

Repository Istituzionale dei Prodotti della Ricerca del Politecnico di Bari

Reactive Power Flow Control for PV Inverters Voltage Support in LV Distribution Networks

This is a post print of the following article

Original Citation:

Reactive Power Flow Control for PV Inverters Voltage Support in LV Distribution Networks / Angel, Molina Garcia; Rosanna, Mastromauro; Tania, Garcia Sanchez; Pugliese, Sante; Marco, Liserre; Stasi, Silvio. - In: IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SMART GRID. - ISSN 1949-3053. - 99(2017). [10.1109/TSG.2016.2625314]

Availability: This version is available at http://hdl.handle.net/11589/88309 since: 2022-06-07

Published version DOI:10.1109/TSG.2016.2625314

Terms of use:

(Article begins on next page)

02 May 2024

Reactive Power Flow Control for PV Inverters Voltage Support in LV Distribution Networks

A. Molina-García, *Senior Member, IEEE*, R. A. Mastromauro, *Member, IEEE*, T. García-Sánchez, S. Pugliese, M. Liserre, *Fellow, IEEE*, S. Stasi, *IEEE, Member*

Abstract-- The present paper proposes a reactive power flow control pursuing Photovoltaic Systems "active" integration in LV distribution networks. An alternative power flow analysis is performed according to the specific characteristics of LV networks, such as low reactance/resistance ratio and radial topologies. The proposed solution gives high performances in terms of voltage regulation by considering the influence of the rest of nodes and by estimating the reactive power regulation on each node. LV voltage can be thus locally controlled at the point of common coupling of each Photovoltaic system. The local control of each Photovoltaic system is based on the power converter control, interfacing the units with the grid and the loads respectively. The local control is designed on the basis of feedback variables locally measured. Photovoltaic units thus guarantee universal operation, since they are able to switch between islanding and grid-connected modes without disrupting critical loads connected to them, and allowing smooth transitions. Exhaustive results are also included and discussed in the paper.

Index Terms-- LV radial networks, Photovoltaic power systems, Reactive power control, Voltage control.

I. INTRODUCTION

T HE increased presence of Distributed Generation (DG) in LV networks has modified considerably the expected power flow patterns, being far from classical power system analysis. In this new scenario, where generation and consumption simultaneously can come from the demand-side, significant and undesirable voltage oscillations in LV networks may occur leading to detrimental impacts on the network operation, mainly in remote feeder ends [1]. Several studies indicate that the voltage will rise when there is reverse power flow in the feeder due to DGs interconnection to the LV network [2][3]. Notably, in some countries, the reverse power flow from the distribution network back to the transmission network is prohibited, e.g., Japan [4]. Hence, the integration of renewable energies as DG in LV networks presents many significant challenges [5]. Among the possible renewable resources, some authors affirm that solutions based on PV systems (PVs) is the only viable option at small or distribution level [6]. Actually, most of the technical literature concentrates on distribution system studies, whereas the impact of solar PV in transmission systems has not been extensively studied [7]. According to [8], distribution systems have been designed as passive network in a radial style and then the inter-connection of PVs may cause some issues and impacts that need to be carefully considered and studied.

In parallel with the promotion of renewables, new requirements and rules have been issued during the last years. The main target has been focused on including LV customers as active elements of the grid, providing some ancillary services under normal operation conditions, basically by modulating their reactive power exchanged with the grid [9]. Some examples can be found in Germany, Italy and Slovenia [10][11], where the reactive power exchange should be maintained inside a triangular/rectangular reactive power capability curve depending on the power rate converter. The extension to exploit more efficiently the reactive power potential of the inverters by expanding the capability area from a rectangular characteristic to a circular characteristic has been recently discussed in [12]. According to the specific literature, when large-scale applications of PV in the grid are considered, little references are found on PV in the individual customer line [13], being most of the work on large-scale PV reported either on large scale PV power generation or on central PV power schemes [14]. In [15], a statistical sampling approach is taken by the authors, fixing certain average 'macroscopic' parameters of the distribution circuit. Recently, some contributions can be found aiming to analyze the PVs inverter capacity to generate/demand reactive power as a potential solution to the voltage regulation problem [16][17], including in some cases active power regulation [18][19].

Considering previous contributions and in line with current efforts to ensure reliable voltage regulation with alternative solutions to online tap changer, autotransformers, voltage regulators or switched capacitors, that are usually not justified due to low cost benefit ratios [20], a combined centralizeddecentralized reactive power flow control strategy is presented in this paper for LV grid-interfaced PV inverters. The goal is to control the bus voltage and maintain this LV voltage within

This paper has been written within the framework of the project "RES NOVAE - Reti, Edifici, Strade - Nuovi Obiettivi Virtuosi per l'Ambiente e l'Energia". This project is supported by the Italian University and Research National Ministry research and competitiveness program that Italy is developing to promote "Smart Cities Communities and Social Innovation".

A. Molina-García is with the Dept. of Electrical Eng. Univ. Politécnica de Cartagena, 30202 Cartagena, Spain (e-mail: angel.molina@upct.es).

R.A. Mastromauro is with the Dept. of Information Engineering, Univ. of Florence, Florence, Italy, (e-mail: rosaaanna.mastromauro@unifi.it).

T. García-Sánchez is with the Renewable Energy Inst, DEEEAC, Univ. de Castilla La-Mancha, 02071 Albacete, Spain; (e-mail: tania.garcia@uclm.es)

S. Pugliese and S. Stasi are with the Dept. of Electrical and Information Eng. Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy, (e-mail: sante.pugliese@poliba.it; silvio.stasi@poliba.it).

M. Liserre is with the Christian-Albrechts-Universität, zu Kiel Kaiserstr. 2 D-24143 Kiel, Germany (e-mail: ml@tf.uni-kiel.de)

a defined range on each node, by considering variations in power demanded/supplied to the grid, and thus improving the penetration level of renewables. The proposed method consists of a centralized/remote control performed by the Distribution System Operator (DSO) and a local/decentralized control performed by the power converter of each PVs.

Dividing the LV network into areas or branches, the centralized control is applied to one area of the grid, providing active and reactive power references for each PVs. It is then a coordinated subsystem with the local/decentralized control system operating the local voltage regulation at the Point of Common Coupling (PCC) of each DG unit. Hence the overall control structure of each PVs results hierarchical. The information about the set-points for the local control and the active and reactive powers measured and collected locally are exchanged by means of an appropriate Information and Communication Technology (ICT) infrastructure, highly relevant for this kind of initiatives. The central control implements an alternative power flow based on: (i) forward and backward voltage updating; and (ii) the Kirchhoff laws. This algorithm is selected to avoid convergence problem providing neglected computational time costs. An additional significant contribution is based on the reactive power setpoint determined for each node, which is estimated by considering the influence of the rest of nodes and the active/reactive power supplied/demanded by them.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section II discusses about the reactive power control algorithm based on a modified power flow methodology, Section III describes the PV inverter control and the decentralized/local solution proposed by the authors. Section IV outlines the main issues and open points related to the employment of the ICT infrastructure. Results and simulations are discussed in Section V. Finally, the conclusion is given in Section VI.

II. REACTIVE POWER FLOW CONTROL ALGORITHM

A. Modeling of LV Network Topologies

With regard to LV network modeling, and taking into account that LV distribution networks usually present radial topology [21], a generalized LV network is modeled; including sub-branches (sB_j) connected to different nodes, see Fig. 1(a). Consequently, individual LV customers are explicitly considered, being possible to demand/generate active or reactive power for each customer. Both global active and reactive power corresponding to a specific j-node (N_j) can be then defined as follows,

$$P_{j} = P_{j}^{d} + P_{j}^{g} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} P_{j}^{k}$$

$$Q_{j} = Q_{j}^{d} + Q_{j}^{g} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_{j}^{k}$$
(1)

where *m* is the number of nodes depending on N_j , P_j and Q_j is the global active and reactive power viewed from j-node, P_j^d and Q_i^d is the active and reactive power demanded by the j-

node, P_j^{g} and Q_j^{g} is the active and reactive power supplied by the j-node; being in all cases the sign criteria for active power P>0 (demanded) and for reactive power Q>0 (inductive).

Our approach is in line with recent contributions, where realistic LV topologies are modeled. Previous works were focused on simplified circuits with only a main branch, neglecting sub-branches and the corresponding modifications on the global active and reactive power at the connection node [22][23][24]. Consequently, the global active and reactive power values on each node depends not only the PVs and the loads, but also the configuration of the rest of LV distribution network. The proposed methodology is also able to be applied to unbalanced three phase systems. Actually, the alternative power flow is suitable to solve unbalanced networks, provided that the topology of the grid remains radial, which is typical for distribution networks.

B. Power-Flow Methodology

The voltage regulation problem in distribution networks rely on Optimal Power Flow (OPF) solvers developed for transmission networks [25][26]. However, traditional powerflow methods based on Gauss-Seidel, Newton-Raphson and fast decoupled load flow become ineffective for the analysis of distribution systems with high R/X ratios [26]. Moreover, [27] suggests that those algorithms were designed for transmission systems. Therefore, their application to distribution systems usually does not provide good results and very often the solution diverges, spite contributions focuses on improving convergence problems and reducing computational time costs for distribution systems with high R/X ratios [28].

LV networks usually present radial topologies, an alternative power flow based on the forward and backward voltage updating by using polynomial voltage equation for each branch as well as the Kirchhoff laws is proposed and applied [29],[30], see Fig. 1(b). This methodology involves a recursive process to update voltage and current values in reverse and forward direction, considering that

$$V_{j-1} - V_{j} \approx \frac{RP_{j_{eq}} + XQ_{j_{eq}}}{V_{j-1}},$$
(2)
being $P_{j_{eq}} = \sum_{k=j}^{n} P_{j}$ and $Q_{j_{eq}} = \sum_{k=j}^{n} Q_{j}.$

This consideration differs significantly from previous approaches, where the influence of the rest of nodes in terms of active and reactive power was neglected. However, and according to the LV network modeling depicted in Fig. 1(a), the voltage drop between nodes, N_j and N_{j-1} , not only depends on the partial P_j and Q_j values corresponding to *j*-Node (N_j), but also the equivalent LV network from this point. On the other hand, and due to the R/X ratios in LV, some contributions suggest that the method of reactive power compensation device may not be sufficient for voltage regulation at LV grid with PV, especially with relative high penetration of PV at LV grid during a typical sunny day [31]. In this proposal, we focus on solutions based on reactive

power control for voltage regulation, while active power curtailment (APC) techniques, reducing the amount of active power injected by the PV inverters, has been recently proposed to prevent overvoltage and increase the installed PV capacity [32][33].

An iterative process is proposed to be repeated until the convergence tolerance on each voltage node is reached. An equivalent *RL* series impedance has been considered to model the LV lines, assuming a typical overhead topology, see Fig1. This solution also allows us to model the capacitance of the lines, avoiding convergence problems and giving neglected computational time costs. The proposed power flow algorithm can be extended to π -circuit model including capacitances for radial distribution networks, as was discussed in [34] [35].



Fig. 1. (a) LV network modeling and (b) power-flow methodology.



Fig. 2. (a) Power flow through a line and (b) phasor diagram.

Classical MV approaches usually relate active power with voltage amplitude and reactive power with grid frequency. However, in LV networks the resistance (R) must not be neglected, being the ratio R/X over 2.5 in current LV lines. Consequently, it is not possible to extend directly this relations towards LV studies. In line with [36], an orthogonal linear transformation matrix is proposed to transform from active and reactive power to the modified active and reactive power values on each node, see (3).

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{j_{eq}} \\ Q_{j_{eq}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X/Z & -R/Z \\ R/Z & X/Z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_{j_{eq}} \\ Q_{j_{eq}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3)

For a small power angle δ (see Fig. 2.b), which is a typical value in most studies, combining (2) and (3) it can be assumed that power angle only depends on the equivalent active power and the voltage only depends on the equivalent reactive power [35],

$$V_{j}\sin\delta = \frac{XP_{j_{eq}} - RQ_{j_{eq}}}{V_{j-1}} = \frac{Z}{V_{j-1}}P_{j_{eq}}^{'} \implies \delta \approx \frac{Z}{V_{j}V_{j-1}}P_{j_{eq}}^{'}$$
(4)
$$V_{j-1} - V_{j}\cos\delta = \frac{RP_{j_{eq}} + XQ_{j_{eq}}}{V_{j-1}} = \frac{Z}{V_{j-1}}Q_{j_{eq}}^{'} \implies \Delta V \approx \frac{Z}{V_{j-1}}Q_{j_{eq}}^{'},$$
(5)

being possible to analyze independently the influence on the grid frequency and the voltage amplitude respectively by means of introducing these modified active and reactive power values.

C. Reactive Power Control Strategy

A variety of strategies can be found in the specific literature to adjust the DG reactive power injection in response to either local or global variations of the feeder bus voltages. Some contributions propose alternatives to minimize the total power dissipation in the network by controlling the DG reactive power injection [38]. Others directly control the injected reactive power to prevent bus voltage rise under the presence of distributed generation [39][40]. Power curtailment is an alternative solution to reactive power control, where the active power generation is constrained to prevent voltage rise along the feeder [41]. Other approaches are based on setting the reactive power injection levels of the PV inverters according to a predefined relationship between the inverter power factor and the LV bus voltage, even considering an electronic tap changer into the primary distribution transformer for the feeder. Nevertheless, and according to [42], while reactive power management is designed to enable PV inverters to inject/absorb reactive power, its objective is not to control bus voltages, but rather to attempt to ensure that PV real power injection does not cause a high voltage rise on the LV feeder.

The proposed voltage-reactive power characteristic is shown in Fig. 3, where the equivalent reactive power is obtained taking into account the voltage level required on each node. From this equivalent reactive power, and according to Section II.B, the required reactive power on each node is then determined to reduce the voltage drop to the rated level on each node. In our proposal, this reactive power value corresponds with the global reactive power on each node. Consequently, the PV inverter reactive power has to be deduced from the power flow solution and the rest of active and reactive power values.



Fig. 3. Proposed V-Q characteristic for voltage control



Fig. 4. Local control of a PV system.

III. PV INVERTER CONTROL. DECENTRALIZED/LOCAL SOLUTION

The local control of small and medium power DG systems integrated into the distribution network can be distributed or centralized. Since the availability of high computing facilities or dedicated operators in LV grids has been highly unlikely up to now, decentralized solutions imposed to the overall problem of DG and microgrids. Decentralized control is inertly optimal due to the lack of full network information, but it leads to uncoordinated operation of the different DGs, which is in contrast with the aim of the paper: to optimize the voltage profile of the overall grid or one of its portions. Among the distributed control methods, the droop control technique provides frequency and amplitude regulation of the DG converters voltage and, at the same time, a noncommunication-based power sharing strategy among the different units inside the microgrid [43]. However, in order to limit the communication lines, the droop control method results an optimum candidate also for combined centralizeddecentralized control strategies. Actually, this solution is able to regulate the power exchange between each DG system and the grid, while the DSO gives set-points to the local control. In this scenario, DG systems can provide a service to the grid during grid-connected operation. This local control structure also guarantees autonomous operation under island mode requirements. From these considerations, a hierarchical control for PVs integrated into LV distribution networks is proposed as an attempt to emulate the transmission-distribution network management. For this proposal, the central dispatcher, discussed in Section II, periodically updates the set-points of the local/decentralized controller of each PV inverter, on the basis of the power flow for the radial networks (tertiary control). The local control system is comprehensively responsible to operate the local voltage control at the PCC of the PVs, as well as to manage the active/reactive power exchange with the loads and the main power system by means of three-level cascade loops.

The local control of each PV system consists of a primary and a secondary control. The primary control is in charge of reliability and stability and, thus, it needs a fast dynamics. It is based on a current controller, controlling the current at the output of the PV converter and a voltage controller, controlling the voltage on the capacitor of the LCL filter that interfaces the converter with the grid and with the local loads. The secondary control operates on a slower time frame and it deals with the power exchange among the LV distribution network, the PV system and the local loads, providing at the same time the reference for the capacitor voltage control. With the proposed control structure, the regulation of the local voltage profile at the PCC of each PV system is then fixed.

The overall scheme of the local control of each PVs is shown in Fig.4. The control can be operated in a d-q reference frame. Hence the instantaneous active and reactive powers are determined from the corresponding voltage and current variables as:

$$p = \frac{3}{2} \left(v_d \dot{i}_d + v_q \dot{i}_q \right)$$

$$q = \frac{3}{2} \left(v_d \dot{i}_q - v_q \dot{i}_d \right)$$
(6)

The reference voltage of the primary control can be determined by their operating point on Q-V droop curve shown in Fig. 5, where a possible V/Q characteristic is depicted, being P_n is the rated active power.



Fig.5 Triangular/rectangular reactive power capability curves for power converters over 6 kW [10].

If the PV voltage is aligned with the d-axis of the reference frame, it then results that the q-axis voltage reference is zero,

$$v_{Cd}^{*} = \left[\hat{E} - n \left(Q^{*} - Q \right) - \frac{1}{T_{iQ}} \int_{-\infty}^{t} (Q^{*} - Q) d\tau \right]_{V \min}^{V \max}$$

$$v_{Cq}^{*} = 0$$
(8)

where v_{Cd}^* and v_{Cq}^* are respectively the *d* and *q*-axis references for the capacitor voltage, Q^* is the reactive power reference calculated by the DSO for the PVs, *Q* is the measured reactive power, \hat{E} is the amplitude voltage reference, *n* is the droop coefficient and T_{iQ} is the integral time constant of the reactive power controller. The unit responsible for the reactive power control, within the range between minimum V_{min} and maximum V_{max} value, is estimated according to (8). It satisfies the reactive power production *Q* corresponding to its reference value Q^* on each node. The time constant T_{iQ} is tuned in such a way that the closed-loop provides a dynamics at least ten-times slower than the primary level control. Consequently, the fastest dynamic response of the voltage control loop is not significantly affected by the reactive power loop.

The local control of each PVs is designed to use only feedback variables that can be measured locally. Each system is able to switch between islanding and grid-connected modes, allowing a smooth transition between both modes and avoiding disrupting critical loads connected to the installations. Under normal mode of operation, each PV system is connected to the grid at the PCC, usually through a static transfer switch (STS), see Fig. 4. When a fault is detected, the PV system can move to island operation and the loads are supplied by the DG system. The total active/reactive power should be shared according to the loads respective. Ratings and DG systems may adapt their generated power in order to fulfill the balance with the power demand. During island operation, the active/reactive control can be regulated by means of the following equations:

$$\omega = \omega_b - m_{island} \left(P - P_{MAX} \right)$$

$$\hat{V}_d = \hat{V}_{Cb} - n_{island} \left(Q - Q_{MAX} \right)$$

$$\hat{V}_a = 0$$
(10)

where \hat{V}_{Cb} and ω_b are the phase and amplitude voltage references set to their nominal values; P_{MAX} and Q_{MAX} are the maximum active and reactive power for the PV systems; m_{island} and n_{island} are the droop gains for the island mode operation. These droop coefficients are moved from gridconnected to island operation conditions, being then the integrator block of the reactive power control deactivated. Apart from these adjustments, the local control structure is the same in both operation mode, guarantying universal operation for the PV converters. Subsequently, when a fault is cleared, the system has to be resynchronized with the utility grid by means of the PLL, before the STS can be reclosed to return the system smoothly back to the grid-connected operation mode.

IV. ROLE OF THE COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS: ISSUES AND OPEN-POINTS

One of the main drawback towards the employment of communication systems in smart grids and DG systems is that different functions set different requirements for the communications. Among other functionalities, especially grid protection and monitoring set requirements for latency and jitter. The latency requirement for grid protection is 10 ms and for monitoring between 100ms and 1s, respectively. Smart metering applications, in turn, set demands for throughput,

especially in large grids with many customers, where the total amount of transmitted data can be up to gigabytes. Thus, broadband data rate (> 1Mbps) is one of the requirements. Other requirements for communication besides sufficient latency and data rate are the reliability and the range of the communication [44]. The use of communications for protection purposes can be reduced at the least considering that actually the DG systems can be equipped with islanding detection techniques and that the local control of each PVs can be designed using only feedback variables that can be measured locally (as described in Section III). In particular, in the proposed application, each PVs is able to switch between island and grid-connected modes without disrupting critical loads connected to it and allowing a smooth transition. However, for the grid voltage profile optimization, the communication system cannot be removed, since the estimation of the reactive power to be injected by each individual inverter is depending on the influence of the rest of nodes. As a consequence, at least information about the active and reactive powers have to be exchanged between the PVs and the central controller in order to perform the power flow of the radial network. By considering different communication methods, the local area network (LAN/Ethernet) and the optical fiber transmission can be used for the implementation of High Bandwidth Communication (HBC). However additional cost is needed to achieve the infrastructure for this communication method. In this scenario also the DNP3 protocol has been proposed in the past [44]. Meanwhile, among the Low Bandwidth Communication (LBC) methods, Power Line Communication (PLC) can be used. The advantage of the LBC based methods lays in the reduced amount of data flowing in the communication network. Denoting the sampling frequency as fs, the communication frequency of the HBN is fs. Meanwhile the communication frequency of the LBC network can be selected to f_s/N. Hence for an HBC based control method the data transferring is accomplished every control period, while for a LBC based method the data transferring is accomplished every N control periods. Hence, during the same length of time period the amount of data is reduced to 1/N [45][46].

In the proposed strategy, it is assumed that the control setpoints are provided by a supporting communication infrastructure. Among the considered solutions, the selection of the most appropriate communication system depends on the extension of the grid portion managed by the same central controller. Nevertheless, there are still uncertainties associated with the communications systems, namely the delay or the loss of control information that needs to be accounted for to ensure the resilience of the overall system. In [47], it is highlighted the importance of a communication infrastructure for demanding applications like frequency control and the need to ensure the necessary coordination between local and central control to overcome potential uncertainties in the communication systems. It is also shown as high data losses can lead likely the system to collapse. This represents the main challenge in the presented scenario and for this reason an approach that limits the amount of data transfer is essential.



Fig. 6. Radial LV network test system (Bornholm, Denmark)

V. RESULTS

The proposed methodology has been evaluated by using a real LV network from Bornholm (Denmark) available in the specific literature. This is a radial LV topology shown in Fig. 6 with 71 users and a 100 kVA distribution transformer used for simulation purposes, being assumed as representative for LV networks. In line with [48], loads are considered threephase and balanced, and connected to a set of nodes labeled according to the different branches identified in the LV network. In the case study, \pm 48% of the rate active power is assumed as reactive power range for PV inverters, according to the Italian Standard CEI 0-21. All consumers are equipped with solar PV systems of size similar to the power demanded by them. The power consumption demanded by the consumers for each hour is based on time-series containing 8760 hourly values for a year of generic consumption, according to yearly consumption provided in [48]. In a similar way, the solar PV generation is based on synthesized hourly irradiance, taking both clear sky and covered sky into consideration. All loads are assumed being with a power factor constant at 0.95 inductive.

In line with previous sections and according to the QV strategy proposed by the authors, the reactive power references for each inverter is estimated not only by considering explicitly the cable resistance, but also the influence of both active and reactive power values of the rest of nodes. The estimation of the reactive power to be injected by each individual inverter is thus depending on the influence of the rest of nodes, which implies a centralized solution providing innovative characteristics in terms of voltage control for LV networks. As it was previously discussed, most contributions are currently based on applying on each node a QV relation directly related with values of each node, neglecting the rest of nodes. To point out the differences in terms of voltage values on each node and reactive power set-points, Fig. 7 compares the results when the influence of the rest of the nodes are

considered and neglected, both under steady-state conditions and assuming that PV installation are supplied their rate active power. The proposed solutions allows to maintain significant less node voltage drops, and the power flow algorithm avoids convergence problems giving neglecting computational time costs. Fig. 8 shows the results for power losses and the fast convergence of the methodology. With regard to the solar irradiance variation during the day and the direct influence on the active power supplied by the PV installations, Fig.9 compares the voltage profile values when PV installations supply 50% of their rate active power. Fig.10 shows an example of the reactive power references according to the proposed methodology. In this case, power losses are reduced from 61.54 kW to 27.53 kW. Power losses are significantly different depending on both reactive power regulation ranges and active power demanded/supplied by the customers.



Fig.7. Comparison of node voltage profiles with PV installations at rate active power



Fig. 8. Power flow convergence with PV installations at rate active power



Fig. 9. Comparison of node voltage profiles with PV installations at 50% rate active power



Fig. 10. Reactive power references for PV inverters at 50% rate active power



Fig. 11. 30 kW prototype converter used to test the PVs local control.

Additional results are related to the local control of a 30 kW grid-connected PVs converter. Experimental tests have been carried out on a laboratory environment based on a 30 kW back-to-back converter prototype, where one of the two full-bridges have been considered, see Fig.11. The converter involves three SEMiX202GB12E4s SEMIKRON IGBT modules, Skyper32ProRSEMIKRON IGBT drivers, a voltage measurement board and a control card. The developed control board is equipped with a Texas Instruments DSP TMS320F28335. This is a 32bit floating point DSP (up to 150MHz). The measurement and interface board consists of 8analog channels collecting 3 AC-currents, 3-AC voltages, 1-DC voltage and temperature. Hardware protections implementted on this board includes over-current and over-temperature. The DC link of the converter is supplied by a 30 kW DCpower source emulating the PV panel strings. The AC side of the converter is connected to the PCC by means of an LCL filter and an isolation transformer. The PV converter feeds a load of 1 kW. The tests have been performed at a reduced power due to limited size of the isolation transformer. All the control and physical parameters for the PV converter control system are defined in Table I.

The assessment of the control system discussed in Section III has been verified experimentally. Fig. 12 depicted both active and the reactive power evolution provided by the converter when an active power reference step from 2 to 3 kW and a reactive power reference step from 0 to 1 kVAr (capacitive) are simultaneously set. Fig. 13(a) shows the results when a constant active power reference is considered (3 kW) and a reactive power step from 1kVAr (capacitive) to -1 kVAr (inductive) is set. The current phase shift, moving from capacitive to inductive reactive power, can be observed in Fig. 13(b). In both cases, high power quality performances are obtained, with 3.6% for the injected current Total Harmonic Distortion (THD).

	TABLE	I		
Power s	tage and local co	ontrol parameters		
]	Power stage par	rameters		
Grid voltage	230 V	L_l	4 mH	
Grid frequency	50 Hz	С	3 µF	
V _{DC}	700 V	L_2	1 mH	
Transformer indu	Transformer inductance		3 mH	
Active and reactive power controllers parameters				
in grid-connected operation mode				
m		2.10-7		
n		1.10-6		
$T_{i Q}$		2		
Voltage controllers parameters				
k _{p_v}	0.07	Ti_v	0.12	
Current controllers parameters				
kp c	27	T _{ic}	0.01	

VI. CONCLUSION

A centralized/decentralized strategy to determine reactive power references for PVs inverters connected to a LV radial network is discussed and evaluated in this paper. The proposed solution allows us to provide voltage regulations considering not only local power values on each node, but also the influence of active and reactive power data from all the nodes of the network. Both high R/X ratio typical of LV feeders and constraints for reactive power references of the PVs converters, in compliance with the most recent national standards, are considered by the proposed methodology. An alternative power flow solution suitable for radial topologies is described and implemented, avoiding convergence problems and minimizing computational time costs. The proposed power flow is performed by the DSO and the results are communicated as powers set-points to the local control of each PVs converter. The paper is supported by exhaustive results both related to the centralized controller and to PVs local controller. The feasibility of the overall control strategy has been verified and it has been demonstrated that better LV voltage regulation is achieved in comparison with traditional approaches.

VII. REFERENCES

- Chen Zhao; Chenghong Gu; Furong Li; Dale, M., "Understanding LV network voltage distribution- UK smart grid demonstration experience," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference (ISGT), 2015 IEEE Power & Energy Society*, pp. 1-5, February 2015.
- [2] M. Thomson, D. G. Infield, "Impact of widespread photovoltaics generation on distribution systems," *IET Renewable Power Generation*, vol. 1, pp. 33–40, 2007.
- [3] R. Tonkoski, L. Lopes, T. H. M. El-Fouly, "Coordinated active power curtailment of grid connected PV inverters for overvoltage prevention," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 139–147, April 2011.
- [4] H. Hatta, S. Uemura, and H. Kobayashi, "Cooperative control of distribution system with customer equipments to reduce reverse power flow from distributed generation," *in Proc. IEEE Power Energy Soc. General Meeting*, July 2010, pp. 1–6.
- [5] R. Cossent, T. Gómez, L. Olmos "Large-scale integration of renewable and distributed generation of electricity in Spain: Current situation and future needs," *Energy Policy*, vol. 39 pp. 8078–8087, 2011.
- [6] K. Turitsyn, P. Sulc, S. Backhaus, M. Chertkov "Options for Control of Reactive Power by Distributed Photovoltaic Generators," *Proceedings* of the IEEE, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 1063-1073, June 2011.







(a). Active and reactive power evolution (references and collected values).



(b) Transient and steady state waveforms of the capacitor voltage (C1) and of the injected current (C2). Collected values Fig. 13. Experimental results for the inverter control algorithm

- [7] B. Tamimi, C. Canizares, K. Bhattacharya, "System Stability Impact of Large-Scale and Distributed Solar Photovoltaic Generation: The Case of Ontario, Canada," *IEEE Trans on Sustainable Energy*, vol.4, no.3, pp. 680-688, July 2013.
- [8] M. Karimi, H. Mokhlis, K. Naidu, S. Uddin, A.H.A. Bakar, "Photovoltaic penetration issues and impacts in distribution network – A review", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 53, pp. 594-605, January 2016.
- [9] R. Caldon, M. Coppo, R. Turri, "Distributed voltage control strategy for LV networks with inverter-interfaced generators," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 107, pp. 85-92, 2014.
- [10] CEI 0-21, "Reference technical rules for the connection of active and passive users to the LV electrical utilities" (in Italian), 2012-06.
- [11] VDE-AR-N 4105 "Generators connected to the low-voltage distribution network - Technical requirements for the connection to and parallel operation with low-voltage distribution networks", VDE, 08/2011.

- [12] R. Caldon, M. Coppo, M. Tessari, R. Turri, "Use of Single-Phase Inverter-interfaced DGs for Power Quality Improvement in LV Networks," 47th International Universities Power Engineering Conference, pp. 1-5, September 2012
- [13] J. V. Paatero, P. D. Lund, "Effects of large-scale photovoltaic power integration on electricity distribution networks," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 32 pp. 216–234, 2007.
- [14] Kurosawa K. Energy from the desert: feasibility of very large scale photovoltaic power generation (VLS-PV) systems. London, UK: James & James; 2003.
- [15] K. Turitsyn, P. Sulc, S. Backhaus, M. Chertkov, "Local control of reactive power by distributed photovoltaic generators," *1st IEEE Intern. Conference on Smart Grid Communications*, pp. 79-84, 2010.
- [16] B. A. Robbins, C. N. Hadjicostis, A. D. Domínguez-García, "A Two-Stage Distributed Architecture for Voltage Control in Power Distribution Systems," *IEEE Trans on Power Systems*, vol.28, no.2, pp. 1470-1482, May 2013.
- [17] P. Šulc, S. Backhaus, and M. Chertkov. (2013). "Optimal distributed control of reactive power via the alternating direction method of multipliers," *Computing Research Repository*, [Online]. pp. 1–10, Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.5748
- [18] E. Dall'Anese, S. V. Dhople, G. B. Giannakis, ""Optimal Dispatch of Photovoltaic Inverters in Residential Distribution Systems," *IEEE Trans* on Sustainable Energy, vol.5, no.2, pp.487-497, April 2014.
- X. Su, M. A. S. Masoum, P. J. Wolfs, "Optimal PV Inverter Reactive Power Control and Real Power Curtailment to Improve Performance of Unbalanced Four-Wire LV Distribution Networks," *IEEE Trans on Sustainable Energy*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 967-977, July 2014.
- [19] X. Liu, A. Aichhorn, L. Liu, and H. Li, "Coordinated control of distributed energy storage system with tap changer transformers for voltage rise mitigation under high photovoltaic penetration," *IEEE Trans on Smart Grid*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 897–906, June 2012.
- [20] Y. Zhu, K. Tomsovic, "Adaptive power flow method for distribution systems with dispersed generation," *IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 822–827, 2002.
- [21] E. Demirok, D. Sera, R. Teodorescu, P. Rodriguez and U. Borup, "Clustered PV Inverters in LV Networks: an Overview of Impacts and Comparison of Voltage Control Strategies", *IEEE Electrical Power & Energy Conference*, pp. 1-6. 2009.
- [22] E. Demirok, D. Sera, R. Teodorescu, P. Rodriguez and U. Borup, "Evaluation of the Voltage Support Strategies for the LV Grid Connected PV Generators", *IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE)*, pp. 710-717, 2010
- [23] H.-G. Yeh, D. F. Gayme, S. H. Low, "Adaptive VAR Control for Distribution Circuits With Photovoltaic Generators," IEEE Trans on Power Systems, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1656-1663, August 2012.
- [24] D. Villacci, G. Bontempi, and A. Vaccaro, "An adaptive local learning based methodology for voltage regulation in distribution networks with dispersed generation," *IEEE Trans on Power Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1131–1140, August 2006.
- [25] T. Ochi, D. Yamashita, K. Koyanagi, R. Yokoyama, "The development and the application of fast decoupled load flow method for distribution systems with high R/X ratios lines," *IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT)*, pp. 1-6, February 2013.
- [26] B.M. Weedy, B.J. Cory, "Electric Power Systems," J. Wiley & Sons, 4th Edition, 1998.
- [27] T. Ochi, D. Yamashita, K. Koyanagi, R. Yokoyama, "The development and the application of fast decoupled load flow method for distribution systems with high R/X ratios lines," in *IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies*, pp.1-6, February 2013.
- [28] S. Banerjee, C.K. Chanda, S.C. Konar and P.K. Ghosh, "Study of Loading Status for all Branches in chronological order at different conditions in a Radial Distribution Systems using Reactive Loading Index technique" *IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics*, *Drives and Energy Systems*, pp. 1-5, 2010.
- [29] U. Eminoglu and M. H. Hocaoglu "A new power flow method for radial distribution systems including voltage dependent load models," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 76, pp. 106-114, 2005.
- [30] G.Y. Yang, M. Mattesen, S.B. Kjaer "Analysis of Thevenin equivalent network of a distribution system for solar integration studies," in 3rd IEEE PES international conference and exhibition on innovative smart grid technologies, pp. 1-5, October 2012.

- [31] R. Tonkoski, L. A.C. Lopes, "Impact of active power curtailment on overvoltage prevention and energy production of PV inverters connected to low voltage residential feeders", *Renewable Energy*, vol. 36(12), pp. 3566-3574, December 2011.
- [32] R. Tonkoski, L. A. C. Lopes, T. H. M. El-Fouly, "Coordinated Active Power Curtailment of Grid Connected PV Inverters for Overvoltage Prevention," *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 139-147, April 2011.
- [33] M.H. Haque, "Load flow solution of distribution systems with voltage dependent load models", *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 36, pp. 151–156, 1996.
- [34] U. Eminoglu, M. Hakan Hocaoglu, "A new power flow method for radial distribution systems including voltage dependent load models", *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 76, pp. 106–114, 2005-
- [35] Y. Hen-Geul, D.F. Gayme, S.H. Low, "Adaptive VAR Control for Distribution Circuits with Photovoltaic Generators," *IEEE Trans on Power Systems*, vol.27, no.3, pp.1656-1663, August 2012.
- [36] K. De Brabandere, B. Bolsens, J. Van den Keybus, A. Woyte, J. Driesen, R. Belmans, "A Voltage and Frequency Droop Control Method for Parallel Inverters," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1107-1115, July 2007.
- [37] V. Ravindran, V. Aravinthan, "Feeder level power loss reduction through reactive power control with presence of distributed generation," *IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, pp.1-5, July 2013.
- [38] M.A. Mahmud, M.J. Hossain, H.R. Pota, A.B.M. Nasiruzzaman, "Voltage control of distribution networks with distributed generation using reactive power compensation," 37th Annual Conference on IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, pp. 985-990, November 2011.
- [39] A. Cagnano, E. De Tuglie, M. Dicorato, G. Forte, M. Trovato, "PV plants for voltage regulation in distribution networks," 47th International Universities Power Engineering Conference, pp. 1-5, September 2012.
- [40] P.D.F. Ferreira, P.M.S. Carvalho, L.A.F.M. Ferreira, M.D. Ilic, "Distributed Energy Resources Integration Challenges in Low-Voltage Networks: Voltage Control Limitations and Risk of Cascading," *IEEE Trans on Sustainable Energy*, vol.4, no.1, pp.82-88, January 2013.
- [41] R. Kabiri, D.G. Holmes, B.P. McGrath, "The influence of PV inverter reactive power injection on grid voltage regulation," *IEEE 5th International Symposium on Power Electronics for Distributed Generation Systems*, pp.1-8, June 2014.
- [42] J. C. Vasquez, R. A. Mastromauro, J. M. Guerrero, M. Liserre, "Voltage support provided by a droop-controlled multifunctional inverter," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol.56, n.11, pp.4510-4519, Nov, 2009.
- [43] A. Pinomaa, J. Ahola and A. Kosonen, "Power-line communicationbased network architecture for LVDC distribution system", *IEEE International Symposium on Power Line Communications and Its Applications (ISPLC)*, Udine, 2011, pp. 358-363
- [44] B. Seal, "Standard language protocols for photovoltaics and storage grid integration", Tech. Rep. EPRI 1020906. 2010. [Online] http://www.smartgridnews.com/artman/uploads/1/1020906LangProtocol sPVStorageGridIntegrate.pdf
- [45] X. Lu, J. M. Guerrero, K. Sun and J. C. Vasquez, "An Improved Droop Control Method for DC Microgrids Based on Low Bandwidth Communication With DC Bus Voltage Restoration and Enhanced Current Sharing Accuracy," in IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1800-1812, April 2014.
- [46] Xiaonan Lu, J. M. Guerrero, Kai Sun, J. C. Vasquez, R. Teodorescu and Lipei Huang, "Hierarchical Control of Parallel AC-DC Converter Interfaces for Hybrid Microgrids," in IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 683-692, March 2014.
- [47] G. Strbac, N. Hatziargyriou, J. P. Carvalho Lopes, C. Moreira, A. Dimeas and D. Papadaskalopoulos, "Microgrids: Enhancing the Resilience of the European Megagrid," *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 35-43, May-June 2015.
- [48] A. Constastin, R.D. Lazar, S.B. Kjaer, "Voltage control in low voltage networks by Photovoltaic Inverters – PVNET.dk", Danfoss, Tech. Report, December 2012, Available [Online] http://www.danfoss.com/NR/rdonlyres/A40B76C4-F61F-478E-B5AD-C2D24583100F/0/VoltagecontrolinlowvoltagenetworksbyPhotovoltaicI nvertersPVNETdk_WEB.pdf