M_\delta \subset B_\rho$ and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can obtain that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| = o_n(1),$$

which contradicts (4.2). \square

Now, we prove the following useful compactness result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ be such that $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$. Then there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the sequence $(|v_n|) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, where $v_n(x) := u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$, has a convergent subsequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. Since $J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n)[u_n] = 0$ and $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, using Lemma 2.4, we can prove that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\limsup_n \|u_n\| < 1$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 and recalling that $c_{V_0} > 0$, we have that there exist a sequence $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and constants $R, \beta > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad \liminf_n \int_{B_R(\tilde{y}_n)} |u_n|^2 dx \geq \beta.$$

Now, let us consider the sequence $(|v_n|) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, where $v_n(x) := u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$.

By the diamagnetic inequality (2.1), we get that $(|v_n|)$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, and using (4.3), we may assume that $|v_n| \rightharpoonup v$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ for some $v \neq 0$.

Let now $t_n > 0$ be such that $\tilde{v}_n := t_n |v_n| \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, and set $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n$.

By the diamagnetic inequality (2.1), we have

$$c_{V_0} \leq I_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\varepsilon_n}(tu_n) = J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{V_0} + o_n(1),$$

which yields $I_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since the sequences $(|v_n|)$ and (\tilde{v}_n) are bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and $|v_n| \not\rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, then (t_n) is also bounded and so, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$.

We claim that $t_0 > 0$. Indeed, if $t_0 = 0$, then, since $(|v_n|)$ is bounded, we have $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, that is $I_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n) \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts $c_{V_0} > 0$.

Thus, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $\tilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{v} := t_0 v \neq 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, and, by Lemma 2.5, we can deduce that $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, which gives $|v_n| \rightarrow v$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$.

Now we show the final part, namely that (y_n) has a subsequence such that $y_n \rightarrow y \in M$.

Assume by contradiction that (y_n) is not bounded and so, up to a subsequence, $|y_n| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Choose $R > 0$ such that $\Lambda \subset B_R(0)$. Then for n large enough, we have $|y_n| > 2R$, and, for any $x \in B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)$,

$$|\varepsilon_n x + y_n| \geq |y_n| - \varepsilon_n |x| > R.$$

Since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, using (V₁) and the diamagnetic inequality (2.1), we get that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla|v_n||^2 + V_0|v_n|^2)dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, |v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} \tilde{f}(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 dx + \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}^c(0)} f(|v_n|^2)|v_n|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|v_n| \rightarrow v$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{f}(t) \leq V_0/k$, we can see that (4.4) yields

$$\min \left\{ 1, V_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla|v_n||^2 + |v_n|^2)dx = o_n(1),$$

that is $|v_n| \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, which contradicts to $v \not\equiv 0$.

Therefore, we may assume that $y_n \rightarrow y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Assume by contradiction that $y_0 \notin \overline{\Lambda}$. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that for every n large enough we have that $|y_n - y_0| < r$ and $B_{2r}(y_0) \subset \overline{\Lambda}^c$. Then, if $x \in B_{r/\varepsilon_n}(0)$, we have that $|\varepsilon_n x + y_n - y_0| < 2r$ so that $\varepsilon_n x + y_n \in \overline{\Lambda}^c$ and so, arguing as before, we reach a contradiction.

Thus, $y_0 \in \overline{\Lambda}$.

To prove that $V(y_0) = V_0$, we suppose by contradiction that $V(y_0) > V_0$. Using the Fatou's lemma, the change of variable $z = x + \tilde{y}_n$ and $\max_{t \geq 0} J_{\varepsilon_n}(tu_n) = J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} = I_{V_0}(\tilde{v}) &< \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 + V(y_0)|\tilde{v}|^2)dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(|\tilde{v}|^2)dx \\ &\leq \liminf_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \tilde{v}_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n)|\tilde{v}_n|^2)dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(|\tilde{v}_n|^2)dx \right) \\ &= \liminf_n \left(\frac{t_n^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla|u_n||^2 + V(\varepsilon_n z)|u_n|^2)dz - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(|t_n u_n|^2)dz \right) \\ &\leq \liminf_n J_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \liminf_n J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{V_0} \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible and we conclude. \square

Let now

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon := \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon : J_\varepsilon(u) \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)\},$$

where $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Fixed $y \in M$, since, by Lemma 4.1, $|J_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) - c_{V_0}| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we get that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

We have the following relation between $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ and the barycenter map.

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon} \text{dist}(\beta_\varepsilon(u), M_\delta) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}} \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u) - y| = \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y| + o_n(1).$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove that there exists $(y_n) \subset M_\delta$ such that

$$\lim_n |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y_n| = 0.$$

By the diamagnetic inequality (2.1), we can see that $I_{V_0}(t|u_n|) \leq J_{\varepsilon_n}(tu_n)$ for any $t \geq 0$. Therefore, recalling that $(u_n) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, we can deduce that

$$(4.5) \quad c_{V_0} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_{V_0}(t|u_n|) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{\varepsilon_n}(tu_n) = J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n)$$

which implies that $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Then, Proposition 4.3 implies that there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \in M_\delta$ for n large enough. Thus, making the change of variable $z = x - \tilde{y}_n$, we get

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) - y_n) |u_n(z + \tilde{y}_n)|^2 dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n(z + \tilde{y}_n)|^2 dz}.$$

Since, up to a subsequence, $|u_n|(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)$ converges strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon_n z + y_n \rightarrow y \in M$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we conclude. \square

Finally, we present a relation between the topology of M and the number of solutions of the modified problem (3.2).

Theorem 4.5. *For any $\delta > 0$ such that $M_\delta \subset \Lambda$, there exists $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta)$, problem (3.2) has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ nontrivial solutions.*

Proof. Given $\delta > 0$, by Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.4, and arguing as in [21, Section 6], we can find $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta)$, the following diagram

$$M \xrightarrow{\Phi_\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\beta_\varepsilon} M_\delta$$

is well defined and $\beta_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon$ is homotopically equivalent to the embedding $\iota : M \rightarrow M_\delta$. Thus, [16, Lemma 4.3] (see also [22, Lemma 2.2]) implies that

$$\text{cat}_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon) \geq \text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M).$$

By Proposition 3.5, we have also that J_ε satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ (taking $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta$ smaller if necessary). Hence, by the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory for C^1 functionals (see [38, Theorem 5.20]), we get at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points of J_ε restricted to $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ which are, by Corollary 3.6, critical points for J_ε in $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we prove our main result. The idea is to show that the solutions u_ε obtained in Theorem 4.5 satisfy

$$|u_\varepsilon(x)|^2 \leq t_a \text{ for } x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon^c$$

for ε small. The key ingredient is the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $u_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ be a solution of problem (3.2) for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$. Then $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$. Moreover, there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that, if $v_n(x) := u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$, we have that $(|v_n|)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |v_n(x)| = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n)$ with $\lim_n h(\varepsilon_n) = 0$, we can argue as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 (see (4.5)) to conclude that $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$.

Thus, by Proposition 4.3, we obtain the existence of a sequence $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $(|v_n|) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, where $v_n(x) := u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$, has a convergent subsequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

For any $R > 0$ and $0 < r \leq R/2$, let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ with $\eta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \geq R$ and $\eta(x) = 0$ if $|x| \leq R - r$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/r$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L > 0$, we consider the functions

$$v_{L,n}(x) := \begin{cases} |v_n(x)| & \text{if } |v_n(x)| \leq L, \\ L & \text{if } |v_n(x)| > L, \end{cases} \quad z_{L,n} := \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} v_n, \quad \text{and} \quad w_{L,n} := \eta v_{L,n}^{\beta-1} |v_n|,$$

where $\beta > 1$ will be determined later.

Since, by the diamagnetic inequality (2.1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\nabla_{A_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+\tilde{y}_n)}v_n \cdot \overline{\nabla_{A_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+\tilde{y}_n)}z_{L,n}}) &= \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |\nabla_{A_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+\tilde{y}_n)}v_n|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\nabla v_n \overline{\nabla z_{L,n}}) \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} \\ &= \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |\nabla_{A_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+\tilde{y}_n)}v_n|^2 + |v_n| |\nabla v_n| |\nabla(\eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)})| \\ &\geq \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |\nabla v_n|^2 + 2\eta \nabla \eta v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n| |\nabla v_n|, \end{aligned}$$

using also the fact that u_n is a solution of problem (3.2) for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$, the Young inequality (with $\tau > 0$), (g3), (2.5), for $\alpha > 4\pi$ and for a fixed $q > 2$, given $0 < \zeta < V_0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta \nabla \eta v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n| |\nabla v_n| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n) \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta |\nabla \eta| v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n| |\nabla v_n| - \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \\ (5.1) \quad &\leq \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\nabla_{A_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+\tilde{y}_n)}v_n \cdot \overline{\nabla_{A_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+\tilde{y}_n)}z_{L,n}}) dx \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n) v_n \overline{z_{L,n}} dx \\ &\quad + \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} dx + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \eta|^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, |v_n|^2) \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} dx + \frac{4}{\tau r^2} \int_{R-r \leq |x| \leq R} v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \zeta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_n|^q (e^{\alpha|v_n|^2} - 1) \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} dx \\ &\quad + \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} dx + \frac{4}{\tau r^2} \int_{R-r \leq |x| \leq R} |v_n|^{2\beta} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, choosing $\tau > 0$ sufficiently small, we get

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n|^2 \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} \leq C \left[\int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{q+2(\beta-1)} (e^{\alpha|v_n|^2} - 1) dx + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{R-r \leq |x| \leq R} |v_n|^{2\beta} dx \right].$$

Moreover, arguing similarly to (5.1), we can conclude that

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \leq C \left[\int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{q+2(\beta-1)} (e^{\alpha|v_n|^2} - 1) dx + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{R-r \leq |x| \leq R} |v_n|^{2\beta} dx \right].$$

On the other hand, using the Sobolev embedding, (5.2), (5.3), the Hölder inequality with $t, \sigma, \tau > 1$, $1/\sigma + 1/\tau = 1/t$, $\sigma(q-2) \geq 2$, and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_{L,n}\|_q^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla w_{L,n}|^2 + |w_{L,n}|^2) dx \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \eta|^2 |v_n|^{2\beta} dx + \beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |\nabla |v_n||^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 v_{L,n}^{2(\beta-1)} |v_n|^2 dx \right) \\
(5.4) \quad &\leq C\beta^2 \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \int_{R-r \leq |x| \leq R} |v_n|^{2\beta} dx + \int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{q+2(\beta-1)} (e^{\alpha|v_n|^2} - 1) dx \right) \\
&\leq C\beta^2 \left[\frac{R^{2/t}}{r^2} + \left(\int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{\sigma(q-2)} dx \right)^{1/\sigma} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\tau\alpha|v_n|^2} - 1) dx \right)^{1/\tau} \right] \\
&\quad \left(\int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{2\beta t/(t-1)} dx \right)^{(t-1)/t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(|v_n|)$ is convergent in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, there exists $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|v_n(x)| \leq h(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^2 . So, using Lemma 2.1, for all $\tau > 1$ and $\alpha > 4\pi$, we know that

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\tau\alpha|v_n|^2} - 1) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{\tau\alpha h^2} - 1) dx < +\infty.$$

By (5.4) and (5.5), it follows that

$$\left(\int_{|x| \geq R} v_{L,n}^{q\beta} dx \right)^{2/q} \leq \|w_{L,n}\|_q^2 \leq C\beta^2 \left(1 + \frac{R^{2/t}}{r^2} \right) \left(\int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{2\beta t/(t-1)} dx \right)^{(t-1)/t}$$

and, applying the Fatou's Lemma as $L \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{|x| \geq R} |v_n|^{q\beta} dx \right)^{2/q} \leq C\beta^2 \left(1 + \frac{R^{2/t}}{r^2} \right) \left(\int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{2\beta t/(t-1)} dx \right)^{(t-1)/t}.$$

Arguing as in [31], if we take $\zeta := \frac{q(t-1)}{2t}$, $\beta := \zeta^m$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $s := \frac{2t}{t-1}$, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{|x| \geq R} |v_n|^{s\zeta^{m+1}} dx \right)^{1/(s\zeta^{m+1})} \leq C\zeta^{-m} \zeta^{m\zeta^{-m}} \left(1 + \frac{R^{2/t}}{r^2} \right)^{1/(2\zeta^m)} \left(\int_{|x| \geq R-r} |v_n|^{s\zeta^m} dx \right)^{1/(s\zeta^m)}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, for $r = r_m := R/2^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, using also that $2/t < 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{|x| \geq R} |v_n|^{s\zeta^{m+1}} dx \right)^{1/(s\zeta^{m+1})} &\leq \left(\int_{|x| \geq R-r_{m+1}} |v_n|^{s\zeta^{m+1}} dx \right)^{1/(s\zeta^{m+1})} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^m \zeta^{-i} \zeta^{\sum_{i=1}^m i\zeta^{-i}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\ln(1+2^{2(i+1)})}{2\zeta^i} \right) \left(\int_{|x| \geq R/2} |v_n|^{s\zeta} dx \right)^{1/(s\zeta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, passing to the limit as $m \rightarrow +\infty$ in the last inequality, we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(B_R^c(0))} \leq C \left(\int_{|x| \geq R} |v_n|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we can use the same argument taking $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, [0, 1])$ with $\eta(x) = 1$ if $|x - x_0| \leq \tilde{\rho}$, $\eta(x) = 0$ if $|x - x_0| > 2\rho$, with $\tilde{\rho} < \rho$, and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 2/\tilde{\rho}$, to prove that

$$(5.7) \quad \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_{2\rho}(x_0)})} \leq C \left(\int_{|x| \leq 2\rho} |v_n|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Thus, by (5.6), (5.7), and using a standard covering argument and the boundedness of $(|v_n|)$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, it follows that

$$\|v_n\|_\infty \leq C.$$

Now, we use again the convergence of $(|v_n|)$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ on the right side of (5.6) to get

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |v_n| = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Now, we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset \Lambda$. We want to show that there exists $\hat{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \hat{\varepsilon}_\delta)$ and any $u_\varepsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ solution of problem (3.2), it holds

$$(5.8) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Lambda_\varepsilon)}^2 \leq t_a.$$

We argue by contradiction and assume that there is a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that for every n there exists $u_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ which satisfies $J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = 0$ and

$$(5.9) \quad \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Lambda_{\varepsilon_n})}^2 > t_a.$$

As in Lemma 5.1, we have that $J_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$, and therefore we can use Proposition 4.3 to obtain a sequence $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y_0$ for some $y_0 \in M$. Then, we can find $r > 0$, such that $B_r(y_n) \subset \Lambda$, and so $B_{r/\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}$ for all n large enough.

Using Lemma 5.1, there exists $R > 0$ such that $|v_n|^2 \leq t_a$ in $B_R^c(0)$ and n large enough, where $v_n = u_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)$. Hence $|u_n|^2 \leq t_a$ in $B_R^c(\tilde{y}_n)$ and n large enough. Moreover, if n is so large that $r/\varepsilon_n > R$, then $\Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}^c \subset B_{r/\varepsilon_n}^c(\tilde{y}_n) \subset B_R^c(\tilde{y}_n)$, which gives $|u_n|^2 \leq t_a$ for any $x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}^c$. This contradicts (5.9) and proves the claim.

Let now $\varepsilon_\delta := \min\{\hat{\varepsilon}_\delta, \tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta\}$, where $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ is given by Theorem 4.5. Then we have $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ nontrivial solutions to problem (3.2). If $u_\varepsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ is one of these solutions, then, by (5.8) and the definition of g , we conclude that u_ε is also a solution to problem (2.2).

Finally, we study the behavior of the maximum points of $|\hat{u}_\varepsilon|$, where $\hat{u}_\varepsilon(x) := u_\varepsilon(x/\varepsilon)$ is a solution to problem (1.1), as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Take $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and the sequence (u_n) where each u_n is a solution of (3.2) for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$. In view of (g₂), there exists $\gamma \in (0, t_a)$ such that

$$g(\varepsilon x, t^2)t^2 \leq \frac{V_0}{2}t^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2, |t| \leq \gamma.$$

Arguiguing as above we can take $R > 0$ such that, for n large enough,

$$(5.10) \quad \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(B_R^c(\tilde{y}_n))} < \gamma.$$

Up to a subsequence, we may also assume that for n large enough

$$(5.11) \quad \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(B_R(\tilde{y}_n))} \geq \gamma.$$

Indeed, if (5.11) does not hold, up to a subsequence, if necessary, we have $\|u_n\|_\infty < \gamma$. Thus, since $J'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) = 0$, using (g₅) and the diamagnetic inequality (2.1) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla |u_n||^2 + V_0 |u_n|^2) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(\varepsilon_n x, |u_n|^2) |u_n|^2 dx \leq \frac{V_0}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_n|^2 dx$$

and, being $k > 1$, $\|u_n\| = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Taking into account (5.10) and (5.11), we can infer that the global maximum points p_n of $|u_{\varepsilon_n}|$ belongs to $B_R(\tilde{y}_n)$, that is $p_n = q_n + \tilde{y}_n$ for some $q_n \in B_R$. Recalling that the associated solution of problem

(1.1) is $\hat{u}_n(x) = u_n(x/\varepsilon_n)$, we can see that a maximum point η_{ε_n} of $|\hat{u}_n|$ is $\eta_{\varepsilon_n} = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n + \varepsilon_n q_n$. Since $q_n \in B_R$, $\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y_0$ and $V(y_0) = V_0$, the continuity of V allows to conclude that

$$\lim_n V(\eta_{\varepsilon_n}) = V_0.$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.O. Alves, D. Cassani, C. Tarsi, M. Yang, Existence and concentration of ground state solutions for a critical nonlocal Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , *J. Differential Equations* 261 (2016), 1933–1972. [2](#), [5](#)
- [2] C.O. Alves, J.M.B. do Ó, O.H. Miyagaki, Concentration phenomena for fractional elliptic equations involving exponential critical growth, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 16 (2016), 843–861. [2](#)
- [3] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a quasilinear problem in \mathbb{R}^N via penaization method, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 5 (2005), 551–572. [2](#)
- [4] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo, On multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems with critical exponential growth in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Differential Equations* 246 (2009), 1288–1311. [2](#)
- [5] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo, M.F. Furtado, Multiple solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with magnetic fields, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 36 (2011), 1565–1586. [2](#), [3](#), [10](#)
- [6] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo, R.G. Nascimento, On existence and concentration of solutions for an elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearity via penalization method, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 65 (2014), 19–40. [2](#)
- [7] C.O. Alves, O.H. Miyagaki, Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N via penalization method, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 55 (2016), art. 47, 19 p. [2](#)
- [8] C.O. Alves, M.A.S. Souto, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of problems with exponential critical growth in \mathbb{R}^2 , *J. Differential Equations* 244 (2008), 1502–1520. [2](#)
- [9] C.O. Alves, M.A.S. Souto, M. Montenegro, Existence of a ground state solution for a nonlinear scalar field equation with critical growth, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 43 (2012) 537–554. [5](#)
- [10] A. Ambrosetti, M. Badiale, S. Cingolani, Semiclassical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 140 (1997), 285–300. [2](#)
- [11] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, S. Secchi, Multiplicity results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 159 (2001), 253–271. [2](#)
- [12] V. Ambrosio, On a fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R} with exponential critical growth, *Nonlinear Anal.* 183 (2019), 117–148. [2](#)
- [13] G. Arioli, A. Szulkin, A semilinear Schrödinger equation in the presence of a magnetic field, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 170 (2003), 277–295. [2](#)
- [14] S. Barile, S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, Single-peaks for a magnetic Schrödinger equation with critical growth, *Adv. Differential Equations* 11 (2006), 1135–1166. [2](#)
- [15] S. Barile, G.M. Figueiredo, An existence result for Schrödinger equations with magnetic fields and exponential critical growth, *J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ.* 3 (2017), 105–125. [2](#)
- [16] V. Benci, G. Cerami, Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via the Morse theory and the domain topology, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 2 (1994), 29–48. [2](#), [20](#)
- [17] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations, I Existence of a ground state, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 82 (1983), 313–345. [13](#)
- [18] J. Byeon, K. Tanaka, Semi-classical standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations at structurally stable critical points of the potential, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 15 (2013), 1859–1899. [2](#)
- [19] J. Byeon, K. Tanaka, Semiclassical standing waves with clustering peaks for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 229 (2014). [2](#)
- [20] S. Cingolani, Semiclassical stationary states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field, *J. Differential Equations* 188 (2003), 52–79. [2](#)
- [21] S. Cingolani, M.Lazzo, Multiple semiclassical standing waves for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 10 (1997), 1–13. [20](#)
- [22] S. Cingolani, M. Lazzo, Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions, *J. Differential Equations* 160 (2000), 118–138. [20](#)

- [23] S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, Semiclassical states for NLS equations with magnetic potentials having polynomial growths, *J. Math. Phys.* 46 (2005), 053503, 19pp. [2](#)
- [24] P. d'Avenia, A. Pomponio, D. Ruiz, Semiclassical states for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on saddle points of the potential via variational methods, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012), 4600–4633. [2](#)
- [25] M. del Pino, P.L. Felmer, Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 4 (1996), 121–137. [2](#), [3](#), [9](#)
- [26] J.M.B. do Ó, P.K. Mishra, J.J. Zhang, Solutions concentrating around the saddle points of the potential for two-dimensional Schrödinger equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 70 (2019):64. [2](#)
- [27] M.J. Esteban, P.L. Lions, Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field, *Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations*, Vol. I, 401–449, *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 1, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1989. [2](#)
- [28] A. Floer, A. Weinstein, Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential, *J. Funct. Anal.* 69 (1986), 397–408. [1](#)
- [29] X. He, W. Zou, Existence and concentration result for the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearities, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 55 (2016), art. 91, 39 p. [2](#)
- [30] O. Kavian, *Introduction à la théorie des points critiques et applications aux problèmes elliptiques*, Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1993. [9](#), [12](#)
- [31] G. Li, Some properties of weak solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 15 (1990), 27–36. [22](#)
- [32] S. Liang, S. Shi, On multi-bump solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with electromagnetic fields and critical nonlinearity in \mathbb{R}^N , *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 56 (2017) art. 25, 29 p. [2](#)
- [33] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001. [4](#)
- [34] J. Moser, A new proof of de Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 13 (1960), 457–468. [3](#)
- [35] Y.G. Oh, Existence of semi-classical bound state of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential on the class of $(V)_a$, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 13 (1998), 1499–1519. [2](#)
- [36] Y.G. Oh, On positive multi-lump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations under multiple well potential, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 131 (1990), 223–253. [2](#)
- [37] X. Wang, On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 153 (1993), 229–244. [2](#)
- [38] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1996. [5](#), [7](#), [12](#), [20](#)
- [39] J.J. Zhang, J.M.B. do Ó, Standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations involving critical growth of Trudinger-Moser type, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 66 (2015), 3049–3060. [6](#)

(P. d'Avenia)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA, MATEMATICA E MANAGEMENT
 POLITECNICO DI BARI
 VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY
E-mail address: pietro.davenia@poliba.it

(C. Ji)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
 SHANGHAI 200237, PR CHINA
E-mail address: jichao@ecust.edu.cn